## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date	
Original Updated Corrected		
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable)		
Chapter PI 34, Educator Licenses		
4. Subject		
Changes to rules governing assessment teams for tier IV n	haster educator licenses	
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
□ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS □ SEG □ SEG-S		
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule		
No Fiscal Effect	□ Increase Costs □ Decrease Costs	
Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues	Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)		
	ific Businesses/Sectors	
	c Utility Rate Payers Il Businesses <b>(if checked, complete Attachment A)</b>	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Loc		
\$0		
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, L	ocal Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more	
Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137 (3) (b) 2., Stats.?		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Chapter PL 34 of the Wisconsin Administrative Code contains	the rules governing educator licensure including the	
Chapter PI 34 of the Wisconsin Administrative Code contains the rules governing educator licensure, including the requirements for obtaining a tier IV master educator license. Under the current rule, the assessment team shall meet all		
of the following requirements: 1) be composed of 3 educators who have the same or similar job responsibilities and		
may also include a school board member; 2) be nominated by	<b>U I</b>	
superintendent; and 3) successfully completed training provided by the department. The proposed rule seeks to amend		
ch. PI 34 of the Wisconsin Administrative Code with respect		
licensure approval process for tier IV master educator license		
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Re that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted	presenting Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals	
The department held a preliminary public hearing and comme		
provided in the hearing notice, any comment received during		
also considered for the development of this economic impact		
considered in the development of this economic impact analy	•	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Dev	elopment of this EIA	
None.		
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific E Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (In Incurred)	Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local nclude Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be	

State:

The proposed rule specifies that an assessment team recommending the applicant for a tier IV license shall base its decision on a formal assessment process of the applicant demonstrating they have mastered the applicable standards under subch. II of ch. PI 34 and the assessment process shall be comparable to the national board for professional teaching standards process and approved by the state superintendent. Additionally, the proposed rule specifies that the assessment team for recommending tier IV master educator licenses must consist of three members, with at least one member holding the same license as the portfolio of the applicant at a tier II level or higher with at least five years of

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

professional experience and similar job responsibilities as the applicant. The other members may be educators holding a valid teacher, pupil services, or administrator license at the tier II level or higher, and optionally a school board member with at least one year of experience as a school board member. Finally, all assessment team members must be approved by the state superintendent and have completed department-provided training. The proposed rule will streamline the process by which assessment teams may review and provide recommendations for tier IV master educator licensure. The fiscal impact that may result of this change is based on individual behavior and can't be determined at this time. The fiscal impact is therefore indeterminate.

Local:

No fiscal impact.

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The proposed rule specifies that an assessment team recommending the applicant for a tier IV license shall base its decision on a formal assessment process of the applicant demonstrating they have mastered the applicable standards under subch. II of ch. PI 34 and the assessment process shall be comparable to the national board for professional teaching standards process and approved by the state superintendent. Additionally, the proposed rule specifies that the assessment team for recommending tier IV master educator licenses must consist of three members, with at least one member holding the same license as the portfolio of the applicant at a tier II level or higher with at least five years of professional experience and similar job responsibilities as the applicant. The other members may be educators holding a valid teacher, pupil services, or administrator license at the tier II level or higher, and optionally a school board member with at least one gear of experience as a school board member. Finally, all assessment team members must be approved by the state superintendent and have completed department-provided training. The effect of the proposed rule is a streamlined process by which assessment teams may review and provide recommendations for tier IV master educator licensure. Without a rule change, the department would be required to implement ch. PI 34 as the rules currently exist.

16. Long-Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The effect of the proposed rule is a streamlined process by which assessment teams may review and provide recommendations for tier IV master educator licensure.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

Because educator preparation in the United States is typically governed by each state and local government, federal regulations are generally silent with respect to the preparation of student teachers. As a result, the requirements for teacher preparation programs vary by state.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois: Under III. Admin. Code tit. 23 § 25.805, professional development activities for renewing Professional Educator Licenses (PEL) must be conducted through approved providers and meet specific criteria from Section 21B-45(d) of the Illinois School Code. Educators earn one hour of professional development credit per hour of activity, unless specified otherwise. Licensees with a National Board for Professional Teaching Standards (NBPTS) master teacher designation must complete 60 clock hours of professional development every five years. If their NBPTS certification is not valid at renewal, they must fulfill all standard professional development requirements. Administrators with this designation must also adhere to additional requirements. Renewal of NBPTS certification results in automatic renewal of the master teacher designation, but if the certification is not renewed, the designation expires.

Iowa: Iowa Admin. Code r. 282.13.8 permits the issuance of a Master Educator License (Tier 3) to an applicant who holds or is eligible for a standard license, has a master's degree, and successfully completed five years' teaching experience, There is no assessment team.

Michigan: Mich. Admin. Code R. 390.1137 permits the superintendent of public instruction to issue an advanced professional teaching certificate to applicants who meet the following criteria: holding a professional teaching certificate or an equivalent life, continuing, or permanent certificate; meeting at least one of these conditions—holding

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

national board certification, completing a superintendent-approved teacher leader training or preparation program, or having been named Michigan teacher of the year; and receiving the required ratings on annual year-end evaluations as specified in sections 1249 and 1531k of the revised school code.

Minnesota: There are no comparable rules governing master educator licenses in Minnesota.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Carl Bryan, Legislative Policy Coordinator	(608) 266-3275
Department of Public Instruction	

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.