STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis Original □ Updated □ Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number PT 10		
3. Subject Authority of physical therapists to order x-rays		
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected $20.165(1)(g)$	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Decrease Cost	
☐ Local Government Units ☐ Publ	cific Businesses/Sectors lic Utility Rate Payers Ill Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? ☐ Yes ☐ No		
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Current rules of the Physical Therapy Examining Board address the practice of physical therapy in Wisconsin. As required by 2015 Wisconsin Act 375, the proposed rules specify the qualifications a physical therapist is required to satisfy to order X-rays.		
10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. The proposed rule was posted on the Department of Safety and Professional Services' website for 14 days in order to solicit comments from businesses, representative associations, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the rule. No comments were received.		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. No local governmental units participated in the development of this EIA.		
 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) The proposed rule will not have a significant impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the state's economy as a whole. 		
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit to implementing the rule is providing clarity and updated practice standards. If the rule is not implemented, it will continue to reference outdated practice standards.		
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implication of implementing the rule is clarity and updated practice standards.		
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government None	t	

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) The following rules governing the practice of physical therapy in Illinois, Iowa, Michigan, and Minnesota do not explicitly authorize or prohibit the ordering of X-rays by physical therapists:

Illinois: 68 Ill. Adm. Code 1340

Iowa: 645 IAC 200 to 203

Michigan: Mich Admin Code, R 338.7101 to R 338.7150

Minnesota: Minnesota Rules, Chapter 5601

17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
Dale Kleven	(608) 261-4472

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.