CHAPTER 330.

LIMITATIONS OF COMMENCEMENT OF ACTIONS AND PROCEEDINGS.

 330.01 Civil actions; objection as to time of commencing. 330.02 Realty, seizin and possession of, fectual. 330.03 Defense or counterclaim, when effectual. 330.04 Entry upon realty, when valid. 330.06 Presumption from legal title. 330.06 Presumption on adverse holding under conveyance or judgment. 330.07 Adverse possession defined. 330.09 Adverse possession not founded on writing, judgment, etc. 330.10 Action barred by adverse possession, what is. 330.11 Tenant's possession that of landlord. 330.12 What use not adverse. 330.13 Limitation if disability exists; temporary. 330.14 Actions, time for commencing. 330.15 Actions concerning real estate. 330.16 Actions concerning real estate. 330.17 Within twenty years. 330.18 Within then years. 330.19 Within then years. 330.19 Within then years. 330.20 Within they years. 330.21 Within they years. 330.22 Within one year. 330.21 Civit process. 330.22 Within one year. 330.22 Within one year. 330.22 Within one year. 330.21 Civit process. 330.22 Within one year. 330.22 Within one year. 330.23 Mithin for years. 330.24 What actions not affected. 330.25 Actions conterning real state. 330.42 Mithin the years. 330.42 Mithin the years. 330.43 Mithin the years. 330.44 Mithin the years. 330.45 Actions of time if no person to suit after answer. 330.51 What actions not affected. 				
commencing.330.02Realty, seizin and possession of, 30.03230.24Within nine months.330.02Realty, seizin and possession of, fectual.330.25Actions upon accounts.330.03Defense or counterclaim, when ef- fectual.330.26Other personal actions.330.04Entry upon realty, when valid.330.27Defenses barred.330.05Presumption from legal title.330.30Limitation when person out of state.330.06Presemption on adverse holding un- der conveyance or judgment.330.31Application to alien enemy.330.07Adverse possession defined.330.32Effect of military exemption from civil process.330.10Action barred by adverse possession, when.330.32Solar defined.330.12What use not adverse.330.32Solar defined.330.13Rights not impaired.330.34Actions, time for commencing.330.14Actions, time for commencing.330.44Actions against parties jointly li- able.330.15Actions concerning real estate.330.43Acknowledgment or new promise.330.16Within twenty years.330.44Actions against parties jointly li- able.330.19Within ten years.330.47Payment, effect of. not altered.330.19Within thre years.330.47Payment by one not to affect others.330.19Within thre years.330.44Computation of time, basis for. able.330.20Within three years.330.44Computation of time if no person to able. </td <td>330.01</td> <td>Civil actions: objection as to time of</td> <td>330.23</td> <td>Within thirty days.</td>	330.01	Civil actions: objection as to time of	330.23	Within thirty days.
 330.03 Defense or counterclaim, when effectual. 330.04 Entry upon realty, when valid. 330.05 Presumption from legal title. 330.06 Presumption on adverse holding under conveyance or judgment. 330.07 Adverse possession defined. 330.08 Extent of possession not founded on writing, judgment, etc. 330.10 Action barred by adverse possession, what is. 330.11 Tenant's possession that of landlord. 330.12 What use not adverse. 330.13 Limitation if disability exists; temporary. 330.14 Actions, time for commencing. 330.15 Actions concerning real estate. 330.16 Within twenty years, against railroads. 330.18 Within ten years. 330.19 Within the years. 330.19 Within the years. 330.14 Within the years. 330.15 Limitation if disability exists; temporary. 330.16 Actions concerning real estate. 330.17 Within twenty years, against railroads. 330.18 Within ten years. 330.19 Within the years. 330.19 Within the years. 330.20 Within there years. 330.21 Within there years. 330.21 Within there years. 330.12 Within there years. 330.13 Mine then years. 330.14 Within there years. 330.15 Limitation is joneign limitation; anotice of injury. 330.14 Within there years. 330.15 Within there years. 330.16 Within there years. 330.17 Within there years. 330.18 Within the years. 330.19 Within there years. 330.20 Within there years. 330.21 Within there years. 330.32 Within the years. 330.41 Actions of time if no perso			330.24	Within nine months.
fectual.330.04Entry upon realty, when valid.330.27Defenses barred.330.05Fresumption from legal title.30.30Limitation when person out of state.330.06Presemption on adverse holding under conveyance or judgment.30.31Application to alien enemy.330.07Adverse possession defined.30.32Effect of military exemption from civil process.330.08Extent of possession, what is.30.33Adverse possession, what is.330.19Adverse possession, what is.30.31Appeals; if judgment for defendant reversed, new action for plaintiff.330.12What use not adverse.30.33More than one disability.330.13Limitation if disability exists; temporary.330.44Actions, time for commencing.330.16Actions concerning real estate.330.43Acknowledgment, who not bound by.330.17Within twenty years, against railroads.330.47Parties need not be joined, when.330.18Within ten years.330.47Payment by one not to affect others.330.19Within thre years.330.47Payment by one not to affect others.330.20Within three years.330.49Dismissal of suit after answer.330.21Within three years.330.49Dismissal of suit after answer.330.21 <td>330.02</td> <td>Realty, seizin and possession of.</td> <td></td> <td>Actions upon accounts.</td>	330.02	Realty, seizin and possession of.		Actions upon accounts.
 330.04 Entry upon realty, when valid. 330.05 Presumption from legal title. 330.06 Presumption on adverse holding under conveyance or judgment. 330.08 Extent of possession defined. 330.08 Extent of possession not founded on writing, judgment, etc. 330.10 Adverse possession what is. 330.11 Tenant's possession that of landlord. 330.12 What use not adverse. 330.13 Limitation if disability exists; temporary. 330.14 Actions, time for commencing. 330.15 Actions concerning real estate. 330.16 Within twenty years, against railroads. 330.18 Within ten years. 330.18 Within ten years. 330.19 Within three years. 330.20 Within three years. 330.21 Within three years. 330.12 Within three years. 330.14 Within three years. 330.15 Limitation if or commencing. 330.16 Within three years. 330.17 Within three years. 330.18 Within ten years. 330.19 Within three years. 330.20 Within three years. 330.21 Within three years. 330.21 Within three years. 330.12 Within three years. 330.14 Within three years. 330.15 Limitation is zaved. 330.16 State of the disability of the years. 330.17 Within three years. 330.18 Within ten years. 330.19 Within three years. 330.20 Within three years. 330.21 Within three years. 330.21 Within three years. 330.21 Within three years. 330.21 Within three years. 330.32 Within three years. 330.41 Actions of time if no person to such that the years. 330.42 Within three years. 330.43 Actions of time if no person to such the person to the person to the the years. 330.44 Within three years. 330.45 Actions of time if no person to the person to the person to the the person to the person to the person to the person to the per	330.03	Defense or counterclaim, when ef-	330.26	Other personal actions.
