

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	2. Date 02/18/25								
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Psy 2									
4. Subject Temporary Practice									
5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected s.20.165(1)(g)								
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect</td><td><input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues</td><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs</td><td><input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs</td></tr><tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate</td><td><input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues</td><td colspan="2"><input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</td></tr></table>		<input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs	<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs	<input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate	<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues	<input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
<input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs	<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs						
<input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate	<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues	<input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget							
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy</td><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors</td></tr><tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units</td><td><input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2"><input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)</td></tr></table>		<input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors	<input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units	<input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers	<input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)			
<input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors								
<input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units	<input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers								
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)									
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0									
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No									
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The Board is updating its Administrative Code chapter Psy 2 after reviewing the temporary practice provision pursuant to s. 455.03, Stats. The Board has concluded that an update is needed to distinguish the statutory provision in s. 455.03, Stats., and the provisions set forth by the Psychology Interjurisdictional Compact in s. 455.50, Stats. Additionally, the Board is clarifying that any psychologist licensed out of state and planning on practicing in Wisconsin for longer than 20 days within a calendar year pursuant s. 455.03, Stats., needs to submit a written report to the Board explaining the nature and extent of their practice in this state.									
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The proposed rule draft was posted on the department's website for 14 days to solicit economic impact comments from businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals. No comments were received.									
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None									
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) DSPS estimates a total of \$6,055.00 in one-time staffing costs to implement the rule. The estimated need for 0.1 limited term employee (LTE) is for training on new requirements, as well as updating forms and website. The one-time estimated costs cannot be absorbed in the currently appropriated agency budget.									
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit of implementing the rule is to clarify the temporary practice provision report requirement and to distinguish this provision from those stated under the PSYPACT, which will avoid confusion for out of state providers wanting to									

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

practice in Wisconsin. An alternative would be to not revise the code to clarify the criteria for temporary practice, which would create confusion and a lack of clarity for stakeholders.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long range implications of implementing this rule is clarity in the implementation of the temporary practice provision established in the Statutes.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

N/A

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois:

Illinois requires a temporary permit for psychologist coming from another jurisdiction and want to practice in the state. This permit authorizes the rendering of clinical psychological services in the state for up to 10 calendar days per year, consecutively or in aggregate. In order to obtain this permit, a licensed psychologist must apply by submitting satisfactory proof that they have an unencumbered doctoral level license in good standing in another state or territory and that they are able to practice independently. [225 ILCS 15/11.5]

Iowa:

Iowa allows an out of state licensed psychologist to practice in the state for a period not to exceed 10 consecutive business days or 15 business days in any 90-day period. To qualify for this provision, the psychologist must give the board a summary of their intention to practice. Additionally, the board requires the psychologist to come from a state or territory that has equivalent requirements for licensing as Iowa. If the psychologist resides in a state or territory that does not certify or license psychologist, the board will have to review the person's professional qualifications and determine if they are equivalent to Iowa's requirements for licensing. [Iowa Admin Code 154B.3]

Michigan:

Michigan does not have a provision for temporary practice for out of state licensed psychologists besides the temporary authorization to practice established by the PSYPACT. A psychologist who wants to practice in Michigan and is licensed in another state or territory would have to apply for licensure by endorsement or through PSYPACT.

Minnesota:

Minnesota requires a guest licensure for nonresidents who are not seeking licensure in Minnesota but want to practice in the state. In order to obtain this license, an applicant must have an unencumbered license, certification, or registration to practice psychology in another jurisdiction, hold a doctoral degree from a regionally accredited institution, pay a fee, and pass a professional responsibility examination designated by the board. [MN Stats. 148.916]

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Sofia Anderson	608-261-4463

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- ☐ Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
☐ Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
☐ Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

☐ Yes ☐ No
