STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date	
☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected	November 19, 2021	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) CSB 2.80		
4. Subject		
Scheduling Oliceridine		
	. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S		
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule		
	Increase Costs Decrease Costs	
☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues ☐	Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)		
·	Businesses/Sectors	
	Jtility Rate Payers	
	usinesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).		
\$0		
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local C Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?	Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over	
Yes No		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule		
On October 30, 2020, the Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration published its interim final rule in the Federal Register listing oliceridine into schedule II of the federal Controlled Substances Act.		
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Repr	esenting Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals	
that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The rule was posted on the Department's website for 14 days to solicit economic impact comments from businesses,		
business sectors, associations representing business, local governments	*	
received.	innonar antis, and marviduals. 130 comments were	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Deve	elopment of this EIA.	
None.		
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be		
Incurred)		
None.		
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implement		
The benefit is that the federal and state controlled substances acts will be uniform to avoid confusion. In addition, it is in		
the best interest of Wisconsin citizens to schedule Oliceridine a	s a controlled substance.	
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule		
The long range implication of implementing the rule will be to schedule Oliceridine as a schedule II controlled substance.		
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government		
The federal government has scheduled Oliceridine as a schedule II controlled substance.		
18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)		
Illinois: Illinois has not scheduled oliceridine as a controlled su	ibstance.	

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Iowa: Iowa has not scheduled oliceridine as a controlled substance.

Michigan: Michigan has not scheduled oliceridine as a controlled substance.

Minnesota: Minnesota has not scheduled oliceridine as a controlled substance.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Nilajah Hardin, Administrative Rules Coordinator	608-267-7139

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses? Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) ☐ Yes ☐ No