ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis ☑ Original □ Updated □Corrected	2. Date 26 August 2019	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Psy 4		
4. Subject Continuing education audits, and revewal and reinstatement of licenses		
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected $20.165(1)(g)$	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues	 ☑ Increase Costs ☑ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget 	
Local Government Units Public	ific Businesses/Sectors c Utility Rate Payers I Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0.00		
 10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? ☐ Yes ⊠ No 		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The proposed rule conforms the rule to 2017 Act 59 and clarifies the requirements for renewal and reinstatement of a license.		
 Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. This rule was posted for economic impact comments and none were received. 		
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None. There is no impact on local governmental units.		
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
This rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the State's economy as a whole.		
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit to the proposed rule to is to have clear requirements for renewal and reinstatement of licenses and to be in compliance with statutes.		
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implications is less confusion regarding how a licensee renews or reinstates a license. In addition, the continuing education audit provision will be statutory compliant.		
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government None		
18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Illinois, Iowa and Minnesota conduct random audits of continuing education and Michigan authorizes audits of continuing education.		
1		

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

In Illinois, an inactive license may be restored by verification of active practice in another jurisdiction, completion of a one year education program, six months in a supervised experience, or passing an examination.

In Iowa, an inactive license may be reactivated by verification of practice in another jurisdiction and 40 hours of continuing education, or if inactive more than 5 years, completion of 80 hours of continuing education.

In Michigan, if the license has been expired less than 3 years, the licensee is required to complete 30 hours of continuing education. If the license has been expired more than 3 years, the licensee is required to provide evidence of a current license in another jurisdiction or pass an examination.

In Minnesota, if the licensee is required to complete the requirements for examination and licensure in effect at the time of application for relicensure.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Sharon Henes	(608) 261.2377

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

- 3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗌 Yes 🗌 No