

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

### Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

<b>1. Type of Estimate and Analysis</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	<b>2. Date</b> April 11, 2025								
<b>3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable)</b> PA 1 to 4									
<b>4. Subject</b> Implementation of the Physician Assistant Licensure Compact									
<b>5. Fund Sources Affected</b> <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	<b>6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected</b> s.20.165 (1) (hg)								
<b>7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule</b> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect</td><td><input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues</td><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs</td><td><input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs</td></tr><tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate</td><td><input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues</td><td colspan="2"><input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</td></tr></table>		<input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs	<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs	<input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate	<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues	<input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
<input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs	<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs						
<input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate	<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues	<input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget							
<b>8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)</b> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy</td><td><input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors</td></tr><tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units</td><td><input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2"><input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)</td></tr></table>		<input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy	<input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors	<input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units	<input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers	<input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)			
<input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy	<input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors								
<input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units	<input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers								
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)									
<b>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).</b> \$0									
<b>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No									
<b>11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule</b> The proposed rule implements 2023 Wisconsin Act 81 by making the following changes to the Wisconsin Administrative Code: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Creates definitions for "compact," "compact privilege," and "qualifying license" in PA 1.02.</li><li>• Creates a list of requirements for compact privilege in PA 2.015.</li><li>• Amends PA 2.07, 3.03, and 4.01 to include compact privilege.</li></ul>									
<b>12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.</b> The rule was posted on the Department's website for 14 days to solicit public comment on economic impact, including how the proposed rules may affect businesses, local government units, and individuals. No comments were received.									
<b>13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.</b> None.									
<b>14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)</b> DSPS estimates a total of \$30,455.00 in one-time and \$43,600.00 in ongoing staffing costs to implement the rule. The estimated need for 0.7 limited term employee (LTE) is for updating forms and websites, training, and implementation. The estimated annual staffing need for a 0.4 full time employee (FTE) is for rules promulgation, implementation, processing applications, responding to inquires, as well as reviewing and investigating complaints. The one-time and annual estimated costs cannot be absorbed in the currently appropriated agency budget.									
<b>15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule</b> The benefits of implementing this rule are that the Physician Assistant Affiliated Credentialing Board's section of the Administrative Code will be aligned with Wisconsin State Statutes.									
<b>16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule</b>									

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

### Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

The long range implications of implementing this rule is clear requirements for practicing as a physician assistant in Wisconsin under compact privileges.

---

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government  
None.

---

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)  
Illinois: Illinois is not a member of the Physician Assistant Licensure Compact. [225 Illinois Compiled Statutes 95].

Iowa: Iowa is not a member of the Physician Assistant Licensure Compact [Iowa Code ch. 148C].

Michigan: House Bill 5117 of 2023, which includes legislation for the Physician Assistant Licensure Compact, was introduced in the Michigan legislature and referred to the Committee on Health Policy in October 202. No further action has been taken [Michigan Compiled Laws ss. 333.17001 to 333.17084].

Minnesota: Minnesota is a member of the Physician Assistant Licensure Compact [Minnesota Statutes ch. 147A].

---

19. Contact Name

Nilajah Hardin, Administrative Rules Coordinator

---

20. Contact Phone Number

(608) 267-7139

---

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

**ATTACHMENT A**

---

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

---

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

---

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- ☐ Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements  
☐ Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting  
☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements  
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards  
☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements  
☐ Other, describe:

---

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

---

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

---

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

☐ Yes    ☐ No

---