

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

Chapter Trans 102,

3. Subject

Operator's Licenses and Identification Cards

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

20.395(5)(cq)

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

The proposed rule change is intended to update Chapter Trans 102 to match current practices and federal and Wisconsin statutory requirements. Specifically, these changes reflect the implementation of the Real ID act of 2005 (per 2007 Act 20) and the federal Entry Level Driver Training (ELDT) requirements that became effective in February 2022.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

This rule change applies to any individuals who would apply for a Wisconsin product (such as a driver license or identification card) and applicants for new or updated commercial driver licenses.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

N/A

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

ELDT requirements will apply to first-time CDL applicants, and applicants for new endorsements (such as Hazmat) and classes (such as Class A being added to a license with a Class B). These applicants are required to provide proof of successful completion of skills testing that meets federal standards. CDL employers are also required to utilize the federal Drug and Alcohol Clearinghouse to monitor their drivers for drug and alcohol convictions.

The Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) will continue to conduct training for all appropriate personnel regarding the Real ID changes.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

Implementing this rule change will document the current operational mechanics of DMV processes in a usable format for both DMV employees and Wisconsin residents.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

Current DMV processes will be reflected in the updated rule, pending future statutory or procedural changes. Any future changes, through statutory change or by procedural necessity, will be applied to Chapter Trans 102 in future rulemaking.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

This rule changes adopts the requirements of the Real ID act of 2005 and 2022 ELDT requirements.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

All neighboring states (Iowa, Michigan, Illinois, and Minnesota) are currently in compliance with the Real ID act of 2005 and the 2022 ELDT requirements.

17. Contact Name

Robert Combs

18. Contact Phone Number

608-266-1449

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-