ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date	
Original Updated Corrected		
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable)		
PI 34, Educator Licenses		
4. Subject		
Expanding the assessment of pedagogical knowledge in educator preparation programs		
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S		
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule		
No Fiscal Effect	Increase Costs Decrease Costs	
Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues	Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)		
State's Economy		
🗌 Local Government Units 🛛 🔄 Public Utility Rate Payers		
Sma	Il Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137 (3) (b) 1., Stats.		
\$0		
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137 (3) (b) 2., Stats.?		
🗌 Yes 🛛 No		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule		
Chapter PI 34 of the Wisconsin Administrative Code contains the current administrative rules governing the		
licensure of school personnel, including rules around the completion of an educator preparation program as a condition for an applicant receiving educator licensure. Under the rule, an educator preparation program's		
conceptual framework shall contain a system to assess the knowledge, skills, and dispositions of students based on		
the educator standards in subch. II of PI 34. The assessment shall, in part, measure a student's pedagogical		
knowledge of the teaching profession, whereby the assessment shall include a passing score on a research-based		
performance assessment approved by the State Superintendent.		
Since the rules were promulgated in August 2018, the Department has identified further flexibility for student		

Since the rules were promulgated in August 2018, the Department has identified further flexibility for student applicants seeking to work in the teaching profession in the assessment of pedagogical knowledge. Additionally, the rule is not aligned with some pathways to licensure, which have been created through recent changes to statute and do not require any assessment of pedagogical knowledge. A rule is therefore needed to address differences between rule and statute and to provide flexibility in the assessment of pedagogical knowledge.

12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments

The Department held a preliminary public hearing and comment period on the scope statement for the proposed rule. The comments received were also considered in the development of this economic impact analysis. No specific businesses or local governments were contacted.

- 13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA None.
- 14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

Local: The proposed rule will create flexibility and reduce the regulatory burden on educator preparation programs by no creating options for programs in assessing a student teacher's predagogical knowledge as a condition for

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

attaining licensure. Additionally, the rule may result in a savings for student teachers which may be assessed on their knowledge of pedagogy by other means relative to the status quo. However, the flexibilities offered to educator preparation programs and student teachers as a result of this rule change is dependent on individual behavior, and the Department is unable to predict how many applicants would benefit from this change. Therefore, the local impact as a result of this rule is indeterminate.

State: None.

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The Department has identified further flexibility for license applicants by creating options for educator preparation programs in the assessment of pedagogical knowledge, as well as addressing differences between rule and statute. Absent a rule change, the Department would be required to implement PI 34 as the rules currently exist, thus limiting assessment options for institutions of higher education seeking to endorse qualified candidates for licensure.

16. Long-Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The proposed rule will make the licensing process for student teachers more flexible as well as address differences between rule and statute.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government $N\!/\!A$

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

• Illinois: Pursuant to 105 ILCS 5/21B-30, Illinois requires all new teachers to pass the education Teacher Performance Assessment (edTPA) for their applicable grade level, based on its standards. The edTPA can only be waived for out-of-state applicants who have 1 year of teaching experience. Out-of-state teachers, teaching on a provisional license must pass the edTPA during the one-year validity of the provisional license in order to be fully licensed.

• Iowa: Pursuant to 281 Iowa Administrative Code section 79.15, Iowa requires all new teachers to pass the applicable grade level pedagogy test in order to attain licensure. Candidates have three options: the Praxis Principles of Learning and Teaching series, the Praxis Performance Assessment for Teachers (PPAT), or the appropriate education Teacher Performance Assessment (edTPA).

• Michigan: Michigan does not require an assessment of pedagogical knowledge.

• Minnesota: Pursuant to Minnesota Administrative Rules Chapter 8710, Minnesota requires all teachers to pass the applicable grade level pedagogy test under the Minnesota Teacher Licensure Examinations (MTLE) in order to attain licensure.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Carl Bryan, Administrative Rules Coordinator	(608) 266-3275
Department of Public Instruction	

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.