

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Receipt production is projected to require 17 minutes per unit.

Annual impact for receipt processing is projected to be .08 FTE.

The Department intends to promulgate a permanent rule on this subject and will include in the fiscal estimate for the permanent rule an estimate of costs that reflects the numbers and costs experienced under this emergency rule.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The rule change will allow DMV to issue a receipt to an applicant in the petition process that would permit the individual to vote in the upcoming elections as the petition process proceeds. This rule change will also set forth specific criteria, standards and procedures with mandatory duties of the DMV administrator, thus limiting the use of the DMV administrator's discretion in the petition process. Finally, this rule change will benefit the public because common law principles recognizing name changes will be codified within the Wisconsin Administrative Code.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

This change would adjust the process of identification card issuance for the term of the emergency rule. The approved Statement of Scope for this rule contemplated both an emergency rule and a permanent rule. The Department still intends to promulgate a permanent rule on this subject.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

N/A

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Michigan is the only neighboring state that has a voter-ID law in place. Michigan does not have an exception process in place for individuals who are missing documents, and requires applicants to present documentary proof without regard to whether payment of a government fee is required to obtain such documentary proof. Because Michigan does not have an extraordinary proof process, it does not have legal requirements specifying the process or mandatory duties required within an extraordinary proof process.

<h3>17. Contact Name</h3>	<h3>18. Contact Phone Number</h3>
Robert Combs, DMV, Wisconsin Dept. of Transportation	(608) 266-1449

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

N/A

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

Small businesses are not involved in the issuance of these identification cards and are not eligible to receive them

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

Yes No
