STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis     Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected	2. Date	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Sections DFI-CU 54.03(4), 56.03, 56.05, 63.01, 63.03 and chapters DFI-CU 57, 58, 66, and 73		
4. Subject Clean-up of obsolete and outdated rules		
5. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected N/A	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule  ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
☐ Local Government Units ☐ Publ	cific Businesses/Sectors ic Utility Rate Payers Il Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0		
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?  ☐ Yes ☐ No		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Modification and repeal of outdated rules to promote administrative efficiency, prevent waste, and avoid potential conflicts between obsolete rules and state statutory and federal law.		
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.  The Office sought and received input from the Credit Union Review Board prior to beginning the process to promulgate this rule.		
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.  None		
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) No measurable impact		
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The modification and repeal of outdated rules to promote administrative efficiency, prevent waste, and avoid potential conflicts between obsolete rules and state statutory and federal law. The alternative is to leave these provisions on the books, where they risk confusion without providing clear public benefits.  16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule		
Greater clarity in the law		
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government See Proposed Rule Order, Response #6		
18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) See Proposed Rule Order, Response #7		

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Matthew Lynch	608.440.0292

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## **ATTACHMENT A**

<ol> <li>Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)</li> </ol>
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
<ul> <li>3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?</li> <li>Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements</li> <li>Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting</li> <li>Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements</li> <li>Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards</li> <li>Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements</li> <li>Other, describe:</li> </ul>
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)  ☐ Yes ☐ No