STATEMENT OF SCOPE Department of Health Services

Rule Ch.: DHS 145

Relating

To:

Establishing protections for Wisconsin citizens by maintaining appropriate distancing or other measures to slow and contain the spread of COVID-19 protect health and safety, while turning the dial to reopen Wisconsin's economic protect health and safety.

Rule Type:

Emergency

1. Finding/ Nature Of Emergency:

The Department of Health Services ("DHS") seeks to promulgate new emergency rules to addressed public health emergency created by the SARS-CoV-2 virus ("COVID-19") in the State of Wisconservers formally declared a state of emergency (consistent with all other states, as well federal government) on March 12, 2020, in Executive Order #72. This is an unprecedented public emergency, with no vaccine available to prevent infection. The State of Wisconsin has been we tirelessly to address the crisis.

In Executive Order #72, Governor Evers declared a state of emergency, designated DHS as the leading the State of Wisconsin's response, and authorized the Adjutant General to activate the National Guard. On March 16, 2020, the State Emergency Operation Center ("SEOC") moved Level 1 and began mobilizing the resources of state government and partners around the State Wisconsin to confront the crisis. State of Wisconsin employees—including public health physic epidemiologists, public health educators, statisticians, logistics specialists, project managers, N Guard service members, human resource specialists, IT professionals, procurement and account and more—have been working seven days a week to coordinate and execute the State of Wisconsin facilities, hospital surge planning, personal protective equipment ("PPE") procurement and log decontamination, community and targeted testing, rapid incident response, laboratory capacity

This emergency has overwhelmed the country and cost many lives. One of the most troubling is about COVID-19 is that it is highly contagious and can even be spread by infected individuals no symptoms. COVID-19 is highly transmissible via respiratory droplets released when an infeperson coughs, sneezes, speaks, or breathes. Infectious particles—which again may be shed in quantities by someone with no symptoms at all—can remain in the air and on surfaces for an experimental entry.

period, but the precise duration remains unknown. Because there is no vaccine currently, the precise duration remains unknown. Because there is no vaccine currently, the precise duration weapon that any state, including Wisconsin, has to control and minimize the spread of the disease.

specimen collection, contact tracing and surveillance, and much more.

infecting between 2.2 to 3.6 other persons (by comparison, the H1N1 flu had a rate of 1.4 to 1.11,000 people have tested positive for COVID-19 in Wisconsin and more than 400 people have far. Nationally, more than 1.4 million people have tested positive for COVID-19 and more than people have died from COVID-19 so far this year. By comparison, approximately 34,000 Ame from influenza during the 12-month 2018-19 influenza season without any social distancing me Worldwide, more than 3.68 million people have tested positive for COVID-19 and more than 2 people have died.

Without such measures, COVID-19 infects communities at an exponential rate, with each infect

In response to this worldwide pandemic, every state in the nation has declared a state of emerge March 24, 2020, Governor Evers and DHS Secretary Palm issued Emergency Order #12 ("Safe Order") which instructed Wisconsin citizens to stay at home until April 24, 2020, with certain for essential activities and businesses. At that point, similar emergency orders had already beer many other states across the country, including in California, Delaware, Hawaii, Illinois, Indian Louisiana, Michigan, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Wasi

and West Virginia. Currently, 41 other states have had such orders.

scope of DHS's authority under that statute.

When the Safer-at-Home Order was issued on March 24, 2020, the number of Wisconsin citize positive for COVID-19 was doubling every 3.4 days. By April 14, 2020, that rate of doubling to about every 12 days. It is evident that, absent the Safer-at-Home Order, uncontrolled spread COVID-19 would have had a much more significant impact on public health in Wisconsin, wit critical impacts falling upon frontline healthcare providers and Wisconsinites most susceptible COVID-19 disease. It has been demonstrated that, when such uncontrolled spread has occurred places such as Spain and Italy, healthcare systems have had to ration resources, supplies of PPI ventilators have been exhausted, and many healthcare workers have gotten sick and died.

On April 16, 2020, DHS issued Wisconsin's Emergency Order #28, which extended the Safer-Order ("Safer-at-Home Extension") through May 26, 2020. Emergency Order #28 followed the general framework as the Safer-at-Home Order, while, based on risk, relaxing some restrictions more businesses may reopen and more activities may resume. With the Safer-at-Home Extension Wisconsin became one of multiple states to extend such an order into mid-to-late May. Most not the safer-at-Home Extension was activitied by the safer-at-Home Extension with the Safer-at-Home Extension was activitied by the safer-at-Home Extension was acti

Wisconsin's neighboring states of Illinois, Michigan, and Minnesota have also done so.

