## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis ⊠ Original           Updated        Corrected	
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number DCF 101, Wisconsin Works	
3. Subject Technical changes to Wisconsin Works rules	
4. Fund Sources Affected	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule         ⊠ No Fiscal Effect       □ Increase Existing Revenues         □ Indeterminate       □ Decrease Existing Revenues	<ul> <li>Increase Costs</li> <li>Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</li> <li>Decrease Cost</li> </ul>
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)         State's Economy       Specific Businesses/Sectors         Local Government Units       Public Utility Rate Payers         Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? ☐ Yes	
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The proposed rules do not address policy problems. The rules correct cross-references, update terminology, and incorporate minor statutory changes.	
<ol> <li>Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.</li> <li>Wisconsin Works agencies, Kids Forward, Legal Action of Wisconsin, WISCAP, and Community Advocates.</li> </ol>	
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. No comments were received.	
<ol> <li>Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)</li> </ol>	
There is no economic impact because the proposed rule only make technical corrections.	
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The department's rules will not contain incorrect cross-references or terminology and will not be in conflict with statute.	
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule None	
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government Not applicable	
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Not applicable	
17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
Elaine Pridgen	(608) 442-7077

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

NA

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

NA

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

NA

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

NA

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗌 Yes 🛛 No