Ins 2.08 Special policies and provisions; prohibitions, regulations, and disclosure requirements. (1) PURPOSE. The interest of the public and the maintenance of a fair and honest life insurance market must be safeguarded by identifying and prohibiting certain types of policy forms and policy provisions and by requiring certain insurance premiums to be separately stated. This rule implements and interprets applicable statutes including sections 200.03 (2), 206.13, 206.17, 206.18, 206.33, 206.36, 206.51 (1) and 207.04 (1) (a), (b), (f), (g), (h), and (i), Wis. Stats.

(2) SCOPE. This rule shall apply to the kinds of insurance authorized by section 201.04 (3), Wis. Stats., and shall also apply to fraternal benefit societies.

(3) DEFINITIONS. For the purpose of this rule certain life insurance policy forms and provisions referred to herein shall have the following meaning:

(a) Coupon policy is any policy form which includes a series of coupons prominently and attractively featured in combination with an insurance contract. Such coupons are one-year pure endowments whether or not so identified and whether or not physically attached to the insurance contract. The coupons are devised to give the appearance of the interest coupons that are frequently attached to investment bonds. Although the face amount of the coupon benefit is essentially a refund of premium previously paid by a policyholder, it is frequently represented that it is the earnings or return on the investment of the policyholder in life insurance.

(b) Charter policy is a term or name assigned by an insurance company to a policy form. Such a policy is usually issued by a newly organized company and it is sold on the basis that its availability will be limited to a specific predetermined number of units of a fixed dollar amount. Such policies generally provide that the policyholder shall participate in the earnings resulting from either or both participating policies and non-participating policies. It is characteristic of such a policy that in its presentation to the public it is represented that the policyholder will receive a special advantage in any future distribution of earnings, profits, dividends or abatement of premium. It is also represented that such advantage will not be made available to the persons holding other types of policies issued by the company. Other names such as Founders, President, and Executive Special are frequently used for policies of the type herein described, and for the purpose of this rule when they are so used they shall be considered as charter policies.

(c) A profit-sharing policy is any policy form which contains provisions representing that the policyholder will be eligible to participate, with special advantage not available to the persons holding other types of policies issued by the same company, in any future distribution of general corporate profits. Such policy forms are so drafted that it appears to a prospective policyholder that he is purchasing a preferential share of the future profit and earnings of the insurance corporation rather than purchasing a life insurance policy which may be subject to refund of excess premium payments. The provisions of the policy may incorrectly represent the amount and source of surplus that will be available for apportionment and return to policyholders

Register, August, 1964, No. 104

14a

in the form of dividends. Policy forms using such terms as profits, surplus, or surplus-sharing in the manner herein described shall, for the purpose of this rule, be considered as profit-sharing policies.

(4) PROHIBITIONS, REGULATIONS, AND DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS. In accordance with the purpose expressed in subsection (1) of this rule and in consideration of the apparent intent of the legislature, the use in this state of certain types of policy forms and policy provisions shall be subject to the following prohibitions and regulations:

(a) Coupon policy forms misrepresent, distort, and disguise the true nature of the insurance being purchased. Therefore, no coupon policy shall be approved for use and no coupon policy heretofore approved shall be issued or delivered in this state on or after June 15, 1962.

(b) Any policy containing a series of one-year pure endowments or a series of guaranteed periodic benefits maturing during the premium-paying period of the policy has special characteristics making such policy peculiarly susceptible to misrepresentation and misunderstanding. (As used in this rule the premium-paying period extends from the date the first premium is due to the end of the policy year in which the last premium is due.) Such policies are founded on the utmost good faith of the company, and the public interest requires that the premium charged for such benefits shall be fully and fairly disclosed to the policyholder without deception or misrepresentation. Therefore, on or after December 1, 1964, no policy containing either a series of one-year pure endowments or a series of guaranteed periodic benefits maturing during the premium-paying period of the policy shall be approved for use and no such policy heretofore approved shall be issued or delivered in this state unless:

1. The policy is nonparticipating,

2. The payment of a pure endowment or guaranteed periodic benefit is not contingent on the payment of premiums falling due on or after the time such pure endowment has matured,

