WISCONSIN ADMINISTRATIVE CODE

Ins 2.08 Special policies and provisions; prohibitions, regulations, and disclosure requirements. (1) PURPOSE. The interest of the public and the maintenance of a fair and honest life insurance market must be safeguarded by identifying and prohibiting certain types of policy forms and policy provisions and by requiring certain insurance premiums to be separately stated. This rule implements and interprets applicable statutes including sections 200.03 (2), 206.13, 206.17, 206.18, 206.33, 206.36, 206.51 (1) and 207.04 (1) (a), (b), (f), (g), (h), and (i), Wis. Stats.

(2) SCOPE. This rule shall apply to the kinds of insurance authorized by section 201.04 (3), Wis. Stats., and shall also apply to fraternal benefit societies.

(3) DEFINITIONS. For the purpose of this rule certain life insurance policy forms and provisions referred to herein shall have the following meaning:

(a) Coupon policy is any policy form which includes a series of coupons prominently and attractively featured in combination with an insurance contract. Such coupons are one-year pure endowments whether or not so identified and whether or not physically attached to the insurance contract. The coupons are devised to give the appearance of the interest coupons that are frequently attached to investment bonds. Although the face amount of the coupon benefit is essentially a refund of premium previously paid by a policyholder, it is frequently represented that it is the earnings or return on the investment of the policyholder in life insurance.

(b) Charter policy is a term or name assigned by an insurance company to a policy form. Such a policy is usually issued by a newly organized company and it is sold on the basis that its availability will be limited to a specific predetermined number of units of a fixed dollar amount. Such policies generally provide that the policyholder shall participate in the earnings resulting from either or both participating policies and non-participating policies. It is characteristic of such a policy that in its presentation to the public it is represented that the policyholder will receive a special advantage in any future distribution of earnings, profits, dividends or abatement of premium. It is also represented that such advantage will not be made available to the persons holding other types of policies issued by the company. Other names such as Founders, President, and Executive Special are frequently used for policies of the type herein described, and for the purpose of this rule when they are so used they shall be considered as charter policies.

(c) A profit-sharing policy is any policy form which contains provisions representing that the policyholder will be eligible to participate, with special advantage not available to the persons holding other types of policies issued by the same company, in any future distribution of general corporate profits. Such policy forms are so drafted that it appears to a prospective policyholder that he is purchasing a preferential share of the future profit and earnings of the insurance corporation rather than purchasing a life insurance policy which may be subject to refund of excess premium payments. The provisions of the policy may incorrectly represent the amount and source of surplus that will be available for apportionment and return to policyholders in the form of dividends. Policy forms using such terms as *profits*,

Register, May, 1962, No. 77

14a

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

surplus, or surplus-sharing in the manner herein described shall, for the purpose of this rule, be considered as profit-sharing policies.

(4) PROHIBITIONS, REGULATIONS, AND DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS. In accordance with the purpose expressed in subsection (1) of this rule and in consideration of the apparent intent of the legislature, the use in this state of certain types of policy forms and policy provisions shall be subject to the following prohibitions and regulations:

(a) Coupon policy forms misrepresent, distort, and disguise the true nature of the insurance being purchased. Therefore, no coupon policy shall be approved for use and no coupon policy heretofore approved shall be issued or delivered in this state on or after June 15, 1962.

(b) Any policy containing a series of one-year pure endowments or a series of guaranteed periodic benefits maturing during the premium-paying period of the policy has special characteristics making such policy peculiarly susceptible to misrepresentation and misunderstanding. Such policies are founded on the utmost good faith of the company, and the public interest requires that the premium charged for such benefits shall be fully and fairly disclosed to the policyholder without deception or misrepresentation. Therefore, on or after June 15, 1962, no such policy shall be approved for use and no such policy heretofore approved shall be issued or delivered in this state unless the gross premium charged for such benefits shall be separately stated and be based on reasonable assumptions as to interest, mortality, and expense.

(c) Charter policy forms are defined by section 207.04 (1) (f), Wis. Stats., to be an unfair method of competition. They purport to provide a means to an end result that is not authorized by statute and an end result that is without reasonable expectation of achievement. Such policy forms misrepresent the responsibility and obligation of the company for equitable distribution of dividends or abatement of premiums. Therefore, no charter policy shall be approved for use and no charter policy heretofore approved shall be issued or delivered in this state on or after June 15, 1962.

(d) Profit-sharing policy forms are contrary to statute and the public interest by representing as an inducement to insurance that the person who purchases such a policy is procuring a preferential interest in the future profits and earnings of the insurance corporation. Any distribution to a policyholder of the company of earnings, profits, or surplus is a refund of the excess premiums paid by that policyholder. Such distribution must be fair and equitable to all policyholders, it must not discriminate unfairly between individuals of the same class and equal expectation of life, and it must be in the best interest of the company and its policyholders. Therefore, no profitsharing policy shall be approved for use and no profit-sharing policy heretofore approved shall be issued or delivered in this state on or after June 15, 1962. Further, on or after June 15, 1962, no participating policy shall be approved and no participating policy heretofore approved shall be issued or delivered in this state unless the policy provides without deception or misrepresentation that the source of any dividends or abatement of premium is limited to the divisible surplus derived from participating business.

Register, May, 1962, No. 77

14b

WISCONSIN ADMINISTRATIVE CODE

(5) SEPARABILITY. If any provision of this rule shall be held invalid, the remainder of the rule shall not be affected thereby.

Note: The above rule is the end product of a careful study and evalu-ation of the transcript of the hearing on January 16 and January 17, 1962, on the proposed rule. Due consideration was given to the exhibits and the prepared statements presented at the hearing and to the several briefs filed subsequent to the hearing. This is the first time since the passage of Public Law 15 that such a large amount of legal and actu-arial talent was focused on these specific matters of the life insurance business. The number and size of the briefs and exhibits reflect the substantial time involved with their preparation, and the information they contained cast considerable light on the issues under consideration. It is of interest to note that the first councn-type life insurance policy

they contained cast considerable light on the issues under consideration. It is of interest to note that the first coupon-type life insurance policy was accepted for use in Wisconsin about 1940. Chapter 207, Wisconsin Statutes, relating to Unfair Insurance Business Methods, was enacted in 1947. In 1959 a newly organized company commenced the use of a charter-type coupon policy with profit or surplus sharing provisions. Because of the infrequent submission of such a type of life insurance policy, the Insurance Department personnel did not fully appreciate the impact of the provisions of Chapter 207 (1947, c. 520) on the provisions of life insurance policies filed pursuant to section 206.17, Wisconsin Statutes. The information made available as a result of the hearing serves to bring the issues and the requirements of statutes more clearly in focus.

An administrative agency has a responsibility to correct any errors in administration of the statutes which are brought to its attention. The premise suggested at the hearing by the opponents of the proposed rule that a previous administrative ruling (acceptance of the policy) should be controlling and should not be reversed is not supported by the Wisconsin Supreme Court. In Universal Underwriters vs. Rogan, 6 Wis. (2d) 623, the court in effect said that, in case of ambiguity in a statute, practical interpretation over a long period by the agency charged with administration of an act or statute may be deemed con-trolling, but where there is no ambiguity in the law, a previous admin-istrative ruling thereon cannot be given any weight as an administra-tive interpretation. The basic responsibility for the drafting and con-struction of lawful policy forms rests with an insurance company and its actuaries and lawyers. In reviewing policy forms, the Insurance Department, while seeking to protect the public interest to the best of its ability, does not inherit any basic responsibility for the lawfulness of any part or all of an insurance contract. Therefore, it appears proper to make a determination of the matters at hand based on the merits of the issues and without an obligation to be controlled by a previous ruling.

Life insurance contracts, more than any other kind of insurance, are made on the basis of the utmost good faith of the insurance company. It is fundamental that the provisions of such contracts be devised with clarity and precision. The commissioner has an obligation to see that the public interest be served and the statute complied with by refusing to accept policies that are or tend to be misleading or deceptive. Section 201.53 (1), Wisconsin Statutes, states that: "No insurance company shall make any agreement of insurance other than as plainly expressed in the policy."

the poincy. The principal issues involved are whether or not life insurance coupon policies, charter policies, and profit-sharing policies are consistent with and are authorized by statute. Some life insurance companies issue policy forms embodying one or more of these features in a single policy. It is necessary that each of these types of policies be discussed sepa-rately even though there is some overlapping of the issues involved and some of the same considerations are present in two or more of these policies.

some of the same considerations are present in two of more of these pollcies. In respect to the so-called coupon policies, wherein a series of coupons are sold in conjunction with conventional life insurance, there is no dispute but that the coupons are a series of one-year pure endowments. This being true, they should be properly identified as such. To print the coupon in the color and format of interest coupons commonly attached to investment bonds disguises the true nature of the product being purchased by the public. A series of one-year endowments affords a special type of benefit which the average life insurance buyer would seldom purchase if he were in possession of the full information con-cerning the premium paid for the pure endowment benefits provided. The gross premium cost to the policyholder for the pure endowment benefits can be readily determined by the company by loading the bene-fits to be afforded with the applicable expense items such as premium taxes, acquisition cost, and company administration expenses, with con-sideration for items such as interest, mortality, policy lapses, etc. It has been argued that it is only necessary to disclose the net premium cost, which is the premium needed to provide the benefits, without recognition and inclusion of the company administration expenses and Begister. May, 1962 No 77

Register, May, 1962, No. 77

14c

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

overhead. These other expenses do exist and if not shown with the pure endowment premium they then are an additional load on the life insurance being purchased in conjunction with the pure endowment benefit. To argue that it is only necessary to disclose a portion of the premium cost is to argue that it is legal and proper to deceive the public into believing that they are purchasing the endowment benefit at a premium cost that is attractive in relation to the benefits. It is a fact that the gross premium cost will frequently be substantially in excess of benefits returned to the policyholder. At best, the total of the face value of the pure endowment benefits would approximate or be only slightly greater than the total gross premium paid by the policyholder. It is not in the public interest, nor is it consistent with sections 201.53 (1), 206.51 (1), and 207.04 (1) (a), Wisconsin Statutes, to permit such a deception and misrepresentation of the gross premium cost of a series of one-year pure endowments or of any series of guaranteed periodic benefits maturing during the premium-paying period of the policy.

periodic benefits maturing during the premium-paying period of the policy. Charter policy is a name given to a life insurance policy, usually by a newly organized insurance company. Its basic purpose is to provide the company agents with a policy form that is especially attractive to the purchaser in order that the new company will have a competitive advantage. The nature of the charter-type policy is that it is profit-sharing or that the policyholder will participate in the long-term earn-ings of the company. The usual representation is that the policies will be issued to the extent of a predetermined fixed number of units and that the policyholder will be one of a relatively small and limited num-ber of the original policyholders of the company who will ultimately share in the business success of the company. While this may be a useful device to aid a new company in getting started in business, the technique, if it is to be permitted, must be consistent with the require-ments of statute. Section 207.04 (1) (f) states that "Issuing . . . any special or advisory board contracts or other contracts of any kind promising returns and profits as an inducement to insurance" is an unfair method of competition and is an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. Such trade practices are prohib-ited by section 207.03. The technique of offering returns or profits to a small group of the first policyholders of a company is clearly contrary to statute. It is a characteristic of charter policies that they represent that the policyholder will participate with special advantage in the long-term earnings of the company. This is a misrepresentation when viewed in the light of the requirement of section 206.33 (1) that "No life insurance company shall make or permit any distinction or dis-crimination between insurants of the same class and equal expectation of life in the amount or payment of premitums or in any return of pre-mium, dividends or other advantages." After consideration of the issues i

