

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

Chir 1

3. Subject

Authority and definitions

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

20.165(1)(g)

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

Sections Chir 1.01 and 1.02 (intro.) incorrectly reference chs. Chir 1 to 11. The proposed rules update these references to chs. Chir 1 to 13.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

The proposed rule was posted on the Department of Safety and Professional Services' website for 14 days in order to solicit comments from businesses, representative associations, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the rule. No comments were received.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

No local governmental units participated in the development of this EIA.

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

This proposed rule will not have a significant impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units, or the state's economy as a whole.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The benefit to implementing the rule is providing clarity and updated references. If the rule is not implemented, it will continue to provide outdated references.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long range implication of implementing the rule is clarity and updated references.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

None

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois: Rules of the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation address chiropractic practice in Illinois (68 Ill. Adm. Code 1285).

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Iowa: Rules of the Iowa Board of Chiropractic address chiropractic practice in Iowa (645 IAC 41 to 45).

Michigan: Rules of the Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs address chiropractic practice in Michigan (Mich Admin Code, R 338.12001 to R 338.12015).

Minnesota: Rules of the Minnesota Board of Chiropractic Examiners address chiropractic practice in Minnesota (Minnesota Rules, chapter 2500).

17. Contact Name Dale Kleven	18. Contact Phone Number (608) 261-4472
---------------------------------	--

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.