 330.05 Presumption from legal title. 330.06 Presumption on adverse holding under conveyance or judgment. 330.07 Adverse possession defined. 330.08 Extent of possession not founded on writing, judgment, etc. 330.09 Adverse possession, what is. 330.10 Action barred by adverse possession, when. 330.11 Tenant's possession that of landlord. 330.12 What use not adverse. 330.13 Limitation in case of death. 330.30 Action barred by adverse possession, when. 330.12 What use not adverse. 330.13 Limitation if disability exists; temporary. 330.14 Actions, time for commencing. 330.15 Actions concerning real estate. 330.16 Within twenty years, against railroads. 330.18 Within ten years. 330.19 Within tim years. 330.19 Within tim years. 330.19 Within the years. 330.14 Within the years. 330.15 Actions foreign limitation; notice of injury. 330.20 Within three years. 330.21 Within there years. 330.21 Within three years. 330.21 Within three years. 330.21 Within there years. 330.21 Within three years. 330.21 Within three years. 330.32 Within there years. 330.41 Within there years. 330.42 Actions of time if no person to suce of time if no person to suce of time if no person to suce of time if no person to to affect others. 330.50 Extension of time if no person to suce of the person suce of the pe				
 330.06 Presemption on adverse holding under conveyance or judgment. 330.07 Adverse possession defined. 330.08 Extent of possession not founded on writing, judgment, etc. 330.09 Adverse possession, what is. 330.10 Action barred by adverse possession, when. 330.11 Tenant's possession that of landlord. 330.12 What use not adverse. 330.13 Limitation if disability exists; temporty. 330.14 Actions, time for commencing. 330.15 Actions concerning real estate. 330.16 Within twenty years, against railroads and utilities for entry on lands. 330.18 Within ten years. 330.19 Within the years. 330.19 Within the years. 330.20 Within three years. 330.21 Within three years. 330.21 Within three years. 330.14 Within the years. 330.15 Actions concerning real estate. 330.14 Within the years. 330.15 Actions concerning real estate. 330.16 Within the years. 330.17 Within twenty years, against railroads and utilities for entry on lands. 330.19 Within the years. 330.20 Within three years. 330.21 Within three years. 330.41 Presenting of time if no person to suce of time if no person to suce of				
der conveyance or judgment. 330.07 Adverse possession defined. 330.08 Extent of possession not founded on writing, judgment, etc. 330.30 Adverse possession, what is. 330.10 Action barred by adverse possession, 330.11 Tenant's possession that of landlord. 330.12 What use not adverse. 330.135 Limitation if disability exists; tem- porary. 330.14 Actions, time for commencing. 330.15 Actions concerning real estate. 330.17 Within twenty years, against rail- roads and utilities for entry on Iands. 330.18 Within ten years. 330.19 Within the years. 330.20 Within the years. 330.20 Within the years. 330.21 Within the years. 330.32 Within the years. 330.41 Actension of time if no person to sue.				
 330.07 Adverse possession defined. 330.08 Extent of possession not founded on writing, judgment, etc. 330.09 Adverse possession, what is. 330.10 Action barred by adverse possession, when. 330.11 Tenant's possession that of landlord. 330.12 What use not adverse. 330.13 Limitation if disability exists; temporary. 330.14 Actions time for commencing. 330.15 Actions concerning real estate. 330.16 Within twenty years, against railroads. 330.18 Within ten years. 330.18 Within ten years. 330.19 Within tim years. 330.19 Within the years. 330.20 Within three years. 330.21 Within three years. 330.41 Min three years. 330.42 Mithin three years. 330.43 Mithin three years. 330.44 Computation of time, basis for. 330.45 Computation of time if no person to sue. 	330.06			
330.08Extent of possession not founded on writing, judgment, etc.330.33Persons under disability. (30.34330.09Adverse possession, what is.330.34Limitation in case of death.330.10Action barred by adverse possession, when.330.35Appeals; if judgment for defendant reversed, new action for plaintiff.330.11Tenant's possession that of landlord.300.36When action stayed.330.12What use not adverse.330.37Disability.330.13Limitation if disability exists; tem- porary.330.4Actions, time for commencing. s30.15Actions, time for commencing. s30.16330.44330.14Actions, time for commencing. s30.15Actions concerning real estate. roads and utilities for entry on lands.330.44Actiony against parties jointly li- able.330.18Within ten years. s30.19330.47Payment, effect of. not altered. 330.47330.47330.20Within three years. s30.21Within three years. within three years.330.49330.21Within three years. s30.21330.45Parment by one not to affect others. s30.49			330.32	
writing, judgment, etc. 330.09 Adverse possession, what is. 330.10 Action barred by adverse possession, when. 330.11 Tenant's possession that of landlord. 330.12 What use not adverse. 330.13 Rights not impaired. 330.13 Limitation in case of death. 330.36 When action stayed. 330.37 Disability. 330.40 Attempt to commenced. 330.41 Presenting claims. 330.42 Actions, time for commencing. 330.43 Actions, time for commencing. 330.44 Actions concerning real estate. 330.45 Actions concerning real estate. 330.46 Within twenty years, against rail- roads and utilities for entry on lands. 330.19 Within tsix years; foreign limitation; attempt to comment or the years. 330.44 Actions and utilities for entry on lands. 330.45 Actions within the years. 330.46 Within the years. 330.47 Within the years. 330.49 Within the years. 330.49 Within the years. 330.40 Mattempt to commence action. 330.44 Actions against parties jointly li- able. 330.47 Payment by one not to affect others. 330.49 Dismissal of suit after answer. 330.49 Dismissal of suit after answer. 330.50 Extension of time if no person to sue.				
 330.09 Adverse possession, what is, when. 330.10 Action barred by adverse possession, when. 330.11 Tenant's possession that of landlord. 330.12 What use not adverse. 330.13 Rights not impaired. 330.13 Limitation if disability exists; temporary. 330.14 Actions, time for commencing, 330.16 Actions concerning real estate. 330.17 Within twenty years, against rail- roads and utilities for entry on lands. 330.18 Within ten years, 330.19 Within the years, 330.20 Within three years. 330.20 Within three years. 330.21 Within three years. 330.30 three three years. 330.41 Parment by one not to affect o	330.08			
 330.10 Action barred by adverse possession, when. 330.11 Tenant's possession that of landlord. 330.12 What use not adverse. 330.13 Rights not impaired. 330.135 Limitation if disability exists; temporary. 330.14 Actions, time for commencing. 330.15 Actions concerning real estate. 330.16 Within twenty years, against railroads. 330.18 Within ten years. 330.18 Within ten years. 330.19 Within three years. 330.20 Within three years. 330.21 Within three years. 330.32 Within three years. 330.41 Actions and the three years. 330.42 Action	000 00			
 when. 330.11 Tenant's possession that of landlord, 330.12 What use not adverse. 330.13 Kights not impaired. 330.135 Limitation if disability exists; temporary. 330.14 Actions, time for commencing. 330.16 Within twenty years. 330.17 Within twenty years. 330.18 Within twenty years. 330.18 Within the years. 330.18 Within ten years. 330.18 Within ten years. 330.19 Within the years. 330.11 Within the years. 330.12 Within the years. 330.13 Within the years. 330.14 Within the years. 330.15 Within the years. 330.16 Within the years. 330.17 Within the years. 330.18 Within the years. 330.19 Within the years. 330.20 Within the years. 330.21 Within the years. 330.32 Within the years. 330.43 Within the years. 330.44 Payment by one not to affect others. 330.45 Paynent by one not to affect others. 330.46 Dismissal of suit after answer. 330.50 Extension of time if no person to sue. 			330.35	
330.11Tenant's possession that of landlord. 330.12330.37Disability. 330.38More than one disability. 330.38330.13Rights not impaired. porary.330.33More than one disability. 330.39Action, when commenced. 330.40330.13Limitation if disability exists; tem- porary.330.40Attempt to commence action. 330.41330.14Actions, time for commencing. 330.15Actions concerning real estate. 330.16330.42330.17Within twenty years, against rail- roads and utilities for entry on lands.330.44Actions against parties jointly li- able.330.18Within ten years, notice of injury.330.47Parment, effect of. not altered. 330.47330.20Within three years. within three years.330.49Dismissal of suit after answer. 330.50330.21Within two years.330.45Extension of time if no person to sue.	330.10		000 00	
 330.12 What use not adverse. 330.13 Rights not impaired. 330.13 Limitation if disability exists; temporary. 330.14 Actions, time for commencing. 330.15 Actions concerning real estate. 330.16 Within twenty years, against railroads. 330.18 Within twenty years, against railroads. 330.19 Within time years. 330.19 Within three years. 330.20 Within three years. 330.21 Within three years. 330.32 Within three years. 330.42 Actions dutilities for entry on lands. 330.43 Acknowledgment, who not bound by. 330.44 Actions against parties jointly liable. 330.45 Payment, effect of. not altered. 330.47 Payment by one not to affect others. 330.49 Dismissal of suit after answer. 330.50 Extension of time if no person to sue. 	000 11			
 330.13 Fights not impaired. 330.13 Limitation if disability exists; temporary. 330.14 Actions, time for commencing. 330.15 Actions concerning real estate. 330.16 Within twenty years. 330.17 Within twenty years. against railroads. 330.18 Within ten years. 330.18 Within ten years. 330.19 Within is x years; foreign limitation; notice of injury. 330.20 Within twee years. 330.21 Within twe years. 330.21 Within the years. 330.31 Within the years. 330.32 Within the years. 330.32 Within the years. 330.43 Actions of time if no person to suc. 330.44 Actions and the provide the years. 330.45 Paramethe years. 330.46 Paramethe years. 330.47 Paramethe years. 330.49 Dismissal of suit after answer. 330.50 Extension of time if no person to suc. 				
320.135Limitation if disability exists; temporary. porary.330.40Attempt to commence action. 330.41330.14Actions, time for commencing. 330.15Actions, time for commencing. 330.16330.41Presenting claims. Presenting claims.330.15Actions concerning real estate. 330.17330.43Acknowledgment or new promise. 330.44330.44330.17Within twenty years, against rail- roads and utilities for entry on lands.330.45Parties need not be joined, when. 330.47330.47330.18Within ten years. notice of injury.330.47Payment, effect of. not altered. 330.47330.47330.20Within three years. s30.21Within three years. within two years.330.49Dismissal of suit after answer. sue.				
 330.14 Actions, time for commencing, 330.14 Actions, time for commencing, 330.15 Actions concerning real estate. 330.16 Within twenty years, against rail- roads and utilities for entry on lands. 330.18 Within ten years. 330.19 Within twe years; foreign limitation; notice of injury. 330.20 Within twe years. 330.21 Within twe years. 330.32 Within three years. 330.42 Acknowledgment or new promise. 330.43 Acknowledgment, who not bound by. 330.44 Actions against parties jointly li- able. 330.45 Parties need not be joined, when. 330.46 Payment, effect of. not altered. 330.47 Payment by one not to affect others. 330.48 Computation of time, basis for. 330.49 Dismissal of suit after answer. 330.50 Extension of time if no person to sue. 				
 330.14 Actions, time for commencing, 330.15 Actions concerning real estate. 330.16 Within twenty years, against rail- 330.17 Within twenty years, against rail- 330.18 Within ten years, 330.19 Within tis x years; foreign limitation; 330.19 Within the years, 330.20 Within the years. 330.21 Within twe years. 330.21 Within the years. 330.31 Within the years. 330.42 Acknowledgment or new promise. 330.43 Acknowledgment, who not bound by. 330.44 Actions against parties jointly li- 330.45 Parties need not be joined, when. 330.47 Payment, effect of. not altered. 330.48 Computation of time, basis for. 330.49 Dismissal of suit after answer. 330.50 Extension of time if no person to sue. 	330.135			December of commence action,
 330.15 Actions concerning real estate. 330.16 Within twenty years, against rail- roads and utilities for entry on lands. 330.18 Within twenty years, against rail- roads and utilities for entry on lands. 330.18 Within ten years, 330.19 Within time years, foreign limitation; notice of injury. 330.20 Within three years. 330.21 Within three years. 330.21 Within three years. 330.42 Actions against parties jointly li- able. 330.43 Acknowledgment, who not bound by. 330.44 Actions against parties jointly li- able. 330.45 Parties need not be joined, when. 330.47 Payment, effect of. not affect others. 330.48 Computation of time, basis for. 330.49 Dismissal of suit after answer. 330.50 Extension of time if no person to sue. 	990 14			A almost an new premise
 330.16 Within twenty years, against rail-roads and utilities for entry on lands. 330.18 Within ten years. 330.18 Within ten years. 330.19 Within six years; foreign limitation; notice of injury. 330.20 Within three years. 330.21 Within two years. 330.32 Within two years. 330.43 Actions against parties jointly liable. 330.44 Actions against parties jointly liable. 330.45 Parties need not be joined, when. 330.47 Payment by one not to affect others. 330.49 Dismissal of suit after answer. 330.40 Extension of time if no person to sue. 				
330.17Within twenty years, against rail- roads and utilities for entry on lands.able.330.45Parties need not be joined, when. 330.45Parties need not be joined, when. Bayment, effect of. not altered.330.18Within ten years. notice of injury.330.45Partnert, effect of. not altered. 330.47330.20Within three years. state three years.330.45Parment by one not to affect others. 330.49330.21Within three years. within two years.330.45Extension of time if no person to sue.				
roads and utilities for entry on lands. 330.45 Parties need not be joined, when. 330.46 Payment, effect of. not altered. 330.47 Payment by one not to affect others. 330.49 Dismissal of suit after answer. 330.20 Within three years. 330.21 Within two years.			000.44	
lands.330.46Payment, effect of. not altered.330.18Within ten years.330.47Payment by one not to affect others.330.19Within six years; foreign limitation; notice of injury.330.47Payment by one not to affect others.330.20Within three years.330.49Dismissal of suit after answer.330.20Within three years.330.50Extension of time if no person to sue.	990.11		330 45	
330.18Within ten years.330.47Payment by one not to affect others.330.19Within six years; foreign limitation; notice of injury.330.48Computation of time, basis for. 330.49330.20Within three years.330.50Extension of time if no person to sue.				
330.19Within six years; foreign limitation; notice of injury.330.48Computation of time, basis for. 330.49330.20Within three years.330.50Extension of time if no person to sue.	890 18			
notice of injury. 330.20 Within three years. 330.21 Within two years. 330.41 Within two years. 330.50 Extension of time if no person to sue.				
330.20 Within three years. 330.50 Extension of time if no person to sue.	000.10			
330.21 Within two years. sue.	330.20			
			1	
······			330.51	
		······		

