

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	2. Date August 3, 2022
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) ATCP 1.06 (3) (a)	
4. Subject Administrative Orders and Contested Cases	
5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0.00	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Section ATCP 1.06 (3) (a) conflicts with s. 227.42 (2), Stats., as it requires the department Secretary to grant or deny a contested case hearing request within 30 days after a complete request is filed. Section 227.42 (2), Stats., deems a request denied if an agency does not enter an order disposing of the request for hearing within 20 days from the date of filing; therefore this rule section is in conflict with statute. This proposed rule amends the conflicting section of s. ATCP 1.06 (3) (a) with s. 227.42 (2), Stats.	
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. This rule was posted on the Department website for 14 days to obtain economic impact comments.	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None.	
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) This rule does not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the State's Economy as a Whole.	
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit to implementing the rule is to remove a conflicting provision. The alternative is to retain the rule which creates conflict with statute.	
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implication of implementing the rule is statutory compliance.	
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government There are a variety of federal regulations that govern procedures in federal "contested cases." However, none of those regulations have a direct bearing on this rule.	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois: Ill. Admin. Code tit 8, s. 1.15 governs practices and procedures applicable to formal administrative proceedings conducted by the Department of Agriculture in hearing contested cases under the laws administered by the Department. In Ill. Admin. Code tit 8 s. 1.45 (a) the director or the administrative law judge shall set the date, time, and place of all formal administrative proceedings, and in sub. (c), the department shall give written notice to affected parties not later than 10 days prior to the date on which the formal administrative proceeding is scheduled, unless the specific statute or rules under which the alleged violation occurred state otherwise.

Iowa: Iowa Code Section 17A (159), states that any person claiming an entitlement to a contested case proceeding shall file a written request for such a proceeding within the time specified by the particular rules or statutes governing the subject matter or, in the absence of such law, the time specified in the agency action in question. If no time is specified in the agency action and there is no applicable rule or statute, then the written request for a contested case proceeding shall be filed in writing within 30 calendar days of the action or notice of the intended action the person wishes to contest.

Michigan: Under the Michigan Administrative Procedures Act of 1969, s. 24.271 (1), the parties in a contested case shall be given an opportunity for a hearing without undue delay.

Minnesota: In any contested case all parties shall be afforded an opportunity for a hearing after reasonable notice under, Minn. Stats. s. 14.58, and a contested case is commenced, subsequent to the assignment of a judge, by the service of a notice of and order for hearing by the agency, Minn. R. 1400.5600, subp. 1.

19. Contact Name

Julie Schmidt

20. Contact Phone Number

(608) 224-5029

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-