## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

| 1. Type of Estimate and Analysis  | 2. Date  |  |
|---|--|--|
| ☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐Corrected   | October 31, 2022   |  |
| 3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) COS 2, 3, 5 and 8   |  |  |
| 4. Subject<br>Scope of Practice, Mobile Practice and Distance Learning  |  |  |
| 5. Fund Sources Affected<br>☐ GPR ☐ FED   ☐ PRO   ☐ PRS   ☐ SEG   ☐ SEG-S   | 6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected s.20.165(1)(g)   |  |
| 7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule         □ No Fiscal Effect       □ Increase Existing Revenues         □ Indeterminate       □ Decrease Existing Revenues  | <ul> <li>☑ Increase Costs</li> <li>☑ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</li> </ul>                            |  |
| Local Government Units     Publ   | cific Businesses/Sectors<br>ic Utility Rate Payers<br>Il Businesses <b>(if checked, complete Attachment A)</b> |  |
| 9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?</li> <li>☐ Yes 	☐ No</li> </ul>  |  |  |
| 11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule<br>The board is revised its rules to establish regulations relating to the provision of certain services, including but not<br>limited to, microdermabrasion, microblading, and dermaplaning. Further, given the nature of changes in the<br>cosmetology industry, the board believes it valuable to revise these rules to bring them in line with current industry<br>practices and establish standards a credential holder must meet to perform certain procedures that may elevate the risk of<br>infection, provide specific standards for licensed establishments, and clarify the methods of providing education<br>acceptable to meet the necessary requirements to receive a credential. |  |  |
| 12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals<br>that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.<br>None.  |  |  |
| 13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None.   |  |  |
| 14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local<br>Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be<br>Incurred)  |  |  |
| No economic or fiscal impacts are anticipated for specific businesses, sectors, ratepayers, local government units, or the state's economy as a whole. A total one-time cost of \$680.00 is anticipated and will be absorbed within the operating budget of the Department of Safety and Professional Services.   |  |  |
| 15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule<br>The benefit of implementing the rule is consistency with current practices, applicability with Wisconsin statutes and<br>increased efficiency of the Board's review and their approval of scope of practice, and education programs. If the rule is<br>not implemented, the rules will not reflect current practices and applicable Wisconsin statutes.  |  |  |
| 16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule<br>The long range implication of implementing the rule is consistency with current practices and applicable Wisconsin statutes and   |  |  |

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

increased efficiency of the Board's review and approval of scope of practice and education programs.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government None.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Illinois: Cosmetologists are prohibited from using any technique, product, or practice intended to affect the living layers of the skin. Estheticians are prohibited from using techniques, products, and practices intended to affect the living layers of the skin.

Iowa: Iowa code prohibits cosmetologists/estheticians from administering any procedure in which the human tissue is cut, shaped, vaporized, or otherwise structurally altered. The code specifically prohibits dermaplaning.

Michigan: Under Michigan law, the practice of microdermabrasion is limited to the direct supervision and control of a licensed physician. Microblading is considered a body art procedure that must be performed at a licensed body art facility.

Minnesota: Minnesota allows cosmetologists to perform dermaplaning and microdermabrasion unsupervised if they have additional training and an advanced practice esthetician license. Minnesota requires a tattoo license to perform microblading, and does not allow cosmetologists/estheticians/advanced practice estheticians to perform it unless under supervision of a physician.

| 19. Contact Name | 20. Contact Phone Number |
|------------------|--------------------------|
| Dana Denny       | (608) 287-3748           |

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) □ Yes □ No