EXISTING ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

Ins 17.50, Wis. Admin. Code, Self-insured plans for health care providers.

3. Date Rule promulgated and/or revised; Date of most recent Evaluation

January 1, 1990 when it was first created. There have been no revisions prior to this proposed rule.

4. Plain Language Analysis of the Rule, its Impact on the Policy Problem that Justified its Creation and Changes in Technology, Economic Conditions or Other Factors Since Promulgation that alter the need for or effectiveness of the Rule.

The proposed rule implements s. 655.23, Stats., that requires health care providers to self-insurer or maintain insurance for the provider's health care liability coverage for purposes of effecting the coverage of the Injured Patients and Families Compensation Fund (Fund). The proposed rule defines "affiliated health care providers" to be two or more health care providers that are etiher legal entities or are employed by one or more legal entities over which operating control is exercised and whose incomes are consolidated wit the controlling legal entity in audited frinancial statements under generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The term "provider" is amended to include, unless otherwise specified, both individual or affiliated health care providers. The rule modifies the intiail filing and funding requirements for providers to reflect the submission of GAAP statemetns on a consolidated basis and the preclusion of affiliated health care provider's ability to use letters of credit for intial funding. The rule also creates a new provisions specifcally addressing the minimum funding level for affiliated health care providers as the greater of \$2,000,000 or the amount of the actualrial estimate.

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions and Mechanisms

Section Ins 17.50 (12) and (13), Wis. Admin. Code, address compliance and regulatory oversight and remain unchanged by the proposed rule amendments.

6. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following	Specific Businesses/Sectors
(Check All That Apply)	Public Utility Rate Payers
State's Economy	Small Businesses
Local Government Units	

7. Summary of the Impacts, including Compliance Costs, identifying any Unnecessary Burdens the Rule places on the ability of Small Business to conduct their Affairs.

The impact of this amendment should be negliable to small businesses that are directly or indirectly affected by the rule. The rule changes permit smaller entites to self-insure if that is desired and may be more cost effective for the entity. The proposed rule retains current funding levels for individual or small business health care providers. As funding will not change, the proposed rule should have little to no affect other than positive to non-health care small businesses.

8. List of Small Businesses, Organizations and Members of the Public that commented on the Rule and its Enforcement and a Summary of their Comments.

The Office sought comments from all of the following: Wisconsin Hospital Association Medical Society of Wisconsin Health and Life Advisory Council Members Members of the Board of Governors for the Fund Wisconsin Restaurant Association Wisconsin Chiropractice Association Wisconsin Counties Association Thrivent Insurance The Alliance Humana Insurance United Healthcare

EXISTING ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Independent Insurance Agents of Wisconsin Sentry Insurance Anthem Blue Crosss Medical College of Wisconsin Capitol Consultants National Federal of Independent Business Association Minstry Healthcare Interested members of the public

No comments were received.

9. Did the Agency consider any of the following Rule Modifications to reduce the Impact of the Rule on Small Businesses in lieu of repeal?		
Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements		
Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting		
Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements		
Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards		
Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements		
Other, describe: The Office retained existing requirements that small businesses would need to comply with rather than		
increasing or otherwise modifying financial requirements for those providers.		
10. Fund Sources Affected	11. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
□ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS □ SEG □ SEG-S	None	
12. Fiscal Effect of Repealing or Modifying the Rule		
No Fiscal Effect	Increase Costs	
☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
;	Decrease Cost	
13. Summary of Costs and Benefits of Repealing or Modifying the Rule		
There is no cost to modifying this rule and implements exisit	ing state law and makes possible larger health care	
providers wanting to self-insure the ability to do so in a manner that is not cost prohibitive. Specifically, without the		
proposed rule large health care providers comprised of several hospitals, clinics and physicians were required to separate		
the business with each unique health care provider self-insuring rather than as proposed in this rule, the ability of that		
same health care system to self-insurer affiliated providers in one self-insured plan.		
14. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)		
□ Yes ⊠ No		
15. Long Range Implications of Repealing or Modifying the Rule		
The implications of the modified rule include possible increase in the number of registered self-insured affliated health		
care providers but the Office is able to absorb the increase without additional staffing or funds.		
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government		
There is no comparable approach at the federal level as there is no similar Fund at the federal level.		
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)		
No neighboring states have comparable Funds.		
18. Contact Name	19. Contact Phone Number	
Julie E. Walsh	608-264-8101	
This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.		

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

EXISTING ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis