4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1, TO 1995 ASSEMBLY BILL 546

September 21, 1995 - Offered by Committee on Agriculture.

1	$AN\ ACT$ to repeal $814.04\ (9)$; to amend $823.08\ (1)$; and to repeal and recreate
2	823.08 (2) to (4) of the statutes; relating to: restricting the remedies available
3	and requiring awards of costs in actions against agricultural uses.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

Section 1. 814.04 (9) of the statutes is repealed.

Section 2. 823.08 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

823.08 (1) Legislative purpose. The legislature finds that <u>development in rural areas and</u> changes in agricultural technology, practices and scale of operation have, on occasion, <u>increasingly</u> tended to create conflicts between agricultural and other activities <u>uses of land</u>. The legislature believes that, to the extent possible consistent with good public policy, the law should not hamper agricultural production or the use of modern <u>agricultural</u> technology. The legislature therefore deems it in the best interest of the state to establish <u>guidelines for the resolution of limits on the remedies available in those conflicts which reach the judicial system.</u>
The legislature further asserts its belief that local units of government, through the

exercise of their zoning power, can best prevent such conflicts from arising in the	
future, and the legislature urges local units of government to use their zoning power	
accordingly.	
Section 3. 823.08 (2) to (4) of the statutes are repealed and recreated to read:	
823.08 (2) Definitions. In this section:	
/ > «A · 1, 1 »	

- (a) "Agricultural practice" means any activity associated with an agricultural use.
 - (b) "Agricultural use" has the meaning given in s. 91.01 (1).
- (3) Nuisance actions. (a) An agricultural use or an agricultural practice may not be found to be a nuisance if all of the following apply:
- 1. The agricultural use or agricultural practice alleged to be a nuisance is conducted on, or adjacent to, land that was in agricultural use without substantial interruption before the plaintiff began the use of property that the plaintiff alleges was interfered with by the agricultural use or agricultural practice.
- 2. Any change in the scale or manner of the agricultural use or agricultural practice that took place after the plaintiff began that use of property and that is alleged to have contributed to the nuisance is consistent with agricultural uses in the region where the agricultural use or agricultural practice is located.
- 3. The agricultural use or agricultural practice does not present a substantial threat to public health or safety.
- (b) In an action in which an agricultural use or an agricultural practice is found to be a nuisance, the following conditions apply:
- 1. The relief granted may not substantially restrict or regulate the agricultural use or agricultural practice, unless the agricultural use or agricultural practice is a substantial threat to public health or safety.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 2. If the court orders the defendant to take any action to mitigate the effects of the agricultural use or agricultural practice found to be a nuisance, the court shall do all of the following:
- a. Request public agencies having expertise in agricultural matters to furnish the court with suggestions for practices suitable to mitigate the effects of the agricultural use or agricultural practice found to be a nuisance.
- b. Provide the defendant with a reasonable time to take the action directed in the court's order. The time allowed for the defendant to take the action may not be less than one year after the date of the order unless the agricultural use or agricultural practice is a substantial threat to public health or safety.
- 3. If the court orders the defendant to take any action to mitigate the effects of the agricultural use or agricultural practice found to be a nuisance, the court may not order the defendant to take any action that does any of the following:
- a. Requires a substantial capital expenditure, unless the agricultural use or agricultural practice is an imminent and substantial threat to public health or safety.
- b. Substantially and adversely affects the economic viability of the agricultural use.
- (4) Costs. (a) In this subsection, "litigation expenses" means the sum of the costs, disbursements and expenses, including reasonable attorney, expert witness and engineering fees necessary to prepare for or participate in an action in which an agricultural use or agricultural practice is alleged to be a nuisance.
- (b) Notwithstanding s. 814.04 (1) and (2), the court shall award litigation expenses to the defendant in any action in which an agricultural use or agricultural

- 1 practice is alleged to be a nuisance if the agricultural use or agricultural practice is
- 2 not found to be a nuisance.

3 (END)