

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

Cos 5

3. Subject

Course of Instruction

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

20.165 (1) (g)

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses **(if checked, complete Attachment A)**

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

2013 Wisconsin Act 356 amended the course of instruction for cosmetology practitioners by decreasing the number of training hours from 1,800 to 1,1550. The Act also required the Cosmetology Examining Board to identify in rule the accrediting agencies it approve to accredit schools. The proposed rule seeks to update the current syllabus in Wis. Admin. Code s. Cos 5.01 to be consistent with Act 356.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

The Department will be soliciting comments for the affect the rule will have on businesses, business sectors, and associations representing business, local governmental units and individuals.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

No local governmental units participated in the development of this EIA.

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

This proposed rule will have minimal or no economic impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local government units or the state's economy as a whole.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The benefits of implementing this proposed rule includes implementing the statutory goal of 2013 Wisconsin Act 356 and giving clear guidance to cosmetology schools and practitioners on the correct course of instruction required for cosmetologists.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

Long range implications of implementing the rule include consistency in the course of instruction taught at cosmetology schools and consistency amongst neighboring states as to course of instruction requirements.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

None.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Illinois: Illinois requires cosmetology schools to provide 1,500 hours of instruction in cosmetology. 68 Ill. Adm. Codes 117.530.

Iowa: Iowa requires 2,100 hours in cosmetology curriculum. 645 IAC 61.14.

Michigan: Michigan cosmetology curriculum consists of 1,500 of theory and practical instruction and 1,160 hours in minimum practical application. Mich. Admin. Code R.338.2161.

Minnesota: The cosmetology training curriculum consists of 1,550 hours in Minnesota. Minn. R. 2110.0510.

17. Contact Name

Shawn Leatherwood

18. Contact Phone Number

608-261-4438

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
 - Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
 - Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
 - Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
 - Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
 - Other, describe:
-

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-