

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	2. Date October 17, 2018
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Med 22	
4. Subject Perfusionists	
5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.165(1)(hg)
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule A comprehensive review of ch. Med 22 was conducted to ensure the rules are consistent with current standards for drafting style and format and applicable Wisconsin statutes. As a result, the following updates have been made: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Sections Med 20.03 and 22.04 are revised to remove requirements for applications submitted prior to January 1, 2004.• Revisions to s. Med 22.04 (3), (4), and (6) are made to, as required under s. 448.05 (6) (a), Stats., specify the passing score for the Perfusion Basic Science Examination, the Clinical Applications in Perfusion Examination, the statutes and rules examination, and the oral examination.• Section Med 22.04 (9) is revised to clarify the requirement to complete further professional training or education before retaking an exam after a third failure does not apply to the Perfusion Basic Science Examination or the Clinical Applications in Perfusion Examination.• Section Med 22.07 (5m) is created to void the application of an applicant who uses a recording device when reviewing an examination, and requires the applicant to reapply for licensure. A provision is created under s. Med 22.04 (5) that will allow the Board to require an applicant who has violated s. Med 22.07 (5m) to complete an oral examination.• Section Med 22.10 (5) is revised to comply with s. 440.03 (4m), Stats., as created by 2017 Wisconsin Act 59. Under this provision, the Board may require a credential holder to submit proof of completing continuing education programs or courses only if a complaint is made against the credential holder.• Other provisions throughout ch. Med 22 have been updated to provide clarity and conform to current standards for drafting style and format.	
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The proposed rule was posted on the Department of Safety and Professional Services' website for 14 days in order to solicit comments from businesses, representative associations, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the rule. No comments were received.	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.

No local governmental units participated in the development of this EIA.

14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

The proposed rule will not have a significant impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units, or the state's economy as a whole. The Department estimates one-time administrative costs of \$1,518.17, which may be absorbed in the agency budget.

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The benefit to implementing the rule is reflecting current standards for drafting style and format and applicable Wisconsin statutes. If the rule is not implemented, it will continue to contain outdated references.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long range implication of implementing the rule is updated references and conformity with the Wisconsin Statutes.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

None

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois:

Rules of the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation address the practice of perfusion in Illinois (68 Ill. Adm. Code 1335.10 to 1335.100). Although underlying statutes authorize the Department to establish rules requiring 30 hours of continuing education per 2-year license renewal cycle (225 ILCS 125/75 – Perfusionist Practice Act), the rules currently do not have such a requirement.

Iowa:

Iowa rules and statutes do not specifically address the practice of perfusion.

Michigan:

Michigan rules and statutes do not specifically address the practice of perfusion.

Minnesota:

Minnesota rules and statutes do not specifically address the practice of perfusion.

19. Contact Name

Dale Kleven

20. Contact Phone Number

(608) 261-4472

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
 - Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
 - Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
 - Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
 - Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
 - Other, describe:
-

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-