STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis		2. Date		
☑ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected		30 June 2020		
 Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) CSB 2.72 				
4. Subject Scheduling isotonitazene and 1P-LSD				
5. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected			
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase	Costs Decrease Costs		
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)				
☐ State's Economy ☐ Specific Businesses/Sectors				
☐ Local Government Units☐ Public Utility Rate Payers☐ Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)				
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).				
\$0.00				
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?				
☐ Yes ☐ No 11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule				
The Walworth County District Attorney's Office requested emergency scheduling of isotonitazene. The Milwuakee				
Medical Examiner provided additional information involving recent overdose cases, including deaths, involved				
isotonitazene in Milwaukee, Walworth and Jefferson Counties. The Calumet County District Attorney's Office				
requested emergency scheduling of 1P-LSD. The Controlled Substances Board made a determination on an imminent				
hazard to the public.				
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.				
This rule was posted for economic comments and none were received.				
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.				
None				
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)				
This rule will not have a fiscal or economic impact.				
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Isotonitazene and 1P-LSD will be schedule I controlled substances.				
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Isotonitazene and 1P-LSD would be treated as a schedule I controlled substances.				
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government The federal government has not scheduled isotonitazene and 1P-LSD.				
18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Our surrounding states have not scheduled isotonitazene and		Michigan and Minnesota)		

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Sharon Henes	608-358-4617

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses? Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements ☐ Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) ☐ Yes ☐ No