On May 13, 2020, the Wisconsin Supreme Court issued a split decision in *Wisconsin Legislatu* 2020AP765-OA. The majority opinion held that the Safer-at-Home Extension order was a rule statutory emergency rulemaking procedures established by the Legislature. The Court also held certain provisions in the Safer-at-Home Extension went beyond what is authorized by particula

The decision in *Wisconsin Legislature v. Palm* makes it necessary for DHS to engage in emerge rulemaking in order to address the ongoing public health crisis caused by the COVID-19 pande the public health crisis, DHS proposes emergency rulemaking in ch. DHS 145 to address the signal of the public health crisis.

subsections in Wis. Stat. § 252.02. The Court also indicated, however, that it was not defining to

the public health crisis, DHS proposes emergency rulemaking in cn. DHS 145 to address the si

The proposed emergency rule is intended to balance two complementary objectives: (1) "boxin COVID-19 to limit its spread to healthy Wisconsinites; and (2) reopening and support of the W economy without creating undue risk of spreading the virus and thereby causing additional long economic disruptions.

With regard to the first objective, the goal is to suppress and contain the spread of COVID-19, lives and prevent the State of Wisconsin's health care system from being overwhelmed; to allow the continued production and deployment of expansive testing, contact tracing, and development of scarce resources (such as ventilators, and PPE); and to create safe environments and reasonal measured increments of relaxing social distancing requirements, while maintaining measures the been proven to slow and contain the spread of COVID-19. The proposed rule is likely to include of temporary measures in ch. DHS 145 designed to achieve that goal consistent with the decision with the decision of the proposed space; requirements for social distancing; limitations on the number of personal safeguards for businesses to protect employees and visitors.

With regard to the second objective of turning the dial toward reopening the economy, the proposal may establish a phased approach to reopening Wisconsin's economy and society, with each phase incrementally less restrictive on businesses and individuals while protecting the public from Co

3. Description Of The Existing Policies Relevant To The Rule, New Policies Propose Included In The Rule, And An Analysis Of Policy Alternatives:

Because there is no vaccine and no treatment for COVID-19 there is presently no viable alterna

The proposed rule may model Wisconsin's phased reopening plan on federal guidelines that su take a phased approach to reopening. The federal guidelines recommend a three-phase approach

kinds of social distancing, reducing in-person contact, and related measures to control the spreadisease that have been adopted in most states. Procedurally, the only alternative to proceeding emergency rulemaking would be legislative action to address the goals of the proposed emerge

focus on downward trajectory of symptoms and cases, as well as having robust systems in plac State of Wisconsin's hospitals. DHS previously articulated such an approach in Emergency Or the Badger Bounce Back, which was designed to work in tandem with Emergency Order #28. Accordingly, the proposed emergency rule may re-articulate some or all the parameters specific Badger Bounce Back and balance them against the goals inherent in the Safer-at-Home Extension consistent with the Supreme Court's order. The proposed rule may also look at other models are guidelines for social distancing and reopening Wisconsin, including the approaches taken by order to the proposed rule will recognize that opening up the economy without thoughtful evaluation of infection to Wisconsin citizens could cause the rate of infection to spike, deteriorating the proposed rule will recognize that opening extensive spread of COVID-19. The proposed rule will be proposed rule will be proposed rule will recognize that opening extensive spread of COVID-19. The proposed rule will recognize that opening extensive spread of COVID-19.

4. Detailed Explanation Of Statutory Authority For The Rule (Including The Statute Citation And Language):

implement measures to reduce and slow the rate of infection of COVID-19, to reduce strain on

care system, to mitigate economic hardship, and to save lives.

. .

to control outbreaks and epidemics.

(4) Except as provided in ss. 93.07(24)(e) and 97.59, the department may promulgate and enfo

(3) The department may close schools and forbid public gatherings in schools, churches, and o

or issue orders for guarding against the introduction of any communicable disease into the sta control and suppression of communicable disease, for the quarantine and disinfection of persol localities and things infected or suspected of being infected by a communicable disease and for sanitary care of jails, state prisons, mental health institutions, schools, and public buildings and connected premises. Any rule or order may be made applicable to the whole or any specific pastate, or to any vessel or other conveyance.

. . .

(6) The department may authorize and implement all emergency measures necessary to control communicable diseases.

