STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012)

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis X Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected		
Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number SPS 305, Licenses, certifications, and registrations		
Subject Certification to inspect fire detection, prevention, and suppression devices		
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.165(2)(j)	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☐ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☑ Increase Costs☑ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget☑ Decrease Cost	
☐ Local Government Units ☐ Publi	ic Businesses/Sectors Utility Rate Payers Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? ☐ Yes ☐ No		
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The proposed rule revisions would provide for certification of inspectors of devices for fire detection, prevention, and suppression.		
10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Representatives of fire department employees and building inspectors.		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.		
 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) No significant negative economic or fiscal impact is expected. 		
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule State wide certification of inspectors of fire detection, prevention, and suppression would be addressed in the statutes. The alternative would be to not have rules regulating certification of fire device inspectors.		
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Buildings will be safer for the public if inspectors of these devices are certified.		
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.		
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.		
17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number	
Dan Smith	608-261-4463	

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.