Chapter Adm 25

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY INVESTMENT FUND

Adm 25.01 Authority. Adm 25.03 Definitions.

Adm 25.02 Purpose. Adm 25.04 Grant award and criteria.

Note: Grants for information technology development projects under ss. 16.971 (5) and 20.870, Stats., were eliminated by 1999 Wis. Act 9.

Adm 25.01 Authority. This chapter is promulgated under the authority of ss. 16.004 (1) and 227.11 (2), Stats.

History: Cr. Register, September, 1996, No. 489, eff. 10–1–96; **correction made under s. 13.93 (2m) (b) 7., Stats**.

Adm 25.02 Purpose. The purpose of this chapter is to establish the criteria used by the department to award grants to agencies for information technology development projects pursuant to s. 16.971 (5) (a), Stats.

Note: 1999 Wis. Act 9 repealed s. 16.971 (5), Stats.

History: Cr. Register, September, 1996, No. 489, eff. 10-1-96.

Adm 25.03 Definitions. In this chapter:

- (1) "Agency" or "Agencies" has the meaning given in s. 16.70 (1), Stats.
- **(2)** "Base funding" means the funding that remains in an agency's budget appropriation(s) year after year unless removed through an explicit act of the legislature.
- (3) "Basic standard infrastructure" includes desktop personal computer hardware and software, printer, local area network hard-

ware and software, enterprise electronic mail and support and training.

History: Cr. Register, September, 1996, No. 489, eff. 10-1-96.

Adm 25.04 Grant award and criteria. When making an award under s. 16.971 (5), Stats., the department shall use all of the following criteria:

Note: 1999 Wis. Act 9 repealed s. 16.971 (5), Stats.

- (1) The extent to which the agency has base funding available to meet its information technology needs.
- (2) The extent to which the request seeks to provide basic, standard infrastructure for the agency.
- (3) The extent to which the completion of the project will serve to reduce agency base funding needs or avoid additional legitimate costs or cost increases in the future.
- (4) The extent to which the project provides a new service, improves delivery of an existing service, or in some other way, provides additional value for the state. Additional value for the state includes the ability to deliver services, maintain operations or administer state programs more efficiently, effectively or economically.

History: Cr. Register, September, 1996, No. 489, eff. 10–1–96.