LRBs0591/1 RPN:kjf:jf

ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1, TO 2005 ASSEMBLY BILL 1072

February 28, 2006 - Offered by Committee on Insurance.

AN ACT to amend 893.55 (7); and to create 893.55 (8) of the statutes; relating
to: awards to persons suffering damages as the result of medical malpractice
and evidence of compensation for those damages.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, as recently interpreted by the Supreme Court in *Lagerstrom v. Myrtle Werth Hospital-Mayo Health System*, 2005 WI 124 (2005), in a medical malpractice case, evidence of payments made to compensate a person for injury from persons other than the defendant (collateral source payments) are allowed. The court also held that current law permitted the introduction of evidence of the injured person's obligations of subrogation or reimbursement resulting from those collateral source payments for medical services. But, the court held that the obligation evidence can only be used to determine the reasonable value of those medical services, not to reduce the value of those medical services for the purpose of determining the amount of the damage award for those medical services.

This substitute amendment allows the introduction of collateral source payments in a medical malpractice case and the introduction of evidence of the injured person's obligations of subrogation or reimbursement resulting from those collateral source payments. The substitute amendment requires the finder of fact to determine the amount of collateral source payments made to compensate the claimant for the injury resulting from the medical malpractice and the amount that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

the claimant is legally obligated to pay the persons who made the collateral source payments. The substitute amendment allows the finder of fact to subtract some or all of the amount the claimant is legally obligated to pay the persons who made the collateral source payments from the amount of those collateral source payments and reduce the amount of damages awarded to the claimant by that difference.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

Section 1. 893.55 (7) of the statutes is amended to read:

893.55 (7) Evidence of any compensation for bodily injury received from sources other than the defendant to compensate the claimant for the injury is admissible in an action to recover damages for medical malpractice. Evidence of the claimant's obligations of subrogation or reimbursement resulting from payments made by sources other than the defendant to compensate the claimant for the injury is admissible in an action to recover damages for medical malpractice. This section does not limit the substantive or procedural rights of persons who have claims based upon subrogation.

Section 2. 893.55 (8) of the statutes is created to read:

893.55 (8) (a) If medical malpractice did occur, the finder of fact shall determine all of the following:

- 1. The amount that was provided from sources other than the defendant to compensate the claimant for the injury resulting from the medical malpractice.
- 2. The amount that the claimant is legally obligated to pay the sources under subd. 1. for the compensation provided by those sources under subd. 1., either through subrogation or by reimbursement.

(END)
the effective date of this subsection.
(1) This act first applies to medical malpractice acts or omissions occurring on
Section 3. Initial applicability.
damages awarded to the claimant under ch. 655 by that difference.
par. (a) 2. from the amount determined under par. (a) 1. and reduce the amount of
(b) The finder of fact may subtract some or all of the amount determined under