3. The gross premium for the pure endowment or guaranteed periodic benefits is shown prominently and separately in the policy disstinct from the regular insurance premium,

4. The gross premium for the pure endowment or guaranteed periodic benefits is based on reasonable assumptions as to interest, mortality, and expense,

5. The number of one-year pure endowment or guaranteed periodic benefits provided by the policy equals the number of annual premiums for such benefits,

6. All advertisements, sales materials, agent's presentations, and other representations of the policy to the public represent the pure endowment or guaranteed periodic benefits of the policy to be nothing other than insurance benefits for which a premium is being paid,

7. All representations of the total premium for the policy contract also show the gross premium for the pure endowment or guaranteed periodic benefits to an extent such that the prospect or purchaser is fully informed as to the separate costs involved.

(c) Charter policy forms are defined by section 207.04 (1) (f), Wis. Stats., to be an unfair method of competition. They purport to provide a means to an end result that is not authorized by statute and an end result that is without reasonable expectation of achievement. Such

Register, August, 1964, No. 104

14b

policy forms misrepresent the responsibility and obligation of the company for equitable distribution of dividends or abatement of premiums. Therefore, no *charter policy* shall be approved for use and no *charter policy* heretofore approved shall be issued or delivered in this state on or after June 15, 1962.

(d) Profit-sharing policy forms are contrary to statute and the public interest by representing as an inducement to insurance that the person who purchases such a policy is procuring a preferential interest in the future profits and earnings of the insurance corporation. Any distribution to a policyholder of the company of earnings, profits, or surplus is a refund of the excess premiums paid by that policyholder. Such distribution must be fair and equitable to all policyholders, it must not discriminate unfairly between individuals of the same class and equal expectation of life, and it must be in the best interest of the company and its policyholders. Therefore, no profitsharing policy shall be approved for use and no profit-sharing policy heretofore approved shall be issued or delivered in this state on or after June 15, 1962. Further, on or after June 15, 1962, no participating policy shall be approved and no participating policy heretofore approved shall be issued or delivered in this state unless the policy provides without deception or misrepresentation that the source of any dividends or abatement of premium is limited to the divisible surplus derived from participating business.

(5) SEPARABILITY. If any provision of this rule shall be held invalid, the remainder of the rule shall not be affected thereby.

Note: The above rule is the end product of a careful study and evaluation of the transcript of the hearing on January 16 and January 17, 1962, on the proposed rule. Due consideration was given to the exhibits and the prepared statements presented at the hearing and to the several briefs filed subsequent to the hearing. This is the first time since the passage of Public Law 15 that such a large amount of legal and actuarial talent was focused on these specific matters of the life insurance business. The number and size of the briefs and exhibits reflect the substantial time involved with their preparation, and the information they contained cast considerable light on the issues under consideration.

they contained cast considerable light on the issues under consideration. It is of interest to note that the first coupon-type life insurance policy was accepted for use in Wisconsin about 1940. Chapter 207, Wisconsin Statutes, relating to Unfair Insurance Business Methods, was enacted in 1947. In 1959 a newly organized company commenced the use of a charter-type coupon policy with profit or surplus sharing provisions. Because of the infrequent submission of such a type of life insurance policy, the Insurance Department personnel did not fully appreciate the impact of the provisions of Chapter 207 (1947, c. 520) on the provisions Statutes. The information made available as a result of the hearing serves to bring the issues and the requirements of statutes more clearly in focus.

An administrative agency has a responsibility to correct any errors in administration of the statutes which are brought to its attention. The premise suggested at the hearing by the opponents of the proposed rule that a previous administrative ruling (acceptance of the policy) should be controlling and should not be reversed is not supported by the Wisconsin Supreme Court. In Universal Underwriters vs. Rogan, 6 Wis. (2d) 623, the court in effect said that, in case of ambiguity in a statute, practical interpretation over a long period by the agency charged with administration of an act or statute may be deemed controlling, but where there is no ambiguity for the law, a previous administrative ruling thereon cannot be given any weight as an administrative interpretation. The basic responsibility for the drafting and construction of lawful policy forms rests with an insurance company and its actuaries and lawyers. In reviewing policy forms, the Insurance Department, while seeking to protect the public interest to the best of its ability, does not inherit any basic responsibility for the lawfulness of any part or all of an insurance contract. Therefore, it appears proper to make a determination of the matters at hand based on the merits of the issues and without an obligation to be controlled by a previous ruling.