330.01 Civil actions; objection as to time of commencing. Civil actions can only be commenced within the periods prescribed in this chapter, except when, in special cases, a different limitation is provided by statute. But the objection that the action was not commenced within the time limited can only be taken by answer or demurrer in proper cases.

Cases.
Note: Statutes of limitations do not run upon the claim of a wife against her husband. Campbell v. Mickelson, 227 W 429, 279 NW 73.
The legislature has power to repeal statutes of limitations and make the repeal effective as to causes of action which have accrude but which have not been barred, but it is not to be presumed that such is the intention of the legislature unless this intention of the legislature unless this intention of the legislature unless this intention so the statute of limitations, but the effect of the statute of limitations, but the effect of the statute of limitations, but the effect of the statute is merely to prevent the judicial enforcement of the debtor. Banking Commission v. Buchanan, 227 W 544, 279 NW 71.
In Wisconsin, statutes of limitation absolutely extinguish the cause of action. Maryland Casualty Co. v. Beleznay, 245 W 390, 14 NW (2d) 177.
The divorced wife, up to the time heryoungest child was 21 years of age, could

330.02 Realty, seizin and possession of. No action for the recovery of real property or the possession thereof shall be maintained unless it appear that the plaintiff, his ancestor, predecessor or grantor was seized or possessed of the premises in question within twenty years before the commencement of such action.

Note: The construction of a building owner for one and one-half years interrupted across a strip of land occupied adversely to the running of the statute. Frank C. Schilthe owner and the payment of rent to the ling Co. v. Detry, 203 W 109, 233 NW 635.

330.03 Defense or counterclaim, when effectual. No defense or counterclaim, founded upon the title to real property or to rents or services out of the same, shall be effectual unless the person making it or under whose title it is made, or his ancestor, predecessor or grantor was seized or possessed of the premises in question within twenty years before the committing of the act with respect to which it is made. ,

330.04 Entry upon realty, when valid. No entry upon real estate shall be deemed sufficient or valid as a claim unless an action be commenced thereupon within one year after the making of such entry and within twenty years from the time when the right to make such entry descended or accrued; and when held adversely under the provisions of section 330.07, within ten years from the time when such adverse possession begun.

330.05 Presumption from legal title. In every action to recover real property or the possession thereof the person establishing a legal title to the premises shall be presumed to have been possessed thereof within the time required by law, and the occupation of such premises by another person shall be deemed to have been under and in subordination to the legal title unless it appear that such premises have been held and possessed adversely to such legal title for ten years, under the provisions of section 330.06, or twenty years under the provisions of section 330.08, before the commencement of such action.

Note: Use of way across another's lot for without permission, constituted "adverse users' convenience, openly, notoriously, and user." Shepard v. Gilbert, 212 W 1, 249 NW 54.

330.06 Presumption on adverse holding under conveyance or judgment. Where the occupant or those under whom he claims entered into the possession of any premises under claim of title, exclusive of any other right, founding such claim upon some written instrument, as being a conveyance of the premises in question, or upon the judgment of some competent court, and that there has been a continual occupation and possession of the premises included in such instrument or judgment or of some part of such premises under such claim for ten years, the premises so included shall be deemed to have been held adversely; except that when the premises so included consist of a tract divided into lots the possession of one lot shall not be deemed the possession of any other lot of the same tract.

