

State of Misconsin 2009 - 2010 LEGISLATURE

2009 SENATE BILL 129

March 24, 2009 – Introduced by Senators Holperin, Vinehout, Decker, Plale, Schultz, Lazich, Leibham, Grothman, A. Lasee, Kanavas, Darling, Kapanke, Harsdorf and Hopper, cosponsored by Representatives Hraychuck, Danou, Vruwink, Mursau, Friske, Van Roy, Ballweg, Suder, Strachota, Petrowski, Vukmir, Zipperer, Davis, Bies, Kleefisch, Gunderson, Vos, Spanbauer, Kerkman, Nerison, LeMahieu, Kestell, Honadel, Rhoades, Zigmunt, Ripp, Jorgensen, Tauchen, Roth, Kaufert and Huebsch. Referred to Committee on Judiciary, Corrections, Insurance, Campaign Finance Reform, and Housing.

1 AN ACT to create 939.48 (1m) of the statutes; relating to: the privilege of 2 self-defense.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

In general, a person who uses force in self-defense or in the defense of another person may not be convicted of a crime stemming from that use of force. This law applies only when: 1) the amount of force used is reasonable; and 2) the person uses that force to prevent or stop what he or she reasonably believes is an unlawful interference with himself or herself or another person, such as the crime of battery. Current law specifies that a person may use force that is intended or likely to cause the death of or great bodily harm to another individual only if the person reasonably believes that using such force is necessary to prevent the imminent death of or great bodily harm to himself or another person.

Under this bill, if a person used defensive force that was intended or likely to cause death or great bodily harm, the court must presume that the person reasonably believed that the force was necessary to prevent death or great bodily harm if: 1) the individual against whom the force was used was in the process of unlawfully and forcibly entering, or had already unlawfully and forcefully entered, the residence of the person who used the force; 2) the person was present in that residence; and 3) the person knew or had reason to believe that an unlawful and forcible entry was occurring or had occurred. This presumption, however, does not apply if: 1) the person who used the force was engaged in an unlawful activity or was using his or her residence to further an unlawful activity; or 2) the individual against whom the force was used had identified himself or herself as a peace officer (or was or should

SENATE BILL 129

have been known to be a peace officer) and was entering the residence in the performance of his or her official duties.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 939.48 (1m) of the statutes is created to read:
939.48 (1m) (a) If an actor intentionally used force that was intended or likely
to cause death or great bodily harm, the court shall presume that the actor
reasonably believed that the force was necessary to prevent imminent death or great
bodily harm to himself or herself if the actor makes such a claim under sub. $\left(1\right)$ and
any of the following applies:
1. The person against whom the force was used was in the process of unlawfully
and forcibly entering the actor's residence, the actor was present in the residence,
and the actor knew or had reason to believe that an unlawful and forcible entry was
occurring.
2. The person against whom the force was used was in the actor's residence
after unlawfully and forcibly entering it, the actor was present in the residence, and
the actor knew or had reason to believe that the person had unlawfully and forcibly
entered the residence.
(b) The presumption described in par. (a) does not apply if any of the following
applies:
1. The actor was engaged in an unlawful activity or was using his or her
residence to further an unlawful activity at the time.
2. The person against whom the force was used was a peace officer who entered
or attempted to enter the actor's residence in the performance of his or her official
duties. This subdivision applies only if at least one of the following applies:

2009 – 2010 Legislature

SENATE BILL 129

1	a. The officer identified himself or herself to the actor before the force described
2	in par. (a) was used by the actor.
3	b. The actor knew or reasonably should have known that the person entering

- 3 -

- 4 or attempting to enter his or her residence was a peace officer.
- $\mathbf{5}$

(END)