STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date	
☑ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected	03/13/25	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghot CSB 2.009	se Number if applicable)	
4. Subject Scheduling 2 synthetic benzimidazole-opioids		
5. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☒ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected s. 20.165 (1) (g) and (hg)	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☐ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
☐ Local Government Units ☐ Publ	cific Businesses/Sectors	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).		
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?		
 Yes ⊠ No 11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule This rule schedules N-desethyl isotonitazene and N-piperidin 	yl etonitazene as a schedule I controlled substances. The	
Controlled Substances Board will promulgate a final rule, without making the determinations or findings required by ss.		
961.11(1), (1m), (1r) and (2) or s. 961.13 and omitting the no		
isotonitazene and N-piperidinyl etonitazene as schedule I controlled substances.		
The Affirmative Action order, dated October 3, 2024, took e Administrative Register and expires upon promulgation of a		
12. Summaryof the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The proposed rule was posted for a period of 14 days to solicit public comment on economic impact, including how the proposed rules may affect businesses, local government units, and individuals. No comments were received.		
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Donne.		
14. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
DSPS estimates a total of \$3000.00 in one-time staffing	costs to implement the rule. The estimated need for	
0.1 limited term employee (LTE) is for rule drafting, leg one-time estimated costs cannot be absorbed in the curre	gal review, as well as updating website and forms. The	
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implement The benefit is that the federal and state controlled substances	enting the Rule	
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implications of implementing the rule are that 2 systas a schedule I controlled substance.	nthetic benzimidazole-opioids will be added to Wis. Stat. ch. 961	

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

On July 29, 2024, the Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration published its temporary scheduling order in the Federal Register adding N-desethyl isotonitazene and N-piperidinyl etonitazene to schedule I of the federal Controlled Substances Act. The scheduling action was effective July 29, 2024.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Illinois: Illinois has not included N-desethyl isotonitazene and N-piperidinyl etonitazene as schedule I controlled substances [720 Illinois Compiled Statutes 570/204].

Iowa: Iowa has not included N-desethyl isotonitazene and N-piperidinyl etonitazene as schedule I controlled substances [Iowa Code 124.204].

Michigan: Michigan has not included N-desethyl isotonitazene and N-piperidinyl etonitazene as schedule I controlled substances [Michigan Compiled Laws s. 333.7212].

Minnesota: Minnesota has not included N-desethyl isotonitazene and N-piperidinyl etonitazene as schedule I controlled substances [Minnesota Statutes 152.02 (2)].

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Nilajah Hardin, Administrative Rules Coordinator	608-267-7139

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
☐ Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
☐ Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
☐ Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)
☐ Yes ☐ No