

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| 1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected | | 2. Date 01/14/2025 | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) VA 2 - Veterans Assistance Grants | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Subject Veterans Assistance Grants | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S | | 6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.485 (2)(qm), (2)(tf), (2)(th), (2)(tj) and (2)(vm) | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect</td><td><input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues</td><td><input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs</td><td><input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs</td></tr><tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate</td><td><input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues</td><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</td><td></td></tr></table> | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs | <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate | <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs | <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs | | | | | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate | <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget | | | | | | | | | |
| 8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy</td><td><input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors</td></tr><tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units</td><td><input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers</td></tr><tr><td></td><td><input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)</td></tr></table> | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy | <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors | <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers | | <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy | <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors | | | | | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The proposed rule amends current administrative rules to eliminate burdensome requirements not required in statute and streamline the grant application process and evaluation criteria. The proposed changes will improve grant efficiency, compliance, and accountability, and help to ensure the distribution of funds to more veterans, veterans organizations, employers of veterans, and non-profit organizations who provide outreach and services to veterans and underserved veteran populations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The proposed rules do not have an anticipated effect on businesses or local governmental units. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. No local government units participated in the development of the EIA. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) This rule will not have a material economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the State's economy as a whole. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefits of implementing this rule will improve grant efficiency and compliance. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The rule will not have adverse long range effects, rather allow for a greater distribution of grant funds. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government N/A | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) | | | | | | | | | | | |

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Illinois, Iowa, Michigan, and Minnesota all have statutes or administrative rules that provide for temporary subsistence-type financial assistance to eligible indigent veterans and their families. Minnesota is the only other state to provide financial incentives to employers who hire veterans. However, none of these states have similar state-funded grant programs for non-profit organization or entrepreneurship grants.

| | |
|---|--------------------------|
| 19. Contact Name | 20. Contact Phone Number |
| Mindy Allen, Administrative Rules Coordinator | (608) 264-6085 |

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- ☐ Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
☐ Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
☐ Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

☐ Yes ☐ No
