

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis
 Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number
DE 13

3. Subject
Designating continuing education on the topic of prescribing controlled substances

| | |
|--|---|
| 4. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S | 5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.165(1)(g) |
|--|---|

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule
 No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)
 State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses **(if checked, complete Attachment A)**

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?
 Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule
In response to the opioid epidemic, dentists will be required to take 2 credits (of the required 30 credits) each biennium in the topic of responsible prescribing of controlled substances.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.
This rule was posted for economic comments and none were received.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.
None

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
There is will not be an economic or fiscal impact. This rule does not change the number of credits of continuing education required.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule
The benefit is requiring a portion of the biennial continuing education be on the topic of responsible prescribing of controlled substances will address the growing concern with prescription drug abuse.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule
The long range implication is dentists are provided with education on the topic of responsible prescribing of controlled substances.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government
None

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)
Michigan requires 3 continuing education credits, in each 3 year renewal period, in pain and symptom management. Illinois, Iowa and Minnesota do not require continuing education in the topic of responsible prescribing of controlled substances for the treatment of acute dental pain or in a topic which is substantially equivalent.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

17. Contact Name

Sharon Henes

18. Contact Phone Number

(608) 261-2377

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.