

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	2. Date October 6, 2021
--	----------------------------

3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable)

Chapters Tax 7 and 8 – Excise tax

4. Subject

This rule repeals Tax 7.11 and 8.63 (7) relating to excise tax provisions.

5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
---	---

7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate	<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues	Decrease Costs	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	

8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy	<input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors
<input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units	<input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	

9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).

\$ 0

10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?

Yes No

11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

Tax sec. 7.11 describes the conditions and provisions for refunds of excise tax paid on beer sold to the armed forces. The rule describes copies of invoices and affidavits that must be signed, retained by the armed forces, or filed with the department. These procedures are outdated as all refund claims are electronically filed and electronic records are kept by the department.

Tax sec. 8.63 (7) provides that the department shall post the name of liquor wholesale permittees on the internet, along with certain other information. The rule is obsolete as current law sec. 139.11 (4) (b) 2., requires the department to publish more detailed information about the permittee on the internet, and to update the internet listing more frequently.

12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.

A comment period was identified for economic impact and the public was notified. The comment period lasted from November 15, 2021, through November 29, 2021. No specific businesses were contacted.

13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.

No local government units participated in the development of this EIA.

14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

No impact is anticipated.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The rules are being proposed to reflect various law and procedural changes. If the rules are not changed, they will be incorrect in that they will not reflect current law or current department policy.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

No long range implications are anticipated.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

There are no similar approaches being used by Federal Government.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

There are no similar approaches being used by neighboring states.

19. Contact Name

Jen Olson

20. Contact Phone Number

608-266-8253

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-

FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM

2021 Session

- ORIGINAL UPDATED
 CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL

LRB #
INTRODUCTION #
Admin. Rule #

Subject

This rule repeals Tax 7.11 and 8.63 (7) relating to excise tax provisions.

Fiscal Effect

State: No State Fiscal Effect
 Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation

- Increase Existing Appropriation Increase Existing Revenues
 Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues
 Create New Appropriation

- Increase Costs - May be Possible to Absorb
 Within Agency's Budget Yes No
 Decrease Costs

Local: No Local Government Costs

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory | 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenues
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory |
| 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory | 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenues
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory |

5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:
 Towns Villages Cities
 Counties Others _____
 School Districts WTCS Districts

Fund Sources Affected

- GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:

Tax sec. 7.11 describes the conditions and provisions for refunds of excise tax paid on beer sold to the armed forces. The rule describes copies of invoices and affidavits that must be signed, retained by the armed forces, or filed with the department. These procedures are outdated as all refund claims are electronically filed and electronic records are kept by the department.

Tax sec. 8.63 (7) provides that the department shall post the name of liquor wholesale permittees on the internet, along with certain other information. The rule is obsolete as current law sec. 139.11 (4) (b) 2., requires the department to publish more detailed information about the permittee on the internet, and to update the internet listing more frequently.

The rule order modifies the administrative code to reflect statutory changes and has no state or local fiscal effect.

(continued on page two)

Long-Range Fiscal Implications:

Agency/Prepared by: Wisconsin Department of Revenue Mo Bah (608) 261-5136	Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Wisconsin Department of Revenue Jamie Adams (608) 266-6785	Date 10/14/2021
---	---	---------------------------

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

2021 Session

- ORIGINAL UPDATED
 CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL

LRB #	Admin. Rule #
INTRODUCTION	To be assigned

Subject

This rule repeals Tax 7.11 and 8.63 (7) relating to excise tax provisions.

I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:	
A. State Costs by Category	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe	\$	\$ -
(FTE Position Changes)	(FTE)	(- FTE)
State Operations-Other Costs		-
Local Assistance		-
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		-
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$ -
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
GPR	\$	\$ -
FED		-
PRO/PRS		-
SEG/SEG-S	\$	-
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.
GPR Taxes	\$	\$
GPR Earned		-
FED		-
PRO/PRS		-
SEG/SEG-S		-
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$ -

NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT

	<u>STATE</u>	<u>LOCAL</u>
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$ 0	\$ 0
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$ 0	\$ 0

Agency/Prepared by: Wisconsin Department of Revenue Mo Bah (608) 261-5136	Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Wisconsin Department of Revenue Jamie Adams (608) 266-6785	Date 10/14/2021
---	---	---------------------------