Note: Easements of light and air over ad-jacent premises are not created or acquired by a prescription, and such easements are bring home to the holder notice of the ad-

by a prescription, and such easements are not favored. Depner v. United States Nat. Bank, 202 W 405, 232 NW 851. Though one claiming title by adverse possession is not required to prove that he served notice on the true owner, his possession must be shown to be not only adverse but exclusive and hostile; and it requires declarations or acts of the most unequivocal

but exclusive and nosinve, and in requires declarations or acts of the most unequivocal character to change a use permissive in the beginning to one of an adverse character. McNeill v. Chicago & N. W. R. Co., 206 W 574, 240 NW 377. Where the holder of the legal title in fee to certain lands executed and duly recorded a 99-year lease of the same which reserved the right to flood or overflow the lands and exacted as rental only the payment of taxes by the lessee, and the lesse conveyed the lands by warranty deed to a third person, who in turn conveyed by warranty deed to the plaintiff, and the plaintiff, although having actual notice of the lease and reser-vation of flowage rights within 4 or 5 years of the time she entered possession, never notified the holder of the legal title that she claimed any rights in opposition to the lease, and plaintiff's possession and use of the lands for farming purposes was not in-consistent with a tenancy and did not con-citive any neutron of how the soft the singer. consistent with a tenancy and did not con-stitute any notice of hostile invasion to the holder of the legal title, and during the years of plaintiff's occupancy there had been no efforts by the holder of the legal title (until shortly prior to the present ac-

verse claim—there was no adverse posses-sion by the plaintiff effective to establish her title as against the reserved flowage rights, and she had no greater rights in the premises than those of an assignee of the original lease, although she had been in continuous possession under her warranty doed for more then 10 more Ultimic full deed for more than 10 years. [Illinois Steel Co. v. Budzisz, 139 W 281, distinguished.] McFaul v. Eau Claire County, 234 W 542, 292 NW 6.

Although an outstanding title be acquired with intent to defraud the owner of the land of his title, this does not defeat the acquisition of title by the perpetrator of the fraud by adverse possession. Although the fraud by adverse possession. Although a tax deed conveyed only a one-tenth inter-est in the premises, a quitclaim deed by the tax-deed grantee, describing the prem-ises as a whole, constituted color of title to the entire interest so that the grantee under such quitclaim deed could acquire title to the entire interest by adverse possession, even though his deed was void to his own knowledge. Marshall & Ilsley Bank v. Baker, 236 W 467, 295 NW 725. Where one enters on land under a re-corded deed, which purports to give com-plete title, his possession becomes adverse to all the world, and it does not first become adverse to the rights of a judgment creditor

adverse to the rights of a judgment creditor of the grantor when the creditor acquires a right of entry or action. Spellbrink v. Bram-berg, 245 W 322, 14 NW (2d) 38.

330.07 Adverse possession defined. For the purpose of constituting an adverse possession by any person claiming a title founded upon some written instrument or some judgment land shall be deemed to have been possessed and occupied in the following cases:

(1) Where it has been usually cultivated or improved;

Where it has been protected by a substantial inclosure; (2)

(3) Where, although not inclosed, it has been used for the supply of fuel or of fencing timber for the purpose of husbandry or for the ordinary use of the occupant;

(4) Where a known farm or a single lot has been partly improved the portion of such farm or lot that may have been left not cleared or not inclosed, according to the usual course and custom of the adjoining country, shall be deemed to have been occupied for the same length of time as the part improved or cultivated.

Note: Land occupied adversely to a per-son who holds the life estate does not be-come the property of the one so occupying as against the remainderman during the life 263 NW 629. remainderman has no possession or right 200 NW 029. In a proceeding on a claim against the estate of a decedent for the reasonable val-

ue of the alleged use and occupation of a tract of land owned by the claimant, the fact that the decedent had used and occu-pied half of the tract did not require that he be deemed to have been in possession of the entire tract, nor was the fact that the decedent had paid taxes on the entire tract evidence that he had been in possession of the entire tract, no phase of adverse posses-sion being <u>in</u>volved. Estate of Sheldon, 247 W 457, 20 NW (2d) 115.

330.08 Extent of possession not founded on writing, judgment, etc. When there has been an actual continued occupation of any premises under a claim of title, exclusive of any other right, but not founded upon any written instrument or any judgment or decree, the premises so actually occupied, and no other, shall be deemed to be held adversely.

330.09 Adverse possession, what is. For the purpose of constituting an adverse possession by a person claiming title, not founded upon some written instrument or some judgment or decree, land shall be deemed to have been possessed and occupied in the following cases only:

(1) When it has been protected by a substantial inclosure.

(2) When it has been usually cultivated or improved.

chased right of way easement for purpose of transporting milk to cheese factory but pre-decessor and plaintiff used right of way for all purposes necessary and convenient in con-nection with operation of farm, such use was permissive and predecessor and plaintiff did

permissive and predecessor and plaintiff did not acquire rights by user hostile and adverse to those of servient estate. Lindokken v. Paulson, 224 W 470, 272 NW 453. Where the plaintiff, occupying a lot under a deed accurately describing it, did not claim a strip, located on the adjacent lot under color of title but relied solely on adverse possession by his grantor and himself, and the plaintiff (also his grantor) and the neighbor both contemporaneously used the unfenced strip, and there was no exclusive possession by the plaintiff until he erected a garage on a part of the disputed strip ten years prior to the commencement of the action, and prior thereto there was merely a dispute as to the location of the boundary a dispute as to the location of the boundary with both parties in possession, there was no exclusive adverse possession for twenty years by the plaintiff and his grantor. Bet-tack v. Conachen, 235 W 559, 294 NW 57. An oral arrangement by which one be-

Note: Where plaintiff's predecessor pur- came the purchaser and occupant of a lot came the purchaser and occupant of a lot was sufficient to create continuity of the vendor's original adverse possession of an adjacent disputed strip of land. The pos-session of a person who enters into land under a deed of title is construed to be co-extensive with big deed Scattor 290.00 do under a deed of title is construed to be co-extensive with his deed. Section 330.09 de-fining "adverse possession", is affirmative and does not purport to enumerate all the conditions which constitute adverse posses-sion. Actual possession is not the less ad-verse because taken innocently and through mistake, it being the visible and adverse possession, with an intention to possess the land occupied as the possessor's own, that constitutes its adverse character constitutes its adverse character, and not the remote view or belief of the possessor. Bettack v. Conachen, 235 W 559, 294 NW 57.

The rights, by adverse possession, of one who goes on the land of another without color of title will be confined to that portion of the property of which he takes actual possession. The true owner, in actual pos-session of a part of the land, has the con-structive possession of all the land not in the actual possession of the intruder. Bet-tack v. Conachen, 235 W 559, 294 NW 57.

