

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis
 Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number
Psy 2

3. Subject
Psychologist licensure

| | |
|---|---|
| 4. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S | 5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected |
|---|---|

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule
 No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)
 State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses **(if checked, complete Attachment A)**

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?
 Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule
This rule brings the licensure into statutory compliance and updates the procedures to current practices.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.
This rule was posted for 14 days for economic comments and none were received.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.
None. This rule does not impact local governmental units.

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
This rule does not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the state's economy as a whole.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule
The benefit of implementing the rule is to create clarity as well as bring the rule into statutory compliance with the licensure requirements.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule
The long range implication is a rule which is clear and conforms to statute.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government
None

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)
Illinois: Illinois applicants are required to have graduated from a doctoral program or a program equivalent to a clinical, school or counseling psychology program and two years of supervised clinical, school or counseling psychology experience. An equivalent program shall consist of the following curriculum: scientific and professional ethics, biological basis of behavior, cognitive-affective basis of behavior, social basis of behavior, individual differences, assessment and treatment modalities. In addition, the applicant shall take the EPPP and the minimum passing score is set

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

by the testing entity. An applicant holding a license in another state may apply by reciprocity by submitting proof that the licensing standards in the state of original jurisdiction are substantially equivalent to those of Illinois. An applicant who is licensed for at least 20 years may apply under provisions for senior psychologists requiring proof of active licensure for 20 consecutive years and verification of a doctoral degree.

Iowa: Iowa applicants are required to have a doctoral degree, pass the EPPP and one year of supervised professional experience for no less than 1500 hours. An applicant may apply through endorsement by providing verification of a current Certificate of Professional Qualification issued by the Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards or by providing verification of licensure in another jurisdiction with licensure requirements that are substantially equivalent to those required in Iowa. The board may enter into a reciprocal agreement with other jurisdictions.

Michigan: Michigan applicants are required to possess either a doctoral degree in psychology or in a closely related field and pass the EPPP. An applicant may apply through endorsement by doing one of the following: licensed in another state for a minimum of 10 years, hold a current Certificate of Professional Qualification from the Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards; or hold a current health service provider credential issued by the National Register of Health Service Psychologists.

Minnesota: Minnesota applicants are required to have a doctoral degree from a program that is an organized sequence of study in psychology, pass a national standardized examination in psychology specified by the board (passing score is the recommended score) and one full year of post degree supervised employment consisting of a minimum of 1,800 hours of actual work experience that is accrued in no less than 12 months and in no more than 30 months. Applicants by mobility are required to show evidence of having a Certificate of Professional Qualification issued by the Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards or a diplomate from the American Board of Professional Psychology or a health service provider in psychology.

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| 17. Contact Name Sharon Henes | 18. Contact Phone Number (608) 261-2377 |
|----------------------------------|--|

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-