STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date	
☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected	11/21/18	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) DCF 150		
4. Subject		
A correction affecting the determination of child support when the parents have shared placement and the payer receives		
the child's SSDI benefits		
5. Fund Sources Affected  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S	Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule		
	Increase Costs	
☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues ☐	Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Businesses/Sectors	
	ility Rate Payers	
	sinesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
<ol> <li>Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Go</li> <li>NA</li> </ol>	overnmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Go Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?	overnmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over	
☐ Yes ☐ No		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Correction of a drafting error.		
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals		
that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.  The Wisconsin Child Support Enforcement Association and the State Bar of Wisconsin		
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.  No comments were received		
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) None		
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementin	a the Rule	
Correction of a drafting error	g the redic	
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule None		
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government		
Wisconsin's Percentage of Income Standard was created to comprequire that as a condition of approval of its State plan, all states judicial or administrative action for setting and modifying child methodology to be used in calculating support orders is at state definition.	must establish one set of guidelines by law or by support award amounts within the State. The	

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Iowa, Minnesota, and Michigan use an Income Shares Model to establish child support. Illinois uses a Percentage of Income Standard. The amount of support calculated under Wisconsin's Percentage of Income Standard is consistent with that of neighboring states.

that of horghooting states.	
19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Connie Chesnik, Attorney	(608) 422-7040

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)     NA
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses $NA$
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?  Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements  Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting  Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements  Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards  Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements  Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses NA
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions NA
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)  ☐ Yes ☐ No