330.10 Action barred by adverse possession, when. An adverse possession of ten years under sections 330.06 and 330.07 or of twenty years under sections 330.08 and 330.09 shall constitute a bar to an action for the recovery of such real estate so held adversely or of the possession thereof. But no person can obtain a title to real property belonging to the state by adverse possession, prescription or user unless such adverse possession, prescription or user shall have been continued uninterruptedly for more than forty years. [1931 c. 79 s. 34]

Note: Purchaser's adverse possession and occupancy of lot, with acquiescence of ad-joining lot owners, for over twenty years, up to line he regarded as correct boundary line, settled location thereof and ownership of disputed strip though stakes marking line were not located with absolute accuracy. Lot owners' building of sidewalk beyond line claimed as boundary by adjoining lot owner did not invade or interrupt latter's adverse holding of disputed strip. Krembs v. Pagel, 210 W 261, 246 NW 324.

In view of 281.02 (1), 330.06 and 330.10, a person who enters on land and holds un-interrupted possession thereof for 10 years under claim of title founded on a recorded conveyance, held adversely and acquired complet legal title by adverse possession, regardless of the invalidity of the instru-

ment and the claimant's knowledge of its in-validity, cutting off the rights of a creditor of the grantor under 242.09 to disregard the conveyance as fraudulent and attach or levy execution on the property conveyed, al-though the creditor first discovered the al-leged fraud within such 10 year period. [Sec. 330.19 (7), Stats.] Spellbrink v. Bramberg, 245 W 322, 14 NW (2d) 38.

Possession up to a line recognized and Possession up to a line recognized and acquiesced in as a boundary line is adverse as against the adjoining landowner. In re-spect to tacking successive adverse posses-sions, a tenant's actual possession of a strip of land on an adjoining property was con-structively the possession of his respective landlords. Menzner v. Tracy, 247 W 245, 19 NW (2d) 257.

330.11 Tenant's possession that of landlord. Whenever the relation of landlord and tenant shall have existed between any persons the possession of the tenant shall be deemed the possession of the landlord until the expiration of ten years from the termination of the tenancy; or where there has been no written lease until the expiration of ten years from the time of the last payment of rent, notwithstanding such tenant may have acquired another title or may have claimed to hold adversely to his landlord; but such presumption shall not be made after the periods herein limited.

Note: See note to 330.06, citing McFaul v. Eau Claire County, 234 W 542, 292 NW 6.

330.12 What use not adverse. (1) No presumption of the right to maintain any wire or cable used for telegraph, telephone, electric light or any other electrical

use or purpose whatever shall arise from the lapse of time during which the same has been or shall be attached to or extended over any building or land; nor shall any prescriptive right to maintain the same result from the continued maintenance thereof.

(2) The mere use of a way over uninclosed land shall be presumed to be permissive and not adverse. $[1941 \ c. \ 94]$

Cross Reference: See 180.17 (5) relating to right to condemn for easement for transmission lines.

330.13 Rights not impaired. The right of any person to the possession of any real estate shall not be impaired or affected by a descent being cast in consequence of the death of any person in possession of such estate.

330.135 Limitation if disability exists; temporary. (1) If a person entitled to commence any action for the recovery of real property or to make an entry or defense founded on the title to real property or to rents or services out of the same be, at the time such title shall first descend or accruc, either (a) within the age of 21 years; or (b) insane; or (c) imprisoned on a criminal charge or in execution upon conviction of a criminal offiense, for a term less than for life, the time during which such disability shall continue shall not be deemed any portion of the time in this chapter limited for the commencement of such action or the making of such entry or defense; but such action may be commenced or entry or defense made, after the time limited and within 5 years after the disability; shall cease or after the death of the person entitled, who shall die under such disability; but such action shall not be commenced or entry or defense made after that period.

(2) After December 31, 1945, the provisions of this section shall not operate to extend the time for commencing any action with respect to which the 30-year or the 60-year limitation period established in section 330.15 shall have expired, whether the cause of action shall have arisen prior or subsequent to the enactment of this subsection. [1945 c. 261]

330.14 [Repealed by 1941 c. 293]

330.14 Actions, time for commencing. The following actions must be commenced within the periods respectively hereinafter prescribed after the cause of action has accrued. [1941 c. 293]

Note: Affirmative relief for vendor's fraud in misrepresenting the acreage conveyed by a deed is barred by failure to sue within six years. But the purchaser's failure to receive the full acreage falsely represented as

330.15 [Renumbered section 330.14 by 1941 c. 293]

330.15 Action concerning real estate. (1) Except as provided in subsection (5). no action affecting the possession or title of any real estate shall be commenced by any person, the state, or any subdivision thereof after January 1, 1943, which is founded upon any unrecorded instrument executed more than 30 years prior to the date of commencement of such action, or upon any instrument recorded more than 30 years prior to the date of commencement of the action, or upon any transaction or event occurring more than 30 years prior to the date of commencement of the action, unless within 30 years after the execution of such unrecorded instrument or within 30 years after the date of recording of such recorded instrument, or within 30 years after the date of such transaction or event there is recorded in the office of the register of deeds of the county in which the real estate is located, some instrument expressly referring to the existence of such claim, or a notice setting forth the name of the claimant, a description of the real estate affected and of the instrument or transaction or event on which such claim is founded, with its date and the volume and page of its recording, if it be recorded, and a statement of the claims made. This notice may be discharged the same as a notice of pendency of action. Such notice or instrument recorded after the expiration of 30 years shall be likewise effective, except as to the rights of a purchaser for value of the real estate or any interest therein which may have arisen prior to such recording.

(2) The recording of such notice, or of an instrument expressly referring to the existence of the claim, shall extend for 30 years from the date of recording (whether such recording occurred before or after the enactment of this section), the time in which any action founded upon the written instrument or transaction or event referred to in the notice or recorded instrument may be commenced; and like notices or instruments may thereafter be recorded with like effect before the expiration of each successive 30-year period.

(3) This section does not extend the right to commence any action beyond the date at which such right would be extinguished by any other statute.

(4) This section shall be construed to effect the legislative purpose of barring all claims to an interest in real property, whether dower (which for the purpose of this section

shall be considered as based on the title of the husband without regard to the date of marriage) inchoate or consummate, curtesy, remainders, reversions, mortgage liens, old tax deeds, inheritance, gift and income tax liens, rights as heirs or under wills, or any claim of any nature whatsoever, however denominated, and whether such claims are asserted by a person sui juris or under disability, whether such person is within or without the state, and whether such person is natural or corporate, or private or governmental, unless within such 30-year period there has been recorded in the office of the register of deeds some instrument expressly referring to the existence of such claim, or a notice pursuant to this section. This section does not apply to any action commenced by any person who is in possession of the real estate involved as owner at the time the action is commenced, nor does this section apply to any real estate or interest therein while the record title thereto remains in a railroad corporation or a public service corporation as defined in section 184.01, or any trustee or receiver thereof, or to claims or actions founded upon mortgages or trust deeds executed by such corporations, or trustees or receivers thereof; nor does this section apply to any real estate or interest therein while the record title thereto remains in the state or any political subdivision or municipal corporation thereof.

