Clearinghouse Rule 98-184

98-184

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF WISCONSIN	· ()	
)	SS
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE	•)	

I, Cate Zeuske, Secretary of the Department of Revenue and custodian of the official records, certify that the annexed rule, relating to the use of an alternative method of apportionment, was duly approved and adopted by this department on February 26, 1999.

I further certify that this copy has been compared by me with the original on file in this department and that it is a true copy of the original, and of the whole of the original.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand at 125 South Webster Street in the city of Madison, this ______ day of

Cate Zeuske Secretary of Revenue

e:rules\239 Adoption - Certificate

ORDER OF THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE AMENDING AND CREATING RULES

The Wisconsin Department of Revenue hereby adopts an order to: amend Tax 2.39(3)(a)(intro.), and create Tax 2.395, relating to the use of an alternative apportionment method.

Analysis by the Department of Revenue

Statutory authority: s. 71.80(1)(c), Stats., and s. 71.25(14)(b), Stats., as created by 1997 Wis. Act 299

Statutes interpreted: s. 71.25(14), Stats.

SECTION 1. Tax 2.39(3)(a)(intro.) is amended, to exclude corporations that qualify for the use of an alternative apportionment method from the rule relating to the general apportionment method.

A second note at the end of Tax 2.39(3) is created, to include a reference to Tax 2.395.

SECTION 2. Tax 2.395 is created, to address the use of an alternative apportionment method. This alternative was created by s. 2r, 1997 Wis. Act 299, effective for taxable years beginning on January 1, 1998.

SECTION 1. Tax 2.39(3)(a)(intro.) is amended to read:

Tax 2.39(3)(a)(intro.) For the reporting of income for the purposes of franchise or income taxation, all businesses except financial organizations and public utilities as defined in ss. 71.04(8) and 71.25(10), Stats., and corporations that are authorized to use an alternative method of apportionment under s. 71.25(14), Stats., shall use an apportionment fraction composed of a sales factor representing 50% of the fraction, a property factor representing 25% of the fraction and a payroll factor representing 25% of the fraction. Property, payroll or sales related to the production of nonapportionable income described in s. 71.04(1) and (4) or 71.25(5)(b), Stats., may not be included in either the numerator or the denominator of any of the apportionment factors. If one of these factors is omitted pursuant to s. 71.04(10) or 71.25(11), Stats., the percentages of the fraction represented by the remaining factors shall be

adjusted as follows:

Note to Revisor: Insert the following note after the first note at the end of Tax 2.39(3):

Note: See s. Tax 2.395 for an alternative method of apportioning the income of certain corporations.

SECTION 2. Tax 2.395 is created to read:

Tax 2.395 Alternative method of apportionment. (1) DEFINITIONS. In this section:

- (a) "Corporate restructuring" means the transfer by a corporation of part or all of its property and employes to one or more subsidiaries in exchange for 100% of the subsidiary's stock.
- (b) "Corporation" means a corporation for profit that is incorporated under ch. 180, Stats., or under the law of another state, the District of Columbia or a foreign country and is subject to tax under s. 71.23(1) or (2), Stats
- (c) "Subsidiary" means a corporation that files an application under this section with a corporation that directly or indirectly owns 100 % of the total value or share of all classes of its stock outstanding.
- (d) "Unfair representation of the degree of business activity in this state" means that the sum of the Wisconsin tax liability of the corporation and its subsidiaries calculated under s. 71.25(6), Stats., exceeds 200% of the Wisconsin tax liability that the corporation would have owed if corporate restructuring had not occurred and results in at least \$2 million of additional Wisconsin tax liability.

Note: This definition applies only for purposes of s. 71.25(14), Stats.

