

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	2. Date 14 March 2019
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Phar 7.21	
4. Subject Delegate check delegate	
5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0.00	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule This rule allows for a delegate to complete the product verification. The Pharmacy Examining Board initiated a pilot program on October 1, 2016 and has determined that the procedures utilized in the pilot program were sufficient for the safety of the public and increases the availability of a pharmacist for involvement in other patient care activities.	
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. This rule was posted for economic comments and none were received.	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None.	
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) This rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the State's Economy as a Whole.	
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit of the implementing this rule is to allow for the pharmacist to be involved in other patient care activities.	
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implication is delegates may complete product verifications and pharmacists will be able to focus on other patient care activities.	
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government None	
18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Iowa allows for technician-check-technician. The technician must have active Iowa registration, hold national technician certification, have experience as a technician and trained in technician-check-technician (including medication errors).	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

There shall be a supervising pharmacist. The pharmacy is required to have policies and procedures in place and maintain records. The drug utilization review must be performed by a pharmacist. The medication checked by a technician must be checked by a licensed health care practitioner prior to administration. Illinois, Michigan and Minnesota do not allow for technician-check-technician.

19. Contact Name Sharon Henes	20. Contact Phone Number (608) 261-2377
----------------------------------	--

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
 - Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
 - Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
 - Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
 - Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
 - Other, describe:
-

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-