

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	2. Date 11/20/24
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) CSB 2.006	
4. Subject Scheduling 5 Synthetic Cannabinoids	
5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected s. 20.165 (1) (g) and (hg)
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The objective of the proposed rule is to add the following five synthetic cannabinoids as a schedule I controlled substance under s. 961.11 (4), Stats: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• MDMB-4en-PINACA• 4F-MDMB-BUTICA or 4F-MDMB-BICA• ADB-4en-PINACA• CUMYL-PEGACLONE or SGT-151• 5F-EDMB-PICA or 5F-EDMB-2201 The Controlled Substances Board did not receive an objection to similarly listing five of the above synthetic cannabinoids as schedule I controlled substances under ch. 961, Stats. within 30 days of the date of publication in the federal register of the final order listing those 5 synthetic cannabinoids as schedule I controlled substances. The remaining synthetic cannabinoid, MMB-FUBICA, is already included in schedule I of ch. 961, Stats.	
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The rule will be posted on the Department's website for 14 days to solicit public comment on economic impact, including how the proposed rules may affect businesses, local government units, and individuals.	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None.	
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) DSPS estimates a total of \$3,455.00 in one-time costs for implementing this rule. The estimated funds support the equivalent of 0.1 limited term employee to undertake tasks such as rule drafting, legal research and review, preparation	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

for prosecution/informational resolution, and investigation of CSB referrals. The one-time costs cannot be absorbed in the currently appropriated agency budget.

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The benefit is that the federal and state controlled substances acts will be uniform to avoid stakeholder confusion.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long range implications of implementing the rule are that the following will be Schedule I controlled substances in Wisconsin:

- MDMB-4en-PINACA
- 4F-MDMB-BUTICA or 4F-MDMB-BICA
- ADB-4en-PINACA
- CUMYL-PEGACLONE or SGT-151
- 5F-EDMB-PICA or 5F-EDMB-2201

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

On December 12, 2023, the Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration published its temporary amendment and scheduling order in the Federal Register adding the following 6 synthetic cannabinoids to schedule I of the federal Controlled Substances Act:

- MDMB-4en-PINACA
- 4F-MDMB-BUTICA or 4F-MDMB-BICA
- ADB-4en-PINACA
- CUMYL-PEGACLONE or SGT-151
- 5F-EDMB-PICA or 5F-EDMB-2201
- MMB-FUBICA

The scheduling action was effective December 12, 2023.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois: Illinois has not listed the 5 synthetic cannabinoids in this rule as schedule I controlled substances. However, they do have general requirement to include any synthetic cannabinoid that is not approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration or if approved is not dispensed according to law would be considered a schedule I controlled substance [720 Illinois Compiled Statutes 570/204].

Iowa: Iowa has not included the 5 synthetic cannabinoids listed in this rule as schedule I controlled substances [Iowa Code 124.204].

Michigan: Michigan has not included the 5 synthetic cannabinoids listed in this rule as schedule I controlled substances. However, they do have general requirement to include any synthetic cannabinoid that is not approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration or included in schedules II to V [Michigan Compiled Laws s. 333.7212].

Minnesota: Minnesota has not included the 5 synthetic cannabinoids listed in this rule as schedule I controlled substances [Minnesota Statutes 152.02 (2)].

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Nilajah Hardin, Administrative Rules Coordinator	608-267-7139

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- ☐ Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
☐ Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
☐ Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

☐ Yes ☐ No