Register, August, 1964, No. 104

14c

Life insurance contracts, more than any other kind of insurance, are made on the basis of the utmost good faith of the insurance company. It is fundamental that the provisions of such contracts be devised with clarity and precision. The commissioner has an obligation to see that the public interest be served and the statute compiled with by refusing to accept policies that are or tend to be misleading or deceptive. Section 201,53 (1), Wisconsin Statutes, states that: "No insurance company shall make any agreement of insurance other than as plainly expressed in the policies." The principal issues involved are whether or not life insurance coupon policies, charter policies, and profit-sharing policies are consistent with and are authorized by statute. Some life insurance companies issue policy forms embodying one or more of these features in a single policy. It is necessary that each of these types of policies be discussed sepa-rately even though there is some overlapping of the issues involved and some of the same considerations are present in two or more of these policies.

policies.

rately even though there is some overlapping of the issues involved and policies. In respect to the so-called coupon policies, wherein a series of coupons are sold in conjunction with conventional life insurance, there is no dispute but that the coupons are a series of one-year pure endowments. This being true, they should be properly identified as such. To print the coupon in the color and format of interest coupons commonly attached to investment bonds disguises the true nature of the product being purchased by the public. A series of one-year endowments affords a special type of benefit which the average life insurance buyer would seldom purchase if he were in possession of the full information con-cerning the premiums paid for the pure endowment benefits provided. The gross premium cost to the policyholder for the pure endowment benefits can be readily determined by the company by loading the bene-fits to be afforded with the applicable expense items such as premium taxes, acquisition cost, and company administration expenses, with con-sideration for items such as interest, mortality, policy lapses, etc. It has been argued that it is only necessary to disclose the net premium cost, which is the premium needed to provide the benefits, without recognition and inclusion of the company administration expenses and overhead. These other expenses do exist and if not shown with the pure endowment premium they then are an additional load on the life insurance being purchased in conjunction with the pure endowment benefit at a premium cost that is attractive in relation to the benefits. It is a fact that the gross premium cost will frequently be substantially in excess of benefits returned to the policyholder. A best, the total of the proble into believing that they are purchasing the endowment benefit at a premium cost that is attractive in relation to the benefits. It is a fact that the gross premium cost will frequently be substantially in excess of benefits returned to the policyholder. A best, the total of th

Series of ble year pure but of the or of any series of guaranteed periodic benefits maturing during the premium-paying period of the policy. Charter policy is a name given to a life insurance policy, usually by a newly organized insurance company. Its basic purpose is to provide the company agents with a policy form that is especially attractive to the purchaser in order that the new company will have a competitive advantage. The nature of the charter-type policy is that it is profit-sharing or that the policyholder will participate in the long-term earn-ings of the company. The usual representation is that the policies will be issued to the extent of a predetermined fixed number of units and that the policyholder will be one of a relatively small and limited num-ber of the original policyholders of the company who will ultimately share in the business success of the company. While this may be a useful device to aid a new company in getting started in business, the technique, if it is to be permitted, must be consistent with the require-ments of statute. Section 207.04 (1) (f) states that "Issuing . . . any special or advisory board contracts or other contracts of any kind promising returns and profits as an inducement to insurance" is an unfair method of competition and is an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. Such trade practices are prohib-ited by section 207.03. The technique of offering returns or profits to a small group of the first policyholders of a company is clearly contrary to statute. It is a characteristic of charter policies that they represent that the policyholder will participate with special advantage in the long-term earnings of the company. This is a misrepresentation when viewed in the light of the requirement of section 206.33 (1) that "No life insurance company shall make or permit any distinction or dis-crimination between insurants of the same class and equal expectation of life in the amount or payment of premiums or in any return of pre-mium, di