- (e) "Wisconsin tax liability" means the gross tax computed under s. 71.23(1) or (2), Stats.
 - (2) WHO MAY FILE AN APPLICATION. A corporation together with its subsidiary may

file an application on or before January 1, 2000 to use an alternative method of apportionment under this section if all of the following conditions are met:

- (a) The corporation is not a financial organization as defined in s. 71.25(10)(a), Stats., a public utility as defined in s. 71.25(10)(b), Stats., or a tax-option corporation as defined in s. 71.34(2), Stats.
- (b) The corporation is a party to a corporate restructuring that occurs after June 30, 1998 and before January 1, 2000.
- (c) The corporation retains direct or indirect ownership of 100% of the subsidiary's stock and the subsidiary has not been engaged in business in or outside this state since the date of its incorporation.
- (d) As a result of the corporate restructuring, the use of the allocation and separate accounting method or the apportionment method prescribed under s. 71.25(6), Stats., would result in an unfair representation of the degree of business activity in this state, given the same level of sales, payroll and property for the corporation and its subsidiaries.
- (3) CONTENT OF THE APPLICATION. The application shall set forth a complete statement of the facts and reasons relating to the request to use an alternative method of apportioning income to Wisconsin, including the following:
- (a) The full name, address and federal employer identification number of the corporation applying for the change in apportionment method.
- (b) The full name, address and federal employer identification number of the subsidiaries that are a party to the corporate restructuring. If this information is not available when the application is filed, it shall be provided to the department as an amendment to the application at least 60 days before a return using an alternative apportionment method is filed.
 - (c) The corporation's and the subsidiaries' taxable year. The subsidiaries shall have the

same taxable year as the corporation.

- (d) The taxable year for which the corporation wishes the change to become effective.
- (e) A detailed description of the corporate structure and business operations before the corporate restructuring
- (f) A detailed description of the corporate structure and business operations after the corporate restructuring.
 - (g) The present allocation or apportionment method used in Wisconsin.
 - (h) A description of the alternative apportionment method requested.
- (i) A complete and precise statement of the reasons for the modification requested, including why the present method does not fairly represent the activities of the corporation and its subsidiaries in Wisconsin.
- (j) Calculations using data from the most recently filed tax return prior to July 1, 1998, showing that the Wisconsin tax liability of the corporation and its subsidiaries using the present method of allocation or apportionment would result in an unfair representation of the degree of business activity in this state.
- (k) Calculations using data from the most recently filed tax return prior to July 1, 1998, showing that the Wisconsin tax liability of the corporation and its subsidiaries using the proposed alternative method of apportionment does not result in less Wisconsin franchise or income tax than the corporation would be liable for without restructuring.
- (L) Any other information relevant to the application the department requires or the corporation believes may have a bearing on the department's decision about whether to grant the apportionment method requested
- (m) Whether the corporation is being audited by the department at the time of the application.

Note: The application shall be mailed to the following address: Administrator of the Division of Income, Sales and Excise Taxes, Wisconsin Department of Revenue, P.O. Box 8933, Madison, WI 53708-8933.

- (4) ALTERNATIVE METHODS OF APPORTIONMENT. The department may authorize any one or a combination of the following alternative methods of apportionment:
 - (a) Excluding any one or more of the property, payroll and sales factors.
 - (b) Weighting the factors other than 50% sales, 25% property and 25% payroll.
- (c) Allocating sales, other than sales of tangible personal property, to the state in which the corporation's customers are located for purposes of computing the numerator of the sales factor. For purposes of this paragraph:
- 1. A sale is allocated to the location where the customer receives the benefit of the service.
- 2. If the customer receives the benefit of the service in more than one state, the gross receipts are includable in the numerator of the apportionment factor in proportion to the extent the recipient receives the benefit of the service in each state.
- (d) Including one or more additional factors which will fairly represent the corporation's or the subsidiaries' business activity in this state.
- (e) Allowing one method for apportioning the business income of the corporation and another method for apportioning the business income of a subsidiary
- (f) Allowing the corporation and one or more subsidiaries to compute their Wisconsin tax liability by adding together their apportionable income and apportionment factors, eliminating any intercompany transactions, computing the Wisconsin tax liability as though the group were one taxpayer and dividing the combined Wisconsin tax liability among the corporations based on their share of the group's Wisconsin business income.

- (g) Allowing any other apportionment method that will fairly represent the corporation's and the subsidiaries' business activity in this state
- (5) REVIEW OF THE APPLICATION. The department shall review the information submitted and follow the procedure specified in s. 71.25(14)(c), Stats., before issuing a written decision regarding the use of an alternative method of apportionment. The corporation shall receive written approval before using the alternative method.
- (6) YEARS FOR WHICH USE OF ALTERNATIVE METHOD OF APPORTIONMENT APPLIES. (a) Except as provided in par. (b), once an alternative method of apportionment has been approved for a taxable year, the corporation shall use it for that taxable year and all subsequent taxable years, unless the department finds the use of the alternative apportionment method is no longer appropriate as determined under sub. (7)(b)
- (b) Notwithstanding par. (a), the aggregate of the corporation's and the subsidiaries' Wisconsin tax liability shall be the greater of the Wisconsin tax liability calculated using the approved alternative apportionment or the Wisconsin tax liability calculated as if the corporate restructuring had not taken place.
- (7) REVOCATION OF USE OF ALTERNATIVE METHOD OF APPORTIONMENT.

