STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012)

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis     Original ☐ Updated ☐Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number		
DE 14		
3. Subject		
Relating to informed consent		
4. Fund Sources Affected	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S		
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule		
	☐ Increase Costs	
☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
	☐ Decrease Cost	
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)		
	ific Businesses/Sectors	
	c Utility Rate Payers	
	Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? ☐ Yes ☐ No		
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule		
9. I olicy i tobletti Addressed by the Nale		
The duty of certain health care professionals other than	physicians to obtain informed consent from their	
The duty of certain health care professionals, other than physicians, to obtain informed consent from their patients before conducting treatment had not been codified as a statutory duty prior to the passage of 2013		
, , , ,		
Wisconsin Act 345. Act 345 sets forth the dentists' duty to obtain informed consent from their patients and		
institutes the reasonable dentist standard as the standard for informing patients regarding their treatment		
options. The reasonable dentist standard requires disclosure only of the information that a reasonable dentist		
would know and disclose under the circumstances.		
10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.		
This proposed rule was posted for a period of 14 days to solicit comments from the public. No businesses, business		
sectors, associations representing businesses, local governmental units, or individuals contacted the department about the		
proposed rule during that time period.		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the dev	elopment of this EIA.	
None. This rule does not affect local government units.		
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
The rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers,		
local government units, or the state's economy as a whole.		
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule		
The benefit of the proposed rule is that it brings the Dentistry	Examining Board administrative rules in compliance with	

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

2013 Wisconsin Act 345.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long range implications of implementing the rule is regulatory clarity for dentist license holders as the administrative code will align with the statutory requirements established in 2013 Wisconsin Act 345.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

## None.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois: Illinois Administrative Code is silent with regards to dentists' duty to obtain informed consent (68 Il. Admin. Code pt. 1220).

Iowa: Iowa Administrative Code regarding record keeping states that dental records must include, at a minimum, documentation of informed consent that includes a discussion of procedures, treatment options, potential complications, and known risks, and patient's consent to proceed with treatment (Iowa Admin. Code r. 650-27.11).

Michigan: Michigan Administrative Code is silent with regards to dentists' duty to obtain informed consent (Mich. Admin. Code r. 338.11101 - 338.11821).

Minnesota: Minnesota Administrative Code requires that dental records must include a notation that the dentist, advanced dental therapist, or dental therapist discussed with the patient the treatment options and the prognosis, benefits, and risks of each; and the patient has consented to the treatment chosen (Minn. R. 3100.9600 subp. 9).

17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
Katie Vieira (Paff)	(608) 261-4472

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.