STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis     Original □ Updated □Corrected			
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number CSB 4.04(p) (NOTE: Chapter Phar 18 will be changed to CSB 4 effective October 1, 2015. This EIA reflects that change)			
3. Subject Data Submission to the Prescription Drug Monitoring Program			
	5. Chapte 20.165(1	er 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule  ☐ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Could	se Costs Absorb Within Agency's Budget ase Cost	
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)  State's Economy  Local Government Units  Specific Businesses/Sectors  Public Utility Rate Payers  Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)			
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?  ☐ Yes ☐ No			
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule This rule implements 2013 Act 199 requiring the name of the person to whom a drug is dispensed or delivered to be submitted to the prescription drug monitoring program.			
<ol> <li>Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.</li> <li>The proposed rule was posted for economic comments for 14 days.</li> </ol>			
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.  None.			
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)			
Any economic impact resulting from the requirement to submit the name to PDMP is a result of the statutory requirement created by 2013 Act 199 and not the impact of the rule.			
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit is the rule would comply with the statute.			
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The benefit is the rule would comply with the statute.			
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government None			
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Our neighboring states do not require the name of the person to whom a drug is dispensed or delivered to be submitted to the prescription drug monitoring program.			
17. Contact Name		18. Contact Phone Number	
Sharon Henes		(608) 261-2377	

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

<ol> <li>Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)</li> </ol>
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?  Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements  Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting  Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements  Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards  Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements  Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)  ☐ Yes ☐ No