 (a) 1. If the department upon audit or review finds that the use of the apportionment method prescribed in s. 71.25(6), Stats., does not result in an unfair representation of the degree of business activity in this state for the first taxable year for which an alternative method of apportionment was approved, the corporation and its subsidiaries shall recalculate their Wisconsin tax liabilities under s. 71.25(6), Stats.
- 2. If the department upon audit or review finds that the use of the alternative apportionment method in subsequent taxable years is no longer appropriate as determined under par. (b), the corporation and its subsidiaries shall recalculate their Wisconsin tax liabilities

under s. 71.25(6), Stats., for each of the subsequent taxable years.

- (b) In determining whether a corporation may continue to use the alternative method of apportionment, the department shall look for a continued substantial amount of difference between the tax liability calculated pursuant to s. 71.25(6), Stats., and the tax liability had the restructuring not taken place. The department shall also consider any additional information the corporation has submitted pursuant to sub. (8).
- (c) If the department finds for a period of at least three consecutive taxable years that a substantial difference in tax liability as determined in par. (b) no longer exists, the corporation may no longer use the approved alternative apportionment method.
- (d) If the department terminates the approved alternative apportionment method, in a subsequent taxable year the corporation may request a new alternative method of apportionment. The department shall submit the new proposed alternative method of apportionment to the co-chairpersons of the joint committee for review of administrative rules pursuant to s. 71.25(14)(c), Stats
- (8) FILING OF RETURN. For each taxable year, the corporation and its subsidiaries shall file with their Wisconsin corporate franchise or income tax returns schedules setting forth the calculations required under sub (6), as well as a calculation of the tax liability of the corporation and its subsidiaries under s. 71.25(6), Stats. The corporation and its subsidiaries shall attach a copy of the department's approval to use an alternative apportionment method to the front of each return filed. The corporation may also include additional explanatory material relative to its business activity. The returns shall be filed with the department's audit bureau.

Note: The address for mailing the returns is: Audit Bureau, Wisconsin Department of Revenue, P.O. Box 8906, Madison, WI 53708-8906.

(9) CONFIDENTIALITY. All documents related to a request for an alternative method of

apportionment shall be subject to the confidentiality provisions of s. 71.78, Stats.

Note: Section Tax 2.395 interprets s. 71.25(14), Stats.

The rules contained in this order shall take effect on the first day of the month following publication in the Wisconsin administrative register as provided in s. 227.22(2)(intro.), Stats

Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

This rule order does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small businesses.

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

Dated: 100 26 99

by. Octo Zovali

Secretary of Revenue

e:rules\239 Adoption - Order

			1997 S	ession	
	Land Alexandria		LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule	No.	
			TAX 2.39 and 2.39	15	
FISCAL ESTIMATE	⊠ ORIGINAL	☐ UPDATED	Amendment No. if Applic		
DOA-2048 N(R10/94)	CORRECTED	SUPPLEMENTAL			
0	00.11120.25	0071 2217712			
Subject Alternative Apportio	nment Method				
Fiscal Effect	Unknown				
State: No State Fiscal Effect	f hill makes a direct appropriation a	r affacta a our outliniont	From:		
appropriation	f bill makes a direct appropriation of	r anects a sum sunicient	Increase Costs - May Within Agency's Bud	be Possible to Absorb	
Increase Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Appropriation	Increase Existing Revenue			Q = - Summer tommer	
Create New Appropriation	Decrease Existing Revenue	28	Decrease Costs		
granty			The Doubt and Control		
Local: No Local Government Cost	s I		1		
1 Increase Costs	3. Increase Reve	enues	5 Types of Local Governi	nental Units Affected:	
Permissive Mandato	Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory		[] Towns [] Ville	☐ Towns ☐ Villages ☐ Cities	
2 Decrease Costs	4 Decrease Rev	renues	Towns Villa Counties Oth		
Permissive Mandato	ry Permissiv	e Mandatory	[
Fund Sources Affected		Affected Ch. 20 A	School Districts	WTCS Districts	
☐GPR ☐FED ☐PRO ☐ PRS	Пого Пого о				
GPR FED PRO PRS	∐SEG ∐SEG-S				
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fise	cal Estimate				
Under provisions of 1997 V alternative method of appo unfair representation of the less franchise or income ta same overall level of sales,	rtionment of income in the degree of business acti x revenue to the state th	ne event of a corporate. The	rate restructuring the alternative metho	at would result in od may not result in	
Before granting a request for specifying the circumstance that may be authorized. The beginning on January 1, 19 January 1, 2000.	es in which alternative and alternative and alternation alternations.	oportionment may bative apportionmer	oe granted and the nt method takes effe	kinds of alternatives ect for taxable years	
Alternative Apportionmen	nt of Income				
The rule specifies that certa representation of business specifies the required conte	activity in the state may	apply for an alterna	ative apportionment		
Long-Range Fiscal Implications		(continu	ed on page two)	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Pho	ne No.) Authorized Signatu	re/Telephone No.		Date	
Wisconsin Department of Revenue	Yeang-Eng Braun	Ungua RoB	العداء	11/12/98	
Pamela Walgren, (608) 266-7817	(608) 266-2700	Yeary By/3		•	

Fiscal Estimate TAX 2.39 and 2.395 Page 2

The rule defines an unfair representation of business activity as combined net tax liability of the parent and subsidiaries that exceeds 200% of the net tax liability the parent corporation would have computed if it had not contributed business operations to the subsidiaries and that results in at least \$2 million of additional tax liability. Calculations are based on data from the most recently filed tax return prior to July 1, 1998.

Under the rule, the Department may authorize the following alternative methods of apportionment:

- 1. Excluding one or more of the property, payroll or sales factors.
- 2. Weighting the factors differently (generally factors are weighted as 50% sales, 25% payroll and 25% property).
- 3. Allocating sales, other than sales of tangible personal property, to the state in which the corporation's customers are located for purposes of computing the numerator of the sales factor. Sales are allocated to the location where the customer receives the benefit of the service; multi-state benefit is allocated proportionately to each state.
- 4. Including one or more additional factors.
- 5. Allowing different methods of apportionment for the parent and subsidiaries corporations.
- 6. Allowing a parent and subsidiary corporations to compute net tax liability as though the group were one taxpayer by adding apportionable income and factors and eliminating intercompany transactions.
- 7. Allowing any other apportionment method that will fairly represent business activity in the state.

The rule specifies that the aggregate of the corporations' and the subsidiaries' Wisconsin tax liability is the greater of the Wisconsin tax liability calculated using the alternative apportionment method or the tax liability calculated using the standard apportionment method as if the corporate restructuring had not occurred. These calculations and the calculations using the standard method of apportionment after corporate restructuring must be filed for each taxable year with the returns of the corporation and subsidiaries to the Department's Audit Bureau. This last calculation measures the change in tax revenues attributable to the provision.

Once granted, the alternative apportionment method continues in subsequent years until revoked. If upon audit or review the Department finds that the use of the standard apportionment method no longer results in unfair representation of the degree of business activity in the state, the corporation and subsidiaries must recalculate their Wisconsin tax liabilities for each year the alternative method was used and unfair representation did not exist.

Once the alternative method is granted, unfair representation of business activity continues to exist if there is a substantial amount of difference between the tax liability calculated under the standard apportionment method and the tax liability had the restructuring not occurred. If a substantial difference in tax liability does not exist for three consecutive years, the corporation may no longer use the alternative apportionment method.

If the Department terminates the alternative method, the corporation may request and the Department will resubmit the proposed alternative method to the co-chairpersons of the joint committee for review of administrative rules.

Fiscal Estimate TAX 2.39 and 2.395 Page 3

Fiscal Effect

Since the determination to grant an alternative apportionment method is based on projections of income of restructured corporations, the Department does not have actual data to estimate the fiscal effect of this provision. Because a change in a corporation's apportionment ratio could either raise or lower it's tax, the fiscal effect could be positive or negative in any given year. Discussions with other states that have similar provisions indicate that corporations seek changes in apportionment ratio in limited instances, which implies a small fiscal effect. However, apportionment ratio changes can have a substantial effect on a corporation's tax liability, so that the change in any year could be significant.

1997 Session

Subject Alternative Apportionment Method I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fisca II. Annualized Costs: Annualized	LRB OR Bill No. VAdm. Rule No. Amendment No.		
Subject Alternative Apportionment Method I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal III. Annualized Costs: A. State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries and Fringe (FTE Position Changes) State Operations-Other Costs			
Alternative Apportionment Method I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal II. Annualized Costs: Annualized A. State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries and Fringe (FTE Position Changes) State Operations-Other Costs	39 and 2.395		
Alternative Apportionment Method I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal II. Annualized Costs: Annualized A. State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries and Fringe (FTE Position Changes) State Operations-Other Costs			
I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal II. Annualized Costs: Annualized A. State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries and Fringe (FTE Position Changes) State Operations-Other Costs			
II. Annualized Costs: A. State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries and Fringe (FTE Position Changes) State Operations-Other Costs Annualized Increased Costs (FTE)	ul offooth.		
A. State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries and Fringe (FTE Position Changes) State Operations-Other Costs	n enecij.		
A. State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries and Fringe (FTE Position Changes) (FTE) State Operations-Other Costs	Annualized Fiscal Impact on State Funds from:		
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe \$ (FTE Position Changes) (FTE) State Operations-Other Costs	Increased Costs Decreased Costs		
(FTE) State Operations-Other Costs	s -		
State Operations-Other Costs	(FTE)		
Local Assistance	-		
	-		
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			
TOTAL State Costs by Category \$	\$ -		
B. State Costs by Source of Funds Increased Co	osts Decreased Costs		
GPR \$	\$-		
FED \$	-		
PRO/PRS \$	-		
SEG/SEG-S	- 1		
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	Decreased Rev.		
GPR Taxes \$	\$ -		
GPR Earned			
FED	-		
PRO/PRS	-		
SEG/SEG-S	-		
TOTAL State Revenues \$	\$		
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT			
<u>STATE</u>	LOCAL		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS \$ \$			
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES \$ Unknown \$	\$		
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) Authorized Signature/Telephone No.			
Wisconsin Department of Revenue Yeang-Eng Braun Pamela Waigren (608) 266-7817 (608) 266-2700 Urang Cy Braun	Date		



State of Wisconsin • DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

125 SOUTH WEBSTER STREET • P.O. BOX 8933 • MADISON, WI 53708-8933 (608) 266-6466 • FAX (608) 266-5718 • http://www.dor.state.wi.us

Tommy G. Thompson Governor Cate Zeuske Secretary of Revenue

March 1, 1999

Douglas La Follette Secretary of State 30 West Mifflin Street, 10th Floor Madison, WI 53703

Dear Secretary La Follette:

Enclosed are a Certificate and an Order of the Department of Revenue adopting Clearinghouse Rule 98-184.

These materials are filed with you pursuant to s. 227.20(1), Stats.

Sincerely,

Gate Zeuske

Secretary of Revenue

CZ:MPW:cll e:rules\239 Adoption - Secretary of State

Enclosure

cc: Deputy Revisor





State of Wisconsin • DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

125 SOUTH WEBSTER STREET • P O BOX 8933 • MADISON, WI 53708-8933 (608) 266-6466 • FAX (608) 266-5718 • http://www.dor.state.wi.us

Tommy G. Thompson Governor Cate Zeuske Secretary of Revenue

March 1, 1999

Gary L. Poulson Deputy Revisor 131 West Wilson Street, Suite 800 Madison, WI 53703-3233

Re: Clearinghouse Rule 98-184

Dear Mr. Poulson:

Enclosed are a certified copy and an extra copy of an Order of the Department of Revenue promulgating rules relating to the use of an alternative method of apportionment.

These materials are filed with you pursuant to s. 227.20(1), Stats.

Cate Zeuske

Secretary of Revenue

CZ:MPW:cll e:rules\239 Adoption - Revisor

Enclosure

cc. Douglas J. La Follette, Secretary of State

Commerce Clearing House, Inc. Research Institute of America, Inc.