Register, August, 1964, No. 104

14d

Profit-sharing is a name used to describe any life insurance contract which provides that the policyholder will participate with special advantage in the general surplus accumulations of a life insurance company. If the company issuing such policies issues participating policies exclusively, then the right of each policyholder to participate in the surplus of the company is the same as the right of every other policyholder of the company. In such cases the statutes (206.13 (1), 206.33, 206.36, and 207.04 (1) (g)) require equitable and nondiscriminatory annual apportionment and return of the surplus accumulations.

initivholder 57 the company. In the set of the initiation (20013) (1), 206.38, 206.86, and 207.04 (1) (g)) require equitable and nondiscriminatory annual apportionment and return of the surplus accumulations. However, the matters involved are much more complex when a life insurance company issues both participating and nonparticipating policies. Underlying the matters to be considered is the fact that any dividend on a participating policy is essentially a return of excess premium paid by the policyholder. Section 206.13 (1) provides that the participating policy, by its terms, must give the policyholder the full right to participate annually in the surplus accumulations from the participating bulkness of the company. The issue in question is whether the statutes authorize a life insurance company to issue contracts which provide that a class of participating policyholders will participate with special advantage in the long-term corporate carlings of the same as and qual exploiting and nonparticipating business. Section 207.04 (1) (g) the dates as a pichibited unfair discrimination the 'making or perhibited by section 207.03, the 'paying or allowing or giving or offering to pay, allow or give, directly or indirectly, as inducement to such insurance or annuity, any rebate of premiums payable on the contract, or any special favor or aspecial advantage in dividend. Section 207.04 (1) (i) provides that, in respect to discrimination and rebates, the provisions of section 207.04 (1) (g) and (h) do not prevent the abatement of premium out of surplus accumulated from nonparticipating business provided that such abatement of premium shall be fair and equitable of rebate of premium or a special advantage in dividend. Section 207.04 (1) (i) provides that, in respect to discrimination and rebates, the provisions of section 207.04 (1) (g) and (h) do not prevent the abatement of premium out of surplus accumulated from nonparticipating business provided that such abatement of premium shall be fair and equitable of

conditions imposed by statute for distribution of surplus accumulations. It is also worthy of mention that the Wisconsin Securities Law, in section 189.02 (1), defines a security as including "any interest, share or participation in any profits, earnings, profit-sharing agreement, . . ." There appears to be substantial evidence that if the profit-sharing or surplus-sharing type of policy were to be considered as complying with the insurance statutes it would then be considered as within the definition of a security and subject to regulation as such.

The provisions of Wisconsin Administrative Code section Ins 2.08 are intended to apply only to policies issued on or after its effective date, and it does not apply to contracts issued prior to the effective date. The adoption of the rule should not disturb or cast doubt about the validity of previously issued contracts of the type described in the rule. Such contracts were issued in good faith by the insurance companies, and there is no retroactive impact of the rule.

The amendment to subsection (4) (b) of this rule, effective December 1, 1964, does not impair the validity of any contracts in force prior to the effective date and does not prevent a company from performing on any such contracts.

History: Cr. Register, May, 1962. No. 77, eff. 6-15-62; am. (4) (b), Register, August, 1964, No. 104, eff. 12-1-64.

Register, August, 1964, No. 104

14e

Ins 2.09 Separate and distinct representations of life insurance. (1) PURPOSE. The interests of policyholders and purchasers of life insurance which is sold in connection with any security must be safeguarded by providing them with clear and unambiguous written proposals and statements in which all material relating to life insurance is set forth separately from any other material. This rule implements and interprets sections 201.05 (3) (a); 201.53 (1), (2), (8), and (13); 206.41 (11) (a) 7 and 8; 206.51; 207.04 (1) (a), (f) and (h); and 208.33, Wis. Stats., by establishing minimum standards for the form of proposals and statements used to solicit, service, or collect premiums for life insurance which is sold in connection with a mutual fund or other security.

(2) SCOPE. This rule shall apply to the solicitation of, negotiation for, procurement of, or joint billing of any insurance specified in section 201.04 (3), Wis. Stats., within this state or involving a resident of this state where it is known to the insurer or the insurance agent that the sale of any mutual fund or other security has been, may become, or is a part of any such transaction.

