LRB-2125/3 ARG:wlj

2021 ASSEMBLY BILL 735

December 2, 2021 - Introduced by Representatives Cabral-Guevara, Murphy, Allen, Armstrong, Brandtjen, Horlacher, James, Kuglitsch, Moses, Penterman, Schraa, Tittl, Tusler and Wichgers, cosponsored by Senators Testin and Stroebel. Referred to Committee on Colleges and Universities.

AN ACT to amend 36.11 (6) (c) and 38.04 (7m); and to create 36.41, 38.34 and 39.285 (1) (c) of the statutes; relating to: free speech and academic freedom at University of Wisconsin System institutions and technical colleges, causes of action against the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System and technical college district boards, and Wisconsin grant funding allocation.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

This bill establishes certain standards related to free speech and academic freedom at University of Wisconsin System institutions and technical colleges. For violation of these standards, a UW institution or technical college may become ineligible for Wisconsin grant funding allocation and the Board of Regents of the UW System or technical college district board may be subject to a civil cause of action. If a UW institution or technical college loses its Wisconsin grant funding allocation, it must replace the amount of each student's lost Wisconsin grant with the same amount in institutional financial aid funded from administrative expense moneys.

First Amendment protections

Under the bill, a UW institution or technical college may not do any of the following: 1) restrict noncommercial speech protected under the First Amendment; 2) maintain and enforce time, place, and manner restrictions on expressive activities on the publicly accessible, open outdoor areas of its campus unless those restrictions meet specified requirements; 3) designate any area of a campus a "free speech zone"

or otherwise create policies restricting noncommercial expressive activities to a particular area of a campus; 4) charge fees for additional security based on the anticipated content of speech or anticipated reaction to speech; or 5) sanction individuals or groups for discriminatory harassment unless the speech is unwelcome, targets its victim on the basis of a protected class under law, and is demonstrably so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively bars a student from receiving equal access to educational opportunities or benefits. The bill also specifies that all of an institution's or technical college's indoor and outdoor public areas are considered public forums. An institution or technical college must make every reasonable effort to prevent protected speech and sanctioned events, activities, and instruction from being disrupted.

The bill requires an institution or technical college to annually do all of the following: 1) conduct a survey of all students and employees on First Amendment rights, academic freedom, perceived political or other bias at the institution or technical college, and whether campus culture promotes self-censorship; 2) submit a report to the legislature detailing the results of this survey; and 3) provide all students and employees with instruction in academic freedom, due process, and First Amendment protections.

Academic freedom

Under the bill, an institution or technical college may not sanction an individual on the basis of content or viewpoints expressed while the individual is directly engaged in instruction, research, or service explicitly related to the individual's role as a student or faculty member of the institution or technical college.

Penalties and Wisconsin grants

Under current law, the Wisconsin grant program, administered by the Higher Educational Aids Board, provides grants to resident students enrolled in eligible types of postsecondary schools, including UW institutions and technical colleges. The amount of funding available for these types of eligible postsecondary schools varies, and the UW System and technical colleges must annually submit to HEAB a proposed formula for awarding Wisconsin grants to students enrolled in UW institutions or technical colleges. HEAB must then approve, modify, or disapprove these proposed formulas for awarding grants to UW or technical college students in the next fiscal year.

Under this bill, a UW institution or technical college that violates any of the free speech or academic freedom provisions described above more than once in a 10-year period is ineligible for allocation of Wisconsin grants for the longer of 1) a period of no less than one fiscal year; or 2) if the institution's or technical college's administrator causes the violation, until the administrator is permanently removed from his or her administrative role. HEAB's formula for awarding Wisconsin grants must take these loss of allocation penalties into consideration. The violation giving rise to this penalty may be found, by a preponderance of the evidence, by any of the following: 1) a state or federal court; 2) HEAB; or 3) a legislative standing committee having jurisdiction over matters relating to higher education. If a violating UW institution or technical college is ineligible for allocation of Wisconsin grants, the institution or technical college must make an award of institutional financial aid to

each affected student in the amount that the student would have received as a Wisconsin grant if the institution or technical college had not committed the violation. This institutional financial aid must be paid from the UW institution's or technical college's administrative expense moneys.

In addition, the bill requires a UW institution or technical college that is found to have violated any of the free speech or academic freedom provisions described above to include, for 10 years, the following disclaimer on all notices to individuals regarding admission to the UW institution or technical college: "NOTICE: We are required by the State of Wisconsin to inform you that within the last 10 years ... [insert name of UW institution or technical college] has violated the free speech or academic freedom provisions in the Wisconsin statutes."

Cause of action

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Under the bill, the attorney general, a district attorney, or any person whose expressive rights were violated may bring a court action against the Board of Regents of the UW System or the technical college district board for violation by a UW institution or technical college of any of the free speech or academic freedom provisions described above and may seek an injunction and recovery of compensatory damages for persons aggrieved by the violation. In such an action, if the court finds a violation, the court must award to the plaintiffs all of the following: 1) total damages for all persons aggrieved by the violation of not less than \$500 for the initial violation plus \$50 for each day after the complaint is served that the violation remains ongoing, but not exceeding an aggregate amount of \$100,000 for all cases stemming from a single controversy; and 2) court costs and reasonable attorney fees. An award to the plaintiffs of damages, court costs, or attorney fees must be paid from the UW institution's or technical college's administrative expense moneys.

For further information see the state and local fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 36.11 (6) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:

36.11 (6) (c) By February 10 of each year, the board shall develop and submit to the higher educational aids board for its review under s. 39.285 (1) a proposed formula for the awarding of grants under s. 39.435, except for grants awarded under s. 39.435 (2) or (5), for the next fiscal year to students enrolled in the system. The proposed formula shall take into consideration any institution's loss of funding allocation under s. 36.41 (4) (b) and (c).

	2021 - 2022 Legislature - 4 - LRB-2125/5 ARG:wlj
	ASSEMBLY BILL 735 SECTION 2
1	Section 2. 36.41 of the statutes is created to read:
2	36.41 Campus free speech and academic freedom. (1) DEFINITION. In this
3	section, "employee" means a member of the faculty, academic staff, or university staff
4	assigned to an institution.
5	(2) First Amendment protections. (a) An institution may not do any of the
6	following:
7	1. Restrict noncommercial speech protected under the First Amendment of the
8	U.S. Constitution.
9	2. Maintain and enforce time, place, and manner restrictions on expressive
10	activities on the open outdoor areas of its campus that are generally accessible to the
11	public unless those restrictions meet all of the following requirements:
12	a. The restrictions are reasonable, in furtherance of a significant institutiona

interest, and employ clear, published, content-neutral and viewpoint-neutral

allow for members of the institution's community to spontaneously and

policies restricting noncommercial expressive activities to a particular area of a

contemporaneously distribute literature and assemble.

b. The restrictions provide for ample alternative means for communication and

3. Designate any area of a campus a "free speech zone" or otherwise create

4. Charge fees for additional security based on the anticipated content of speech

5. Sanction individuals or groups for discriminatory harassment unless the

speech is unwelcome, targets its victim on the basis of the victim's real or perceived

membership in a class protected under federal, state, or local law, and is

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

criteria.

campus.

or anticipated reaction to speech.

- demonstrably so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively bars a student from receiving equal access to educational opportunities or benefits. This subdivision does not preclude an institution from providing additional resources to a student affected by speech that cannot be sanctioned under this subdivision or from taking nonpunitive actions designed to promote a welcoming, inclusive environment.
- (b) All indoor and outdoor public areas operated by an institution or under the institution's jurisdiction shall be considered public forums.
- (c) An institution shall make every reasonable effort to prevent protected speech and institution-sanctioned events, activities, and instruction from being disrupted. Nothing in this section shall prevent or inhibit an institution from preventing disruptions or punishing disrupters if the institution, to do so, does not prevent or punish expression that is protected under the First Amendment.
 - (d) An institution shall do all of the following:
- 1. Conduct an annual survey of all students and employees on First Amendment rights, academic freedom, perceived political, ideological, or other bias at the institution, and whether campus culture promotes self-censorship.
- 2. Annually submit to the legislature under s. 13.172 (2) a report detailing the results of the survey under subd. 1.
- 3. Annually provide all students and employees with instruction in academic freedom, due process, and First Amendment protections.
- (3) ACADEMIC FREEDOM. An institution may not sanction an individual on the basis of the content or viewpoints expressed while the individual is directly engaged in instruction, research, or service explicitly related to the individual's role as a student or faculty member of the institution.

ARG:wlj SECTION 2

- (4) Penalties; institutional aid. (a) An institution that violates this section, for 10 years following the date of the finding of the violation under par. (e), shall include a disclaimer on all notices, both printed or electronic, to individuals regarding admission to the institution, in a type size no smaller than the majority of the rest of the notice, in substantially the following form: "NOTICE: We are required by the State of Wisconsin to inform you that within the last 10 years ... [insert name of institution] has violated the free speech or academic freedom provisions in the Wisconsin statutes."
- (b) An institution that violates this section more than once in a 10-year period is ineligible for allocation of grants under s. 39.435, except grants awarded under s. 39.435 (2) or (5), for a period of no less than one fiscal year.
- (c) In addition to the period of ineligibility under par. (b), if an institution's administrator causes the violation of this section, the institution is not eligible for allocation of grants under s. 39.435, except grants awarded under s. 39.435 (2) or (5), until the administrator is permanently removed from his or her administrative role.
- (d) If an institution that violates this section is ineligible under par. (b) or (c) for allocation of grants under s. 39.435, except grants awarded under s. 39.435 (2) or (5), the institution shall make an award of institutional financial aid to each student affected by the institution's ineligibility under par. (b) or (c) in the amount that the student would have received as a grant under s. 39.435 if the institution had not violated this section. The board shall ensure that the institutional financial aid awarded to each student under this paragraph is paid from moneys allocated for the administrative expenses of the institution.
- (e) The penalties under this subsection apply if any of the following find, on the basis of a preponderance of the evidence, that the institution violated this section:

1	1. A state or federal court in this state.
2	2. The higher educational aids board.
3	3. Any standing committee of the legislature having jurisdiction over matters
4	relating to higher education.
5	(5) Cause of action. (a) Any person identified in par. (b) may bring an action
6	in circuit court against the board for violation of this section by an institution and
7	may seek any of the following:
8	1. An injunction against violation of this section.
9	2. Recovery from the board of compensatory damages for persons aggrieved by
10	the violation.
11	(b) Any of the following persons may bring an action under par. (a):
12	1. The attorney general.
13	2. A district attorney.
14	3. Any person whose expressive rights were violated through the violation of
15	this section.
16	(c) Subject to par. (d), in an action brought under par. (a), if the court finds a
17	violation, the court shall award to the plaintiffs all of the following:
18	1. Total damages for all persons aggrieved by the violation of not less than \$500
19	for the initial violation plus \$50 for each day the violation remains ongoing, which
20	shall accrue starting on the day after the complaint is served on the board.
21	2. Notwithstanding s. 814.04 (1), court costs and reasonable attorney fees.
22	(d) In an action brought under par. (a), the total damages, excluding court costs
23	and attorney fees, that may be awarded to plaintiffs in a case or cases stemming from
24	a single controversy may not exceed an aggregate amount of \$100,000. In violations

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

SECTION 2

- harming multiple plaintiffs, the court shall divide the damages equitably among them until the maximum award is exhausted, if applicable.
- (e) If a court awards to plaintiffs damages, court costs, or attorney fees in an action brought under this subsection, the board shall pay the total amount of the award from moneys allocated under s. 36.09 (1) (h) to the violating institution for the institution's administrative expenses.
 - **SECTION 3.** 38.04 (7m) of the statutes is amended to read:
- 38.04 (7m) Financial aids. By February 10 of each year, the board shall develop and submit to the higher educational aids board for its review under s. 39.285 (1) a proposed formula for the awarding of grants under s. 39.435, except for grants awarded under s. 39.435 (2) or (5), for the next fiscal year to students enrolled in the technical colleges. The proposed formula shall take into consideration any technical college's loss of funding allocation under s. 38.34 (4) (b) and (c).
 - **Section 4.** 38.34 of the statutes is created to read:
- 15 **38.34 Campus free speech and academic freedom. (1)** DEFINITIONS. In this section:
 - (a) "Employee" means a staff member, faculty member, or administrator employed by a district board.
 - (b) "Student" means an individual enrolled in a district school.
 - (2) FIRST AMENDMENT PROTECTIONS. (a) A district board may not do any of the following:
- Restrict noncommercial speech protected under the First Amendment of the
 U.S. Constitution.

- 2. Maintain and enforce time, place, and manner restrictions on expressive activities on the open outdoor areas of district campuses that are generally accessible to the public unless those restrictions meet all of the following requirements:
- a. The restrictions are reasonable, in furtherance of a significant interest of the district board, and employ clear, published, content-neutral and viewpoint-neutral criteria.
- b. The restrictions provide for ample alternative means for communication and allow for members of the district campus's community to spontaneously and contemporaneously distribute literature and assemble.
- 3. Designate any area of a district campus a "free speech zone" or otherwise create policies restricting noncommercial expressive activities to a particular area of a district campus.
- 4. Charge fees for additional security based on the anticipated content of speech or anticipated reaction to speech.
- 5. Sanction individuals or groups for discriminatory harassment unless the speech is unwelcome, targets its victim on the basis of the victim's real or perceived membership in a class protected under federal, state, or local law, and is demonstrably so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively bars a student from receiving equal access to educational opportunities or benefits. This subdivision does not preclude a district board from providing additional resources to a student affected by speech that cannot be sanctioned under this subdivision or from taking nonpunitive actions designed to promote a welcoming, inclusive environment.
- (b) All indoor and outdoor public areas owned or operated by a district board shall be considered public forums.