tracts are consistent with the requirement of statute. Profit-sharing is a name used to describe any life insurance contract which provides that the pollcyholder will participate with special advantage in the general surplus accumulations of a life insurance company. If the company issuing such policies issues participating policies exclusively, then the right of each policyholder to participate in the surplus of the company is the same as the right of every other policyholder of the company. In such cases the statutes (206.13 (1), 206.33, 206.36, and 207.04 (1) (g)) require equitable and nondiscrimina-tory annual apportionment and return of the surplus accumulations.

206.33, 206.36, and 207.04 (1) (g)) require equitable and nondiscrimina-tory annual apportionment and return of the surplus accumulations. However, the matters involved are much more complex when a life insurance company issues both participating and nonparticipating poli-cles. Underlying the matters to be considered is the fact that any dividend on a participating policy is essentially a return of excess premium paid by the policyholder. Section 206.13 (1) provides that the participating policy, by its terms, must give the policyholder the full right to participate annually in the surplus accumulations from the participating business of the company. The issue in question is whether the statutes authorize a life insurance company to issue contracts which provide that a class of participating policyholders will participate with special advantage in the long-term corporate earnings of the company on both participating and nonparticipating business. Section 207.04 (1) (g) 1 defines as a prohibited unfair discrimination the "making or per-mitting any unfair discrimination between individuals of the same class and equal expectation of life in the rates charged for any contract of life insurance or of life annuity or in the dividends or other benefits payable thereon, . . ." Section 207.04 (1) (h) defines as rebating, pro-hibited by section 207.03, the "paying or allowing or giving or offering to pay, allow or give, directly or indirectly, as inducement to such in-surance or annuity, any rebate of premiums payable on the contract, or any special favor or advantage in the dividends or other benefits difference or annity, any rebate of premiums payable on the contract of rebate of premium or a special advantage in dividend. Section 207.04 (1) (i) provides that, in respect to discrimination and rebates, the pro-Register, May, 1962, No. 77

Register, May, 1962, No. 77

14d

WISCONSIN ADMINISTRATIVE CODE

WISCONSIN ADMINISTRATIVE CODE 14e
Visions of section 207.04 (g) and (h) do not prevent the abatement of premium out of surplus accumulated from nonparticipating business provided that such abatement of premium shall be fair and equitable to policyholders and for the best interest of the company and its policyholders. This statute is the only authorization for payment of dividends from nonparticipating business. The impact of this statute is that any distribution of surplus accumulated from nonparticipating business. The impact of this statute is that any distribution of surplus accumulated from nonparticipating policyholders. Thus, a participating policy which purports to provide by its own terms or by the net result of the application of fact since the participating policy can only participate to an extent that is equitable with the participating pulses. If such a provision for participating policy should have the same provision for participating policy should have the same provision for participating policy form in the earnings on the nonparticipating pulses. If such a provision were to be inserted in all nonparticipating policies, such policies then, by their own terms, become participating policies and the distribution of surplus accumulations. It is also worthy of mention that the Wisconsin Securities Law, in section 189.02 (1), defines a security as including "any interest, share or participation in any profits, earnings, profit-sharing agreement, ...," There appears to be substantial evidence that if the profit-sharing or accumulated for a security and subject to regulation as such.
The provision of the rule should hen be considered as would the definition of a security and subject to regulation as such.
There appears to be substantial evidence that if the profit sharing or provide by iffe insurance size of profit sharing agreement, ...," There appears to be substantial evidence that if the profit sharing or inplus should be contracts issued on or after its effective date, the adj

History: Cr. Register, May, 1962, No. 77, eff. 6-15-62.

Next page is numbered 15

14e