| ADMINISTRATIVE RULES | | |
|--|----------------------------|--|
| FISCAL ESTIMATE AND ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS | | |
| Type of Estimate and Analysis | | |
| Original Updated Corrected | | |
| | | |
| Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number Section Tax 12.50 – Exempt solar and wind energy systems and Section Tax 20.01 to 20.03 School Levy Tax Credit, | | |
| Lottery Fund. | | |
| Subject | | |
| Exempt solar and wind energy systems and School Levy Tax Credit, Lottery Fund | | |
| Fund Sources Affected | | Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected |
| ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG SEG-S | | |
| Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule | | |
| No Fiscal Effect | Increase Existing Revenues | Increase Costs |
| ☐ Indeterminate | Decrease Existing Revenues | Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget Decrease Costs |
| | | Decrease Costs |
| The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) | | |
| State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors | | |
| Local Government Units | | |
| Yes No | | |
| Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule | | |
| The proposed rule will amend s. Tax 12.50 to reflect changes made to sec. 70.111 (18), Wis. Stats., by 2013 Wisconsin | | |
| Act 20 and repeal ss. Tax 20.01 to 20.03 because 2013 Wisconsin Act 20 repealed DOR's rule-making authority under sec. | | |
| 73.03 (66), Wis. Stats. | | |
| Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) | | |
| As indicated in the attached fiscal estimate, there is no fiscal effect due to the proposed rule. | | |
| No comments concerning the economic effect of the rule were submitted in response to the department's solicitation. | | |
| Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule | | |
| The proposed rule will amends the administrative code to reflect current law. | | |
| Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule | | |
| No long-range implications are anticipated. | | |
| Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government | | |
| N/A | | |
| Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) | | |
| DOR is not aware of a similar rule in an adjacent state. | | |