ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FISCAL ESTIMATE AND ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS				
Type of Estimate and Analysis				
Original Updated Corrected				
Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number				
Section Tax 2.495 – Apportionment of apportionable incompanies, and underwriters	come of in	erstate brokers-dealers, investment advisers, investment		
Subject				
Apportionment of apportionable income of interstate brounderwriters	okers-deale	rs, investment advisers, investment companies, and		
Fund Sources Affected		Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected		
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG-S				
Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule				
□ No Fiscal Effect □ Increase Existing Revent ☑ Indeterminate □ Decrease Existing Revent		 Increase Costs Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget Decrease Costs 		
The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)				
State's Economy Local Government Units		ific Businesses/Sectors ic Utility Rate Payers		
Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Tha				
$\Box Yes \square No$				
Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule				
Based on evaluation by the department, the rule revises the method of assigning gross receipts from the sale of trading assets to Wisconsin in s. Tax 2.495 for purposes of computing the amount of income apportioned to Wisconsin for interstate brokers-dealers, investment advisers, investment companies, and underwriters.				
Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)				
As indicated in the attached fiscal estimate, the fiscal eff	fect of the	proposed rule is indeterminate.		
Two comments concerning the economic effect of the rule were submitted in response to the department's solicitation. Robert W. Baird & Co. submitted a comment concerning the general positive economic effect of the proposed rule on interstate brokers-dealers domiciled in Wisconsin. The Wisconsin Bankers Association's comment suggested there was a general negative economic effect, of unknown quantity, of the rule on unspecified financial institutions. The department consulted with both commenters on December 29, 2014. Both were in agreement that the economic impact of the rule is indeterminate and the assumptions used in arriving at the attached fiscal estimate are consistent with their comments. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule				
Generally, clarifications and guidance provided by administrative rules may lower the compliance costs for businesses, local governmental units, and individuals.				
The rule is the only alternative for implementing the revision described above.				
Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule				
No long-range implications are anticipated.				
Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government				
N/A				
Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States	s (Illinois, Io	wa, Michigan and Minnesota)		
Illinois (86 ILL. Adm. Code 100.3405) and Iowa (Rule 701-54.6(3)) address the method of assigning gross receipts of financial institutions in their rules. Illinois uses a method based on the commercial domicile of the financial institution. Iowa uses a method based on the billing address of the customer. Michigan (sec. 206.659, Mich. Stats.) and Minnesota (sec. 290.191(3), Minn. Stats.) address the method of assigning gross receipts of financial institutions in their statutes. Both Michigan and Minnesota use a method based on the billing address of the customer.				

FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM

	LRB # 15-			
🛛 ORIGINAL 🗌 UPDATED	INTRODUCTION	#		
CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL	Admin. Rule # T	Admin. Rule # Tax 2.495(4)(d)		
Subject				
Apportionment of Interstate Broker-Dealers , Investment Companies, and Underwriters				
Fiscal Effect State: No State Fiscal Effect				
 Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation 		Increase Costs - May be Possible to Absorb		
		Within Agency's Budget 🗌 Yes 🔲 No		
Increase Existing Appropriation	se Existing Revenues			
Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues				
Create New Appropriation		Decrease Costs		
Local: 🛛 No Local Government Costs				
1. Increase Costs 3. I	ncrease Revenues	5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:		
Permissive Mandatory	Permissive 🗌 Mandatory	🗌 Towns 🔲 Villages 🔲 Cities		
2. Decrease Costs 4.	Decrease Revenues	Counties Others		
Permissive Mandatory	Permissive 🗌 Mandatory	School Districts WTCS Districts		
Fund Sources Affected	Affected Ch. 20 A	Appropriations		
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG	SEG-S			
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:				

2015 Session

Under current administrative rules, interstate broker-dealers must apportion income from the sale of trading assets to the financial institution's domicile. This apportionment from the sale of trading assets is included in the gross receipts in the receipts factor. Under the proposed rule, broker-dealers would instead apportion income from these transactions to the billing address of the customer.

The fiscal effect of the rule is indeterminate. For broker-dealers domiciled in Wisconsin, gross receipts for sales of trading assets to customers in other states that previously were assigned to Wisconsin would instead be assigned to the state where the customer resides, lowering the receipts factor and therefore lowering gross tax relative to current administrative rules. Conversely, broker-dealers domiciled in other states that have customers in Wisconsin would assign the gross receipts from the sale of trading assets to Wisconsin instead of the state of their domicile, raising the receipts factor and therefore raising gross tax relative to current rules. The extent to which these two effects would offset is unknown.

(continued on page two)

Long-Range Fiscal Implications:

Agency/Prepared by:	Authorized Signature/Telephone No.	Date
Wisconsin Department of Revenue Michael Oakleaf, (608) 261-5173		

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

ORIGINAL

□ CORRECTED

UPDATED

□ SUPPLEMENTAL

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect	2015 Session
LRB # 15	Admin. Rule #
INTRODUCTION #	Tax 2.495(4)(d)

Subject

Apportionment of Interstate Broker-Dealers , Investment Companies, and Underwriters

I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:		
A. State Costs by Category	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe	\$	\$ -	
(FTE Position Changes)	(FTE)	(- FTE)	
State Operations-Other Costs		-	
Local Assistance		-	
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		-	
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$ -	
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
GPR	\$	\$ -	
FED		-	
PRO/PRS		-	
SEG/SEG-S	\$	-	
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.	
GPR Taxes	\$	\$ -	
GPR Earned		-	
FED		-	
PRO/PRS		-	
SEG/SEG-S		-	
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$ -	

NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT

	<u>STATE</u>	LOCAL	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	\$	
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$	\$	
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)	Authorized Signature/Telephone No.		Date
Wisconsin Department of Revenue Michael Oakleaf, (608) 261-5173	Type in Team Name		
	Type in Team Phone #		