STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date
☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable)	
PI 34, Educator Licenses	
4. Subject	
Cooperating teachers and school-based supervisors	
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule	
☐ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Decrease Costs
☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)	
	cific Businesses/Sectors
	ic Utility Rate Payers Ill Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)
<ol> <li>Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Loc</li> <li>\$0</li> </ol>	cal Governmental Units and Individuals, per \$.227.137 (3)(b) 1., Stats
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Loca	Al Covernmental Units and Individuals Re \$10 Million or more Over
Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137 (3) (b) 2., Stats.?	il Governmental offits and individuals be \$10 Million of more over
☐ Yes ☐ No	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule	
The proposed rule change is a technical correction of ch. PI 3	
requirements for cooperating teachers and school-based supe	
educator preparation program's conceptual framework. The p	
that a cooperating teacher or school-based supervisor shall be	
subject or position that corresponds to the area of supervision	
<ol> <li>Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations R that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted</li> </ol>	
The department held a preliminary public hearing and comme	
provided in the hearing notice, any comment received during	the preliminary public hearing and comment period are
also considered for the development of this economic impact	
considered in the development of this economic impact analy	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Dev	velopment of this EIA
None.	
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific E	Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local de Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
State: The proposed rule will create greater clarity around red	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
supervisors employed by a clinical program as part of an edu	
fiscal impact that may result of this change is based on individual	
fiscal impact is therefore indeterminate.	
Local Na fiscal impact	
Logon No tropolymanost	

Local: No fiscal impact

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The proposed rule change amends s. PI 34.023 (4) (a) to clarify that a cooperating teacher or school-based supervisor shall hold a valid license at the tier II, III, or IV level in the subject or position that corresponds to the area of supervision. Without a rule, the department will continue to administer PI 34 as it currently exists, and educator preparation programs will be required to meet requirements for a clinical program under the existing rule.

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

16. Long-Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The proposed rule will create greater clarity around the requirements for cooperating teachers and school-based supervisors employed by a clinical program as part of an educator preparation program's conceptual framework.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

Because educator preparation in the United States is typically governed by each state and local government, federal regulations are generally silent with respect to the preparation of student teachers. As a result, the requirements for teacher preparation programs vary by state.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)
Illinois: Under Ill. Admin. Code tit. 23 § 25.610, "cooperating teacher" means a person employed by a school district directly engaged in teaching students in a school and who is immediately responsible for a student engaged in clinical experiences. Further, under Ill. Admin. Code tit. 23 § 25.620, student teaching must be done under the active supervision of a cooperating teacher who is licensed and qualified to teach in the area, has three years of teaching experience, has received a proficient or above performance rating in the most recent evaluation, and is directly engaged in teaching subject matter or conducting learning activities in the area of student teaching.

Iowa: Under Iowa Admin. Code r. 281-79.2, "cooperating teachers" means appropriately licensed classroom teachers of record who provide guidance and supervision to teacher candidates in the cooperating teachers' classrooms during the candidates' field experiences in the schools. Further, under Iowa Admin. Code r. 281-79.14, a teacher candidate's clinical experience must take place in the classroom of a cooperating teacher who is appropriately licensed in the subject area and grade level endorsement for which the teacher candidate is being prepared.

Michigan: Under Mich. Admin. Code R. 390.1123, an applicant for a standard teaching certificate shall satisfactorily complete an approved program in teacher preparation that includes, in part, supervised directed teaching must be in the grade range and discipline area for which the superintendent of public instruction is to grant an endorsement.

Minnesota: Under Minn. R. 8705.1010, each educator preparation program shall have a clear and consistent conceptual framework and ensure that each cooperating teacher paired with a teacher candidate during their student teaching and practicum has a minimum of three years of teaching experience in the licensure area, holds a professional license aligned with the assignment, has completed professional development in coaching strategies for adult learners, and has fulfilled all other requirements outlined in state statute. For field experiences, cooperating teachers shall have a minimum of two years of teaching experience, hold either a Tier 2 license or a professional license aligned with the assignment, and meet all other requirements specified in state statute. Finally, each cooperating teacher must receive training that addresses the cooperating teacher's role, program expectations, candidate assessments, procedures, and timelines.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Carl Bryan, Administrative Rules Coordinator	(608) 266-3275
Department of Public Instruction	

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.