(3) DEFINITIONS. For the purposes of this rule:

(a) "Proposal" includes any estimate, illustration, or statement which involves a representation of any premium charge, dividends, terms, or benefits of any policy of life insurance within subsection (2).

(b) "Life insurance" includes life insurance, annuities, and endowments.

(4) RESPONSIBILITY OF INSURER AND AGENT. No insurer and no insurance agent shall make, in connection with any transaction within subsection (2), a proposal or billing other than in accordance with this rule. Every insurer must inform its agents involved with the solicitation of life insurance on residents of this state of the requirements of this rule.

(5) WRITTEN PROPOSAL. In any solicitation or sale within subsection (2), the prospect or policyholder must be furnished with a copy of a clear and unambiguous written proposal not later than at the time the solicitation or proposal is made.

(6) CONTENTS OF PROPOSAL. Any proposal referred to in this rule must:

(a) Be dated and signed by the insurance agent or by the insurer if no agent is involved;

(b) State the name of the company in which the life insurance is to be written;

(c) Be accurate and complete;

(d) Contain no misrepresentations or false, deceptive or misleading statements;

(e) Show the premium charge for life insurance separately from any other charge;

(f) If values which may accrue prior to the death of the insured are involved in the presentation, show the value of the life insurance separately from any other values;

(g) Show, if it is involved in the presentation, the amount of the death benefit for the life insurance separately from any other benefit which may accrue upon the death of the insured;

(h) Set forth all matters pertaining to life insurance separately from any matter not pertaining to life insurance;

Register, August, 1964, No. 104

14f

(i) Contain only such representations as will accurately reflect the actual conditions applicable to the proposed insured.

(7) STATEMENTS TO BE SEPARATE. Any bill, statement, or representation sent or delivered to any prospect or policyholder must show the premium charge for the life insurance and any other information mentioned concerning life insurance separately from any other charges or values shown in the same billing.

(8) VIOLATION. Any violation of this rule shall be deemed to be a misrepresentation of the nature of the life insurance involved.

(9) SEPARABILITY. If any provision of this rule shall be held invalid, the remainder of the rule shall not be affected thereby.

History: Cr. Register, October, 1963, No. 94, eff. 11-1-63.

Ins 2.10 "In the same industry", definition of. (1) The phrase "in the same industry", as used in section 206.60 (4), Wis. Stats., may be construed so that establishments engaged in one of the following activities may be considered as being in the same industry: (a) retail trade, (b) wholesale trade, (c) service, (d) mining, (e) contract construction, (f) finance, insurance and real estate, and (g) transportation, communication and other public utilities.

(2) The principal activity of an establishment shall control its classification.

(3) An insurer may submit other classifications of establishments, subject to the approval of the commissioner, which it believes may properly be considered as engaging in activities which are "in the same industry".

same industry". Note: The above rule is an outgrowth of the hearings held by the depart-ment on December 17, 1963, to consider the formulation of rules and guide lines which insurance companies could use to determine what groupings of employers might be permitted by the phrase "in the same industry" in sections 206.60 (4) and 204.321 (1) (c), Wis, Stats, to obtain group insur-ance coverage for their employees through the establishment of a trust, As a result of the hearing, the department has reviewed the background and history of the "in the same industry" provision which was adopted as a part of the "Group Life Insurance Definition" and "Group Life Insurance Standard Provisions", revised at New York on December 15, 1948, by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners and enacted as a part of the Wisconsin Statutes in 1949. The department has concluded that the phrase "in the same industry" should be liberally construed. It provides a means whereby a small employer, not having a sufficient number of em-ployees to qualify for a group plan of his own, may join with others and provide the benefits of group insurance to his employees and thereby com-pete in the labor market with the large employer. It has been emphasized to the department that the statutes involved are insurance statutes and that there is no underwriting reason which dictates greater detail or nar-rower classifications under the law. To require a more detailed breakdown only has the effect of adding to the administrative detail and expense of public interest. The rule applies only to organizations engaged in activities other than manufacturing. Companies underwriting multiple ampletion the theory that the public interest.

secting up such a plan, and such does not appear to be required nor in the public interest. The rule applies only to organizations engaged in activities other than manufacturing. Companies underwriting multiple employeer trusts for em-ployees engaged in manufacturing shall be guided by the opinions of the attorney general of the state of Wisconsin, dated January 16, 1958, and December 30, 1958 (47 0AG 16 and 47 0AG 326). For a general guide as to the types of organizations which fall within each of the groupsings listed in subsection (1) of this rule, the department suggests that insurers refer to the division headings found in the "Standard Industrial Classification Manual" prepared by the United States Bureau of the Budget, Technical Committee on Industrial Classification, Office of Sta-tistical Standards, 1957, and to other similar material such as the industrial classified Index of Occupations and Industries," published by the United States Department of Commerce, Bureau of He Census, 1960; and Volume V, No. 1, "Wisconsin Commerce Reports," Bureau of Business Research and Service, Madison, Wisconsin, April 1, 1957. History: Cr. Register, February, 1964, No. 98, eff. 3-1-64.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1964, No. 98, eff. 3-1-64.

Register, August, 1964, No. 104

Ins 2.11 Franchise life insurance. (1) DEFINITION-EXCEPTION. Franchise life insurance, as used in section 206.64, Wis. Stats., shall not include policies issued in connection with:

(a) Employee benefit trusts or plans conforming to the requirements of subsection 272.18 (31) (a), Wis. Stats.;

(b) Employee trusts and plans established under the Federal Self-Employed Individuals Tax Retirement Act of 1962;

(c) Tax sheltered annuity programs for certain organizations exempt from federal income tax and for public schools;

(d) Salary savings, salary allotment, payroll deduction, or similar premium payment plans.

(2) FRANCHISE UNIT HEADQUARTERS. A franchise unit as defined in subsection 206.64 (1) (b), Wis. Stats., need not have its headquarters or other executive offices domiciled in Wisconsin.

(3) ACCOUNTING. All premiums paid in connection with franchise life insurance on Wisconsin residents shall be reported for annual statement purposes as Wisconsin business and shall be subject to the applicable Wisconsin premium tax.

History: Cr. Register, May, 1964, No. 101, eff. 6-1-64.

Ins. 2.12 Exceptions to unfair discrimination. The following practices, without being all-inclusive, shall not be considered unfairly discriminatory as considered by sections 206.33 (1) and 207.04 (1) (g), Wis. Stats.:

(1) Issuing life insurance policies or life annuity contracts on a salary savings, salary allotment, bank draft, pre-authorized check, or payroll deduction plan or other similar plan at a reduced rate or with special underwriting considerations reasonably related to the savings made by use of such plan.

(2) Issuing life insurance policies or annuity contracts at premiums determined by rating plans which provide for modification of premiums based on the amount of insurance; but any such rating plans shall not result in reduction in premiums in excess of the savings reasonably related to the savings made by use of the plan. All cost factors must be given proper recognition in order to preserve equity between various classes of policyholders.

(3) Issuing so-called "family plan" life insurance policies which include insured, spouse, and their children with the premium calculated on the basis of the family unit. The rating plan must give recognition to all cost factors in order to preserve equity between various classes of policyholders.

(4) Issuing policies under the authority of sections 201.04 (3), (3a), (3b) or (3c), 206.60, 206.63, or 206.64, Wis. Stats., with the premium calculated on the basis of the average age of those insured or calculated in some other manner which is appropriate for the coverage offered, provided that the rate must be reasonably related to the coverage provided and to the savings made by use of the rating procedure.

(5) Issuing life insurance policies or life annuity contracts at special rates or with special underwriting considerations, reasonably related to the savings made, in connection with:

(a) Employe benefit trusts or plans conforming to the requirements of section 272.18 (31) (a), Wis. Stats.

Register, August, 1964, No. 104

14h

(b) Plans used to fund retirement benefits under the Federal Self-Employed Individuals Tax Retirement Act of 1962.

(c) Plans used to fund retirement benefits for employes of certain organizations exempt from Federal income tax and public schools (so-called tax sheltered annuity plans).

(d) Franchise life insurance provided under the provisions of section 206.64, Wis. Stats.

History: Cr. Register, May, 1964, No. 101. eff. 6-1-64.

Next page is numbered 15

14i