ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FISCAL ESTIMATE AND ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS Type of Estimate and Analysis Updated □ Corrected Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number Chapter Tax 13 - Investment and Local Impact Board Subject Various changes to Chapter Tax 13 Fund Sources Affected Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG SEG-S Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule No Fiscal Effect ■ No Fiscal Effect No Fiscal Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Decrease Costs The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Local Government Units ☐ Public Utility Rate Payers Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? ☐ Yes ⊠ No Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The rule does not create or revise policy, other than to reflect current law and department policy. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) The proposed rule modifies Chapter Tax 13 of the administrative code to reflect statutory changes, eliminate repetitive rules, eliminate rules lacking authority, and correct an error in the current rule provisions. The proposed rule has no fiscal effect. No comments concerning the economic effect of the rule were submitted in response to the department's solicitation. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Clarifications and guidance provided by administrative rules may lower the compliance costs for businesses, local governmental units, and individuals. If the rule is not implemented, Chapter Tax 13 will be incomplete in that it will not reflect current law or department policy. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule No long-range implications are anticipated. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government N/A Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

N/A

| FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM | | | 2015 Session | | |
|---|----------------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| | | LRB# | | | |
| ☐ ORIGINAL ☐ UPDATE | ☐ UPDATED | | INTRODUCTION # | | |
| ☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEM | MENTAL | Admin rule # | SS 065-14 | | |
| Subject | | | | | |
| Proposed order of the Department of Revenue relating to Investment and Local Impact Board administration. | | | | | |
| Fiscal Effect State: No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation Increase Existing Appropriation □ Decrease Existing Revenues □ Decrease Existing Revenues | | ng Revenues | ☐ Increase Costs - May be Possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ☐ No | | |
| ☐ Create New Appropriation | ation Decrease Existing Revenues | | ☐ Decrease Costs | | |
| Local: No Local Government Costs | | | , = | | |
| 1. | 3. | Revenues | 5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected: | | |
| ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory | ☐ Permis | ssive Mandatory | ☐ Towns ☐ Villages ☐ Cities | | |
| 2. Decrease Costs | 4. Decrease | e Revenues | ☐ Counties ☐ Others | | |
| ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory | ☐ Permis | ssive Mandatory | ☐ School Districts ☐ WTCS Districts | | |
| Fund Sources Affected | - | Affected Ch. 20 | Appropriations | | |
| ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS | ☐ SEG ☐ SEG- | -S | | | |

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:

The proposed rule modifies Chapter TAX 13 of the administrative code to reflect statutory changes, eliminate repetitive rules, eliminate rules lacking statutory authority, and correct an error in the current rule provisions.

The proposed rule includes:

- Repealing unnecessary and confusing provisions related to the investment and local impact board's distribution of funds to local communities.
- Amends Tax 13 reflecting the statutory changes of 2013 WI Act 1: adjusting the "notice of intent payment" to \$225,000, which is to be paid in 3 increments of \$75,000. The payment changes were included in fiscal estimate prepared for Act 1.

The proposed rule has no fiscal effect.

| FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET | Detailed Estimate of Anni | ual Fiscal Effect | 2015 Session |
|---|--|----------------------------|-----------------|
| ☐ ORIGINAL ☐ UPDATED | LRB# | Admin. Rule # SS 065-14 | |
| ☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEMENTAL | INTRODUCTION # | | 003-14 |
| Subject Proposed order of the Department of Rever | nue relating Investment and I | ocal Impact Board admir | nistration |
| One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/o | | | |
| II. Annualized Costs: | Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from: | | |
| A. State Costs by Category | | Increased Costs | Decreased Costs |
| State Operations - Salaries and Fringe | \$ | \$ - | |
| (FTE Position Changes) | | () | - |
| State Operations-Other Costs | | | - |
| Local Assistance | | - | |
| Aids to Individuals or Organizations | | - | |
| TOTAL State Costs by Category | \$ | \$ | |
| B. State Costs by Source of Funds | | Increased Costs | Decreased Costs |
| GPR | | \$ | \$ |
| FED | | | - |
| PRO/PRS | | | - |
| SEG/SEG-S | | \$ | - |
| III. State Revenues - Complete this only when propos | al will increase or decrease | Increased Rev. | Decreased Rev. |
| revenues (e.g., tax increase, dec | crease in license fee, etc.) | | |
| GPR Taxes | | \$ | \$ - |
| GPR Earned | | | - |
| FED | | - | |
| PRO/PRS | | - | |
| SEG/SEG-S | | - | |
| TOTAL State Revenues | | \$ | \$ |
| NE | T ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT | | |
| | STATE | | LOCAL |
| NET CHANGE IN COSTS | \$ | | |
| NET CHANGE IN REVENUES | \$ | \$ | |
| Agency/Prepared by Wisconsin Department of Revenue | Date | | |
| Craig Steinfeldt 608 266-5705 | Wisconsin Department of Revenue Bob Schmidt 608 266-5773 | | |