

## CERTIFICATE

STATE OF WISCONSIN	)	
	)	SS
DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION AND LICENSING	)	
COSMETOLOGY EXAMINING BOARD	)	

I, David Gotzion, Chairman of the Cosmetology Examining Board and custodian of the official records of said board do hereby certify that the annexed rules relating to cosmetology were duly approved and adopted by this board on October 30, 1978.

I further certify that said copy has been compared by me with the original on file in this board and that the same is a true copy thereof, and of the whole of such original.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the official seal of the department at Washington Square in the city of Madison, this 12th day of December A.D. 1978.

Chairman

## STATE OF WISCONSIN COSMETOLOGY EXAMINING BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF BOARD PROCEDURAL RULES

ORDER REPEALING PROCEDURAL RULES

Pursuant to authority vested in the Cosmetology Examining Board by sections 15.08, 159.03, 227.014 and 227.02, Wis. Stats., and in accordance with the provisions of ss. 792 and 928, Chapter 418, Laws of 1977, the Cosmetology Examining Board hereby repeals rules as follows:

Chapter C 5 and section C 7.03 of the WISCONSIN ADMINISTRATIVE CODE are repealed.

Dated this 12th day of December, 1978.

COSMETOLOGY EXAMINING BOARD

BY:

David Gotzion, Chairman

## Administrative Rule FISCAL NOTE

Agency		Rule No.
Department C. D. a. 1. d.		C 5, C 7.03
Department of Regulation and Licensing Cosmetology		Subsection
	. •	
Subject (Title) of Rule		
Procedures and Practices: Disciplinary Acti	on Remedies	
Local Fiscal Effect		
Local: Increase/decrease Existing Fiscal Liability Create New Fiscal Liability	☑ No	Local Fiscal Effect
Increase/Decrease Existing Revenues		
Types of Local Governmental Units Affected: ( ) Towns ( ) Villages ( ) Cities	( ) Counties	( ) Others
Is Fiscal Effect: ( ) Permissive ( ) Mandatory	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,
12 ( ISSUE LINESEE ) ( ) ( INDICESTORY		
Assumptions and methodology used in arriving at local fiscal impac	t or rationale for con	cluding that there is no fiscal impact.
The proposed repeal of rules was reviewed, a no local fiscal effect.	and it was dete	ermined there will be
	•	
	•	
		·
		•
Long—Range Fiscal Implications	•	
	•	•
	- CA in	·

Agency Head Signature