(5) Actions to enforce easements, or covenants restricting the use of real estate set forth in any instrument of public record shall not be barred by this section for a period of 60 years after the date of recording such instrument, and the timely recording of instruments expressly referring to such easements or covenants or of notices pursuant to this section shall extend such time for 60-year periods from such recording.

(6) The word "purchaser" as used in this section shall be construed to embrace every person to whom any estate or interest in real estate shall be conveyed for a valuable consideration and also every assignee of a mortgage or lease or other conditional estate. [1941 c. 293; 1943 c. 109; 1945 c. 29, 261]

Note: If the amendments made by ch. 261, laws of 1945, to section 330.15 "extend the provisions of said section to persons or cases to which said section was not previously ap-plicable, such amendments shall not take effect as against such persons or cases until December 31, 1945" (sec. 3, ch. 261, laws of

The thirty-year statute of limitations on actions concerning real estate was inapplic-able to inheritance tax liens prior to amendment by ch. 29, laws of 1945, and the amend-ment does not affect the determination of the tax made in the instant case in proceedings prior to the amendment. Esta Frederick, 247 W 268, 19 NW (2d) 249. Estate of

330.16 Within twenty years. Within twenty years:

(1) An action upon a judgment or decree of any court of record of this state or of the United States sitting within this state.

(2) An action upon a sealed instrument when the cause of action accrues within this state, except those mentioned in sections 19.015, 321.02 and 330.19 and subsection (2) of section 330.20.

tion on part of purchaser to tender back se-curities purchased and ask for his purchase curities purchased and ask for his purchase money, and until that time no statute of limi-tations was applicable, and thereafter, bond being sealed instrument, twenty-year stat-ute of limitations was applicable. Chas. A. Krause M. Co. v. Chris. Schroeder & Son Co., 219 W 639, 263 NW 193. Where a real estate mortgage under seal contains a covenant to nay the daht secured

Where a real estate mortgage under seal contains a covenant to pay the debt secured thereby, neither the right to foreclose nor the right to a personal judgment for defi-ciency is barred until the expiration of 20 years from the time of default, even though personal liability on the note itself is barred by the 6-year statute of limitations. But a manufacture is that a work output under under by the 6-year statute of limitations. But a provision, in a real estate mortgage under seal, that "in case of the nonpayment of any sum of money * * at the time or times when the same shall become due * * the whole amount of said principal sum shall, at the option of [the mortgagees] be deemed to have become due and payable without any notice whatever, and the same * * * shall thereupon be collectible in a suit at law." was a mere statement of condition and did not amount to a covenant to pay the debt secured by the mortgage and evidenced by a note, and hence the 20-year statute of limi-tations did not apply, but the 6-year statute, which governed as to the note, governed alwhich governed as to the note, governed al-note so as to the mortgage. [Ogden v. Bradshaw, the 161 W 49, distinguished.] Bolter v. Wilson, as 288 W 525, 300 NW 9. Where a note on a printed form concluded 110.

Note: Liability on broker's bond was de-pendent on existence of cause of action seal ...," and immediately following the against broker created by exercise of elec-space for signature, the word "Seal" inspace for signature, the word "Seal" in-closed in parentheses, and the note was signed by the maker immediately preceding the inclosed word "Seal," the note was exe-cuted under seal and constituted a sealed in-strument, to which, therefore, the 20-year statute of limitations applied. Banking Comm. v. Magnin, 239 W 36, 300 NW 740. A note, on which each of the signatures of the makers was immediately followed by the printed letters "L. S" inclosed in brack-

of the makers was immediately followed by the printed letters "L. S." inclosed in brack-ets, was under seal and constituted a sealed instrument, to which the 20-year statute of limitations applied. Fond du Lac Citizens Loan & Inv. Co. v. Webb, 240 W 42, 1 NW (2d) 772, 2 NW (2d) 722. An action by a village to recover from a utility company a sum of money paid to the company under an allegedly void contract under seal was not governed by the 20-year statute of limitations, relating to an action "upon" a sealed instrument, since to be "upon" such instrument the action must be brought to recover upon the terms thereof. Gilman v. Northern States Power Co., 242 W 130, 7 NW (2d) 606.

A renewal note, executed under seal, was governed as to limitations by the 20-year statute, relating to actions on sealed instruments, as against a contention that the 6-year statute applied because the original note was not under seal and the giving of the renewal note did not extinguish the debt or between the cuicingle mericas. Banking as between the original parties. Banking Comm. v. Townsend, 243 W 329, 10 NW (2d)

330.17 Within twenty years, against railroads and utilities for entry on lands. Whenever any land or any interest therein has been or shall hereafter be taken, entered

upon or appropriated for the purpose of its business by any railroad corporation, electric railroad or power company, telephone company or telegraph company without said corporation or company having first acquired title thereto by purchase or condemnation, as by statute provided, the owner of any such land, his heirs, assigns and legal representatives shall have and are hereby given the right to at any time within twenty years from the date of such taking, entry or appropriation, sue for damages sustained because of such taking, from the corporation or company so taking, entering upon or appropriating said lands or its successors in title, in the circuit court of the county in which said land is situated.

Note: This section is not mentioned in W. P. Co., 198 W 472, 224 NW 718, which hold Price v. Marinette & Menominee P. Co., 197 that condemnation is the landowner's ex-W 25, 221 NW 381, and Benka v. Consolidated clusive remedy.

330.18 Within ten years. Within ten years:

(1) An action upon a judgment or decree of any court of record of any other state or territory of the United States or of any court of the United States sitting without this state.

(2) An action upon a scaled instrument when the cause of action accrued without this state, except those mentioned in section 330.19.

(3) An action for the recovery of damages for flowing lands, when such lands have been flowed by reason of the construction or maintenance of any milldam.

(4) An action which, on and before the twenty-eighth day of February in the year one thousand eight hundred and fifty-seven, was cognizable by the court of chancery, when no other limitation is prescribed in this chapter.