(c) A district board shall make every reasonable effort to prevent protected speech and district board—sanctioned events, activities, and instruction from being disrupted. Nothing in this section shall prevent or inhibit a district board from preventing disruptions or punishing disrupters if the district board, to do so, does not prevent or punish expression that is protected under the First Amendment.

- 10 -

- (d) A district board shall do all of the following:
- 1. Conduct an annual survey of all students and employees on First Amendment rights, academic freedom, perceived political, ideological, or other bias at the district schools, and whether campus culture promotes self-censorship.
- 2. Annually submit to the legislature under s. 13.172 (2) a report detailing the results of the survey under subd. 1.
- 3. Annually provide all students and employees with instruction in academic freedom, due process, and First Amendment protections.
- (3) ACADEMIC FREEDOM. A district board may not sanction an individual on the basis of the content or viewpoints expressed while the individual is directly engaged in instruction, research, or service explicitly related to the individual's role as a student or faculty member of a district school.
- (4) Penalties; institutional aid. (a) A district board that violates this section, for 10 years following the date of the finding of the violation under par. (e), shall include a disclaimer on all notices, both printed or electronic, to individuals regarding admission to a technical college of the district, in a type size no smaller than the majority of the rest of the notice, in substantially the following form: "NOTICE: We are required by the State of Wisconsin to inform you that within the last 10 years ... [insert name of technical college] has violated the free speech or academic freedom provisions in the Wisconsin statutes."

- (b) A district board that violates this section more than once in a 10-year period is ineligible for allocation of grants under s. 39.435, except grants awarded under s. 39.435 (2) or (5), for a period of no less than one fiscal year.
- (c) In addition to the period of ineligibility under par. (b), if a district board administrator causes the violation of this section, the district board is not eligible for allocation of grants under s. 39.435, except grants awarded under s. 39.435 (2) or (5), until the administrator is permanently removed from his or her administrative role.
- (d) If a district board that violates this section is ineligible under par. (b) or (c) for allocation of grants under s. 39.435, except grants awarded under s. 39.435 (2) or (5), the district board shall make an award of institutional financial aid to each student affected by the district board's ineligibility under par. (b) or (c) in the amount that the student would have received as a grant under s. 39.435 if the district board had not violated this section. The district board shall pay the institutional financial aid awarded to each student under this paragraph from moneys that the district board has allocated for its administrative expenses.
- (e) The penalties under this subsection apply if any of the following find, on the basis of a preponderance of the evidence, that the district board violated this section:
 - 1. A state or federal court in this state.
 - 2. The higher educational aids board.
- 3. Any standing committee of the legislature having jurisdiction over matters relating to higher education.
- (5) Cause of action. (a) Any person identified in par. (b) may bring an action in circuit court against a district board for violation of this section and may seek any of the following:
 - 1. An injunction against violation of this section.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	7 11		٠.,	νij
SE	CЛ	TC	N	1 4

- 2. Recovery from the district board of compensatory damages for persons aggrieved by the violation.
 - (b) Any of the following persons may bring an action under par. (a):
- 1. The attorney general.
 - 2. A district attorney.
- 3. Any person whose expressive rights were violated through the violation of 6 7 this section.
 - (c) Subject to par. (d), in an action brought under par. (a), if the court finds a violation, the court shall award to the plaintiffs all of the following:
 - 1. Total damages for all persons aggrieved by the violation of not less than \$500 for the initial violation plus \$50 for each day the violation remains ongoing, which shall accrue starting on the day after the complaint is served on the district board.
 - 2. Notwithstanding s. 814.04 (1), court costs and reasonable attorney fees.
 - (d) In an action brought under par. (a), the total damages, excluding court costs and attorney fees, that may be awarded to plaintiffs in a case or cases stemming from a single controversy may not exceed an aggregate amount of \$100,000. In violations harming multiple plaintiffs, the court shall divide the damages equitably among them until the maximum award is exhausted, if applicable.
 - (e) If a court awards to plaintiffs damages, court costs, or attorney fees in an action brought under this subsection, the district board shall pay the total amount of the award from moneys that the district board has allocated for its administrative expenses.
 - (f) Section 893.80 does not apply to an action brought against a district board under this section.

Section 5. 39.285 (1) (c) of the statutes is created to read:

1

2

3

4

39.285 (1) (c) The board shall ensure that any proposed formula approved
under par. (a) takes into consideration any University of Wisconsin institution's loss
of funding allocation under s. $36.41~(4)~(b)$ and (c) or technical college district board's
loss of funding allocation under s. 38.34 (4) (b) and (c).

5 (END)