## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis ☑ Original  ☐ Updated  ☐ Corrected	2. Date 12/5/24	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) VE 1, Veterinarians		
4. Subject Veterinarians		
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.115 (2) (jm)	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule         ☑ No Fiscal Effect       □ Increase Existing Revenues         □ Indeterminate       □ Decrease Existing Revenues	□ Increase Costs □ Decrease Costs □ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)         State's Economy       Specific Businesses/Sectors         Local Government Units       Public Utility Rate Payers		
Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0		
<ul> <li>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?</li> <li>Yes X No</li> </ul>		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The proposed rule modifies veterinary licensing processes for clarity, consistency, and to ensure there are processes for all applicant types. Without these changes, there would continue to be unintentional gaps preventing applicants with unique circumstances from having a path to licensure.		
<ol> <li>Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.</li> <li>The Board held a preliminary hearing on the statement of scope (SS 109-23) on February 13, 2024, with comments open until February 15, 2024. The hearing offered a combination of in-person access and remote access. There were no attendees at the hearing, in-person or remote, and no written comments were received.</li> </ol>		
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. Not applicable.		
14. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
Most veterinary practices are small businesses. The Board expects the proposed rule to have minimal to no economic impact. Under the current rules, there are unintentional gaps preventing some applicants with unique circumstances from applying. The proposed rule modifies veterinary licensing processes for clarity, consistency, and to ensure there are processes for all applicant types. The proposed rule would have a positive impact on those applicants who do not currently have an application process, and would not impact other applicants or licensees. )		
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The proposed rule modifies veterinary licensing processes for clarity, consistency, and to ensure there are processes for all applicant types. Without these changes, there would continue to be unintentional gaps preventing applicants with unique circumstances from being able to apply.		

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

The proposed rule modifies veterinary licensing processes for clarity, consistency, and to ensure there are processes for all applicant types. Without these changes, there would continue to be unintentional gaps preventing applicants with unique circumstances from being able to apply.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

Licensure requirements to practice veterinary medicine are established by each state and are not established by federal statutes or regulations.

Pursuant to 9 CFR 160 to 162, a veterinarian must be specifically authorized by the United States Department of Agriculture – Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service to perform animal disease eradication and control functions under federal animal health laws.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) The proposed rule modifies veterinary licensing processes for clarity, consistency, and to ensure there are processes for all applicant types. These changes are specific to Wisconsin rules and address unintentional gaps in current licensing processes. Adjacent states also have veterinary boards that license veterinarians.

Illinois

Illinois veterinary licenses are issued by the Illinois Veterinary Licensing and Disciplinary Board, attached to the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation.

Iowa

Iowa veterinary licenses are issued by the Iowa Board of Veterinary Medicine, attached to the Iowa Department of Agriculture and Land Stewardship.

Michigan

Michigan veterinary licenses are issued by the Michigan Board of Veterinary Medicine, attached to the Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs.

Minnesota

Minnesota veterinary licenses are issued by the Minnesota Board of Veterinary Medicine.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Angela Fisher	608-219-5761

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

Most veterinary practices are small businesses. The Board expects the proposed rule to have minimal to no economic impact. Under the current rules, there are unintentional gaps preventing some applicants with unique circumstances from applying. The proposed rule modifies veterinary licensing processes for clarity, consistency, and to ensure there are processes for all applicant types. The proposed rule would have a positive impact on those applicants who do not currently have an application process, and would not impact other applicants or licensees.

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

There are unintentional gaps and inconsistencies in current veterinary licensing processes. The Board reviewed veterinary licensing processes, assessed what process would apply to each applicant type, and identified unintentional gaps preventing applicants with unique circumstances from having a path to licensure. The proposed rule modifies veterinary licensing processes for clarity, consistency, and to ensure there are processes for all applicant types.

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

The proposed rule would have a positive impact on those applicants who do not currently have an application process, and would not impact other applicants or licensees.

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

The proposed rule does not makes changes to enforcement.

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗌 Yes 🛛 No