

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

Wis. Admin. Code § JUS 17.03 (8)

3. Subject

Rule relating to the firearms training required for licenses authorizing persons to carry concealed weapons under s. 175.60, Stats.

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

20.455 (2) (gs) and 20.455 (2) (gu)

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses **(if checked, complete Attachment A)**

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

Under current law, a "firearms safety or training course" for concealed carry licensing purposes under s. 175.60(4)(a)1.b. to e., Stats., must have a student-teacher ratio less than or equal to 50:1. DOJ has determined that the 50:1 requirement is not enforceable under existing statutes and should be repealed. Consistent with that determination, DOJ has ceased enforcement of the 50:1 requirement. This rule will formally repeal the 50:1 requirement in order to make the administrative rule consistent with the statutes and to make it clear to the public that the 50:1 requirement is no longer being enforced.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

None at this time. This rule is being proposed to comply with a litigation agreement and to bring the rule into conformity with the law. Comments received after posting and public hearings will be included in an additional fiscal estimate.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

None

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

The proposed rule does not impose any financial or compliance burdens that will have an effect on small businesses or a significant economic impact. Accordingly, DOJ has determined that the gathering and analysis of additional data regarding any such effects or impact is unnecessary.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The proposed rule is predicated on legal analysis by DOJ personnel of the language and requirements of ss. 175.60, 227.10 (2m), and 227.11 (2) (a) 3. Based on that analysis, DOJ has determined that the proposed rule is necessary to comply with statutory requirements.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

There are no long range implications associated with the proposed rule.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

For persons other than current and former law enforcement officers, the regulation of the carrying of concealed weapons is primarily governed at the state level. Numerous federal statutes and regulations restrict the possession of weapons that have been shipped in interstate commerce, but there are no federal regulations that relate to training for state CCW licensing.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

See "Analysis by the Department of Justice" in the Department's order adopting the emergency rule

<p>17. Contact Name Brian R. O'Keefe Administrator Division of Law Enforcement Services</p>	<p>18. Contact Phone Number 608-266-7052</p>
---	--

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-