The department's rulemaking and emergency rulemaking authority is further provided in ss. 22

227.24 Emergency rules; exemptions.

(1) Promulgation

227.24, Stats.:

(a): An agency may, except as provided in s. 227.136 (1), promulgate rule as an emergency rule complying with the notice, hearing, and publication requirements under this chapter if preserve public peace, health, safety, or welfare necessitates putting the rule into effect prior to the time take effect if the agency complied with the procedures.

227.11 Agency rule-making authority.

. .

(2) Rule-making authority is expressly conferred on an agency as follows:

(a) Each agency may promulgate rules interpreting the provisions of any statute enforced or act by the agency, if the agency considers it necessary to effectuate the purpose of the statute, but a valid if the rule exceeds the bounds of correct interpretation. All of the following apply to the

promulgation of a rule interpreting the provisions of a statute enforced or administered by an all. A statutory or nonstatutory provision containing a statement or declaration of legislative in purpose, findings, or policy does not confer rule-making authority on the agency or augment the rule-making authority beyond the rule-making authority that is explicitly conferred on the agence

2. A statutory provision describing the agency's general powers or duties does not confer rule

control and suppression of communicable disease in this state, including COVID-19, and for the quarantine and disinfection of persons, localities, or things infected or suspected of being infect COVID-19. DHS further has clear, broad, and explicit authority to close schools and forbid pul gatherings in schools, churches, or other places. This statutory delegation of authority does not any bright-line rule as to the gathering sizes DHS may require or on the places where such limit put into effect. Instead, DHS is authorized to impose such controls to the extent DHS finds the necessary to "control outbreaks and epidemics." DHS is further granted the explicit authority to

Under these statutes, DHS is explicitly delegated the authority to promulgate rules as necessary

The majority opinion in *Wisconsin Legislature v. Palm* held that the Safer-at-Home Extension rule subject to statutory emergency rulemaking procedures, and thereby affirmed DHS's rulema authority under Wis. Stat. § 252.02. The majority also held that certain provisions in the Safer Extension went beyond what is authorized by particular subsections in Wis. Stat. § 252.02, but define the precise scope of DHS's authority under that statute.

implement all other emergency measures necessary to control communicable diseases, and DH explicit authority to make its rules applicable to the whole or any specific part of the state.

In drafting the proposed rule, part of DHS's decision process will include a determination of w measures DHS finds are necessary to control the spread of COVID-19 and to prevent and control outbreaks of the disease, within applicable constitutional and statutory limits. Due to the demon communicability of COVID-19 and the potential for deadly effects if COVID-19 is allowed to unchecked, DHS has determined that continued social distancing and other measures to be condescribed above will be necessary going forward to control the spread of COVID-19 and ensur health, safety, and welfare of the people of Wisconsin.

Finally, like all agencies, DHS has been delegated the explicit statutory authority to promulgate interpreting the provisions of any statute enforced or administered by" DHS, to the extent that "considers it necessary to effectuate the purpose of the statute." Wis. Stat. s. 227.11 (2) (a). T emergency rules drafted pursuant to this statement of scope will interpret provisions of chapter Wisconsin statutes to the extent necessary to effectuate the purpose of those statutes.

5. Estimate Of Amount Of Time That State Employees Will Spend Developing The Of Other Resources Necessary To Develop The Rule:

Due to the nature of the emergency, DHS will proceed as quickly as possible to develop the rule estimates that it will take an indeterminate number of hours to develop this emergency rule due novel situation and the constantly changing and uncertain nature of the pandemic. Beyond state time, additional resources may be required to ensure that the rule adequately protects the lives of the pandemic of the pandemic.

6. Entities Potentially Affected By Proposed Rule:

Wisconsinites.

Entities that may be affected include the following: Wisconsin residents, at large; Wisconsin but and non-profit organizations: Wisconsin schools and universities: libraries: public health opera

None. Federal law has not established any safer-at-home rules or regulations, nor any regulation process of reopening. DHS knows of no existing or proposed federal regulation that addresses activities of this rule.

Contact Person:

Mark R. Thompson, Admin. Rules Attorney Department of Health Services Office of Legal Counsel (608) 266-1279 mark.thompson1@dhs.wisconsin.gov

Jackson Keuler, Admin. Rules Office Department of Health Services Office of Legal Counsel (608) 266-0387 jackson.keuler@dhs.wisconsin.gov

Andrea Palm	
Secretary-Designee	
Date Submitted	