STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis		
☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number RAD 1		
3. Subject		
Definition of licensed independent practitioner		
4. Fund Sources Affected	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	20.165(1)(g)	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule	_	
	Increase Costs	
☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
	☐ Decrease Cost	
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)		
	cific Businesses/Sectors	
□ Local Government Units □ Public Utility Rate Payers □ Small Rusinesses (if already a smallete Attackment A)		
Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) 8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?		
Yes No		
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule		
The proposed rule reflects the provisions of 2015 Wisconsin Act 375, which grants qualified physical therapists the		
authority to order X-rays. Current rules provide the scope of practice for radiographers involves the production of images		
for the interpretation by, or at the request of, a licensed independent practitioner. "Licensed independent practitioner" as		
defined in current rules does not include a physical therapist. The proposed rule amends the definition of "licensed		
independent practitioner" to include a physical therapist who is licensed under s. 448.53, Stats., and satisfies one of the		
qualifications under s. 448.56 (7) (a), Stats.		
10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.		
The proposed rule was posted on the Department of Safety and Professional Services' website for 14 days in order to		
solicit comments from businesses, representative associations, local governmental units, and individuals that may be		
affected by the rule. No comments were received.		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.		
No local governmental units participated in the development of this EIA.		
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local		
Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be		
Incurred) The managed rule will not have a cignificant impact on appoints businesses business sectors multiputility note navors		
The proposed rule will not have a significant impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the state's economy as a whole.		
<u> </u>	and the order of the Darle	
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit to implementing the rule is providing clarity and updated definitions. If the rule is not implemented, the		
definition of licensed independent practitioner will remain outdated.		
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule		
The long range implication of implementing the rule is clarity and updated definitions.		
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government		
None		

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) The following rules governing the practice of physical therapy in Illinois, Iowa, Michigan, and Minnesota do not explicitly authorize or prohibit the ordering of X-rays by physical therapists:

Illinois: 68 Ill. Adm. Code 1340

Iowa: 645 IAC 200 to 203

Michigan: Mich Admin Code, R 338.7101 to R 338.7150

Minnesota: Minnesota Rules, Chapter 5601

32 III. Admin. Code 401, which provides for accreditation in the practice of medical radiation technology in Illinois; 645 IAC 42, which provides for permits to operate ionizing radiation producing machines or administer radioactive materials in Iowa; and Minn. Stat. 144.21, which provides requirements for X-ray operators, do not address qualifications for ordering X-rays. Michigan does not have requirements for the licensure or credentialing of X-ray machine operators.

17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
Dale Kleven	(608) 261-4472

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request