(5) An action for the recovery of damages for flowing lands when such lands shall have been flowed by reason of the construction or maintenance of any flooding dam or other dams constructed, used or maintained for the purpose of facilitating the driving or handling of saw logs on the Chippewa, Menomonee, or Eau Claire rivers or any tributary of either of them, provided that in cases where the ten years have already expired, the parties shall have six months from and after the passage and publication hereof within which an action may be brought.

(6) Any action in favor of the state when no other limitation is prescribed in this chapter. No cause of action in favor of the state for relief on the ground of fraud shall be deemed to have accrued until discovery on the part of the state of the facts constituting the fraud. [1931 c. 79 s. 35]

ing the fraud. [1931 c.79 s. 35] Revisor's Note, 1931: Subsection (6) is a transfer of part of 330.28 which section is repealed. (Bill No. 51 S, s. 35) The exclusive jurisdiction of courts of equity over controversies between a trusted and the beneficiary is confined to the estab-lishment and protection of the trust; other controversies between them are cognizable in courts of law. The latter are barred by the six-year statute of limitations and the former by the ten-year statute. Woodmansee v. Schmitz, 202 W 242, 232 NW 774. Effect of this section on county's claim, Estate of Kuplen, 209 W 178, 244 NW 623. The ten-year statute of limitation applies to a promissory note under seal. Alropa Corp. v. Flatley, 226 W 561, 277 NW 108. Lapse of time before acceptance of a charitable bequest is not significant, so long as the parties are in the same condition; and the statute of limitations (6) is a and the statute of limitations does not apply to a continuing express trust not repudiated by the trustee. Estate of limitations does not apply to a controversies between them are cognizable in courts of law. The latter are barred by the six-year statute of limitations and the former by the ten-year statute. Woodmansee v. Schmitz, 202 W 242, 232 NW 774. Effect of this section on county's claim, Lapse of time before acceptance of a charitable bequest is not significant, so long as the parties are in the same condition;

the six-year statute of limitations and the former by the ten-year statute. Woodmansee v. Schmitz, 202 W 242, 232 NW 774. Effect of this section on county's claim, Estate of Kuplen, 209 W 178, 244 NW 623. The ten-year statute of limitation applies to a promissory note under seal. Alropa Corp. v. Flatley, 226 W 561, 277 NW 108. Lapse of time before acceptance of a charitable bequest is not significant, so long as the parties are in the same condition;

330.19 Within six years; foreign limitation; notice of injury. Within six years: (1) An action upon a judgment of a court not of record.

(2) An action upon any bond, coupon, interest warrant or other contract for the payment of money, whether sealed or otherwise, made or issued by any town, county, city, village or school district in this state.

(3) An action upon any other contract, obligation or liability, express or implied, except those mentioned in sections 330.16 and 330.18.

(4) An action upon a liability created by statute when a different limitation is not prescribed by law.

(5) An action to recover damages for an injury to property, real or personal, or for an injury to the person, character or rights of another, not arising on contract, except in case where a different period is expressly prescribed. But no action to recover damages for injuries to the person, received without this state, shall be brought in any court in this state when such action shall be barred by any statute of limitations of actions of the state or country in which such injury was received unless the person so injured shall, at the time of such injury, have been a resident of this state. No action to recover damages for an injury to the person shall be maintained unless, within two years after the happening of the event causing such damages, notice in writing, signed by the party damaged, his agent or attor-

ney, shall be served upon the person or corporation by whom it is claimed such damage was caused, stating the time and place where such damage occurred, a brief description of the injuries, the manner in which they were received and the grounds upon which claim is made and that satisfaction thereof is claimed of such person or corporation. Such notice shall be given in the manner required for the service of summons in courts of record. No such notice shall be deemed insufficient or invalid solely because of any inaccuracy or failure therein in stating the description of the injuries, the manner in which they were received or the grounds on which the claim is made, provided it shall appear that there was no intention on the part of the person giving the notice to mislead the other party and that such party was not in fact misled thereby; provided, that the provision herein requiring notice of two years shall not apply to any event causing damage which happened before the passage and publication of this act. When an action shall be brought and a complaint actually served within two years after the happening of the event causing such damages, the notice herein provided for need not be served.

(6) An action to recover personal property or damages for the wrongful taking or detention thereof.

(7) An action for relief on the ground of fraud. The cause of action in such case is not deemed to have accrued until the discovery, by the aggrieved party, of the facts constituting the fraud.

(8) No action against any railroad corporation for damages to property occasioned by fire set from a locomotive or for stock killed or injured by such corporation shall be maintained unless within one year after the happening of the event causing such damage the complaint be served or a notice in writing, signed by the party owning such property or stock, his agent or attorney, shall be given to the corporation in the manner provided for service of a circuit court summons, stating the time and place such damage occurred and that satisfaction therefor is claimed of such corporation. No such notice shall be deemed insufficient solely because of any inaccuracy or failure therein in stating the time when or describing the place where such damages occurred if it shall appear that there was no intention on the part of the claimant to mislead said corporation and that the latter was not in fact misled thereby.

(9) An action upon a claim, whether arising on contract or otherwise, against a decedent or against his estate, unless probate of his estate in this state shall have been commenced within six years after his death. This subsection shall not have the effect of barring any claim prior to 1942. [1931 c. 79 s. 36; 1941 c. 70]

Cross Reference: See \$1.15 as to notice of injury caused by defective highway or street. See \$16.01 (2) for statute of limita-tion of lien on lands of a decedent for pay-ment of his debts. Use the statute bars

Note: The manager appointed by syndicate to purchase lands could pay interest on over-due note so as to toll limitations as to all members. Reinig v. Nelson, 199 W 482, 227 NW 14.

NW 14. Purchaser whose action for original mis-representation in sale of mortgage was barred, held entitled to recover on proof that within statutory period sellers induced her to waive contract rights on further misrep-

to waive contract rights on further misrep-resentations. Danielson v. Bank of Scandi-navia, 201 W 392, 220 NW 83. A contract to bid enough on a foreclosure sale to protect the owner of a mortgage is not breached prior to the foreclosure sale. Starbird v. Davison, 202 W 302, 232 NW 535. Interest payment by the maker of a note, following the accommodation maker's state-ment that the plaintiff would get interest soon, suspended limitations as to the accom-modation maker. Gillitzer v. Kremer, 203 W 269