

EXISTING ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Repeal Modification

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

Wisconsin Administrative Code Chapter ER 10 and 18

3. Date Rule promulgated and/or revised; Date of most recent Evaluation

10/94 and 06/05, respectively.

4. Plain Language Analysis of the Rule, its Impact on the Policy Problem that Justified its Creation and Changes in Technology, Economic Conditions or Other Factors Since Promulgation that alter the need for or effectiveness of the Rule.

The objective of the rules are to conform the administrative code to statutory and technological changes. The proposed rule will do the following:

Section 1: Adjust the maximum number of hours that an LTE may work in accordance with statute.

Section 2: Adjust the amount of a hours of annual leave for nonrepresented employees with FLSA nonexempt status to receive an annual leave schedule identical to that of FLSA nonexempt status employees covered by collective bargaining agreements, in accordance with statute.

Section 3: Adjust the number of hours worked for every full time employee in conformance with the presumption of software purchased and implemented for the administration of payroll.

Section 4: Adjust the number of hours used in proration calculations to conform to the system requirements of the State's new ERP program, PeopleSoft, commonly referred to as the STAR project.

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions and Mechanisms

Not applicable.

6. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following
(Check All That Apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy	<input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors
<input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units	<input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers
	<input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses

7. Summary of the Impacts, including Compliance Costs, identifying any Unnecessary Burdens the Rule places on the ability of Small Business to conduct their Affairs.

None anticipated.

8. List of Small Businesses, Organizations and Members of the Public that commented on the Rule and its Enforcement and a Summary of their Comments.

None.

9. Did the Agency consider any of the following Rule Modifications to reduce the Impact of the Rule on Small Businesses in lieu of repeal?

EXISTING ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

10. Fund Sources Affected

- GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

11. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

12. Fiscal Effect of Repealing or Modifying the Rule

- No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

13. Summary of Costs and Benefits of Repealing or Modifying the Rule

Avoids customization of computer program.

14. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No

15. Long Range Implications of Repealing or Modifying the Rule

None.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

Not applicable.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Not applicable.

18. Contact Name

Paul Ostrowski
Division of Personnel Management
Department of Administration
101 E. Wilson Street, 4th Floor
Madison, WI 53702
Paul.ostrowski@wisconsin.gov

19. Contact Phone Number

608-267-0343

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.