

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

---

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis  
 Original    Updated    Corrected

---

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number  
DE 5

---

3. Subject  
Unprofessional Conduct

---

4. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.165(1)(g)
--	---

---

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule  
 No Fiscal Effect    Increase Existing Revenues    Increase Costs  
 Indeterminate    Decrease Existing Revenues    Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget  
 Decrease Cost

---

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)  
 State's Economy    Specific Businesses/Sectors  
 Local Government Units    Public Utility Rate Payers  
 Small Businesses **(if checked, complete Attachment A)**

---

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?  
 Yes    No

---

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule  
The Board completed a comprehensive review of DE 5 Standards of Conduct to ensure the chapters are statutorily compliant and are current with professional standards and practices.

---

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.  
This rule was posted for economic comments and none were received.

---

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.  
None

---

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)  
This rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact.

---

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule  
The benefit to implementing the rule is a code which has not been updated since 1999 will reflect current professional standards and practices.

---

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule  
The long range implication is the unprofessional conduct provisions reflect current professional practice.

---

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government  
None

---

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)  
Illinois' unprofessional conduct provisions include irregularities in billing for services rendered to a patient. It does not include surrendering a license while under investigation. It also does not address failure to treat a person due to color, sex or gender.

---

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

Iowa's unprofessional conduct provisions include: various billing violations; and refusing to accept patients or deny dental service to patients because of the patient's race, creed, sex or national origin. It does not mention a person surrendering a license while under investigation.

The provisions addressed in this proposed rule are not included in Michigan or Minnesota's unprofessional conduct provisions.

---

17. Contact Name Sharon Henes	18. Contact Phone Number (608) 261-2377
----------------------------------	--

---

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.