ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date
⊠ Original □ Updated □Corrected	July 22, 2021

3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) DHS 10, relating to requirements for adult long-term care

4. Subject

The intent of the emergency rule is to take action permitted under s. 105 (1) of Act 185, and thereby qualify for increased federal funding under s. 6008 of the FFCRA. Section 6008 of the FFCRA provides a temporary 6.2 percentage point federal medical assistance percentage ("FMAP") increase to each state that maintains continuous eligibility for persons enrolled in Medicaid (unless the person leaves the state or requests disenrollment) during the federal emergency period defined in par. (1)(B) of s. 1135(g) of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1320-5(g), and declared by the United States Secretary of Health And Human Services in relation to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Additionally, the rule seeks to align with federally-approved program changes permitted under s. 105 (10) (a) of Act 185, which authorized the Medicaid program to amend program waivers in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. The Family Care program operates under concurrent 1915(b) and 1915(c) waivers, as described by section 1915 of the Social Security Act. See 42 USC 1396n(b)-(c). Based on s. 105 (10) (a) of Act 185, the Department requested, and the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services approved, an amendment to the Family Care 1915(c) waiver. This waiver amendment, known as Appendix K: Emergency Preparedness and Response and COVID 19 Addendum, is in effect from March 1, 2020 to no later than six months after the expiration of the federal public health emergency.

5. Fund Sources Affect ⊠ GPR ⊠ FED	ted □ PRO □ PRS □ SEG □ SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affect 406 and 456	ted
7. Fiscal Effect of Imple	ementing the Rule		
🛛 No Fiscal Effect	Increase Existing Revenues	Increase Costs	Decrease Costs
Indeterminate	Decrease Existing Revenues	Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)			
□ State's Economy			
Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers			
	🗌 Sma	Il Businesses (if checked, complete Attack	nment A)
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).			
\$0			
10 Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over			

 Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?

🗌 Yes 🛛 No

11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

The intent of the emergency rule is to qualify for increased federal funding made available under s. 6008 of the FFCRA by suspending certain Family Care program member eligibility determinations and disenvoluments and align with federally approved Family Care program changes by alterning signature requiremenets.

Even when accounting for the extra costs of suspending certain member eligibility determinations and disenrollments, the benefits of gaining the increased federal funding made available under s. 6008 of the FFCRA outweighs the cost of maintaining eligibility for Medicaid members by over \$150 million per quarter.

12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. Family Care providers and health maintenance organizations

13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

None

14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

Family Care program signature requirements and suspending certain member eligibility determinations and disenrollments are not expected to have a material financial impact. Membership growth in Family Care and Partnership continues to be at average historical levels.

Even when accounting for the extra costs of suspending certain member eligibility determinations and disenrollments, the benefits of gaining the increased federal funding made available under s. 6008 of the FFCRA outweighs the cost of maintaining eligibility for Medicaid members by over \$150 million per quarter.

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

2019 Act 185 s. 105 (1) allows the Department to take action to gain increased federal funding made available under s. 6008 of the FFCRA. Increased federal funding made available under s 6008 of the FFCRA will off set the funding decrease resulting from implementing the rule. Alternatively, the Department could maintain the current administrative rules and forego the available increased federal funding.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Not applicable due to this being an emergency rule

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

The federal government directed the Department must maintain continuous Medicaid eligibility for persons enrolled in Medicaid, unless the person leaves the state or requests disenrollment, in order to gain increased federal funding made available under s. 6008 of the FFRCA.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) In order for our neighboring states to be compliant with and gain increased federal funding made available under s. 6008 of the FFRCA, they are also required to make these program changes.

Illinois will similarly need to maintain continuous Medicaid eligibility for persons enrolled in Medicaid in order to gain increased federal funding made available under s. 6008 of the FFCRA. Additionally, it has received federal authority to allow for electronic signatures and virtual/remote meetings in lieu of face-to-face assessment interviews through a 1915(c) waiver Appendix K: Emergency Preparedness and Response and COVID 19 Addendum.

Iowa will similarly need to maintain continuous Medicaid eligibility for persons enrolled in Medicaid in order to gain increased federal funding made available under s. 6008 of the FFCRA. Additionally, it has received federal authority to allow for electronic signatures and virtual/remote meetings in lieu of face-to-face assessment interviews through a 1915(c) waiver Appendix K: Emergency Preparedness and Response and COVID 19 Addendum.

Michigan will similarly need to maintain continuous Medicaid eligibility for persons enrolled in Medicaid in order to gain increased federal funding made available under s. 6008 of the FFCRA. Additionally, it has received federal authority to allow for electronic signatures and virtual/remote meetings in lieu of face-to-face assessment interviews through a 1915(c) waiver Appendix K: Emergency Preparedness and Response and COVID 19 Addendum. Minnesota will similarly need to maintain continuous Medicaid eligibility for persons enrolled in Medicaid in order to gain increased federal funding made available under s. 6008 of the FFCRA. Additionally, it has received federal authority to allow for virtual/remote meetings in lieu of face-to-face assessment interviews through a 1915(c) waiver Appendix K: Emergency Preparedness and COVID 19 Addendum. Minnesota will similarly need to maintain continuous Medicaid eligibility for persons enrolled in Medicaid in order to gain increased federal funding made available under s. 6008 of the FFCRA. Additionally, it has received federal authority to allow for virtual/remote meetings in lieu of face-to-face assessment interviews through a 1915(c) waiver Appendix K: Emergency Preparedness and COVID 19 Addendum.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Laura Brauer	(608) 266-5368

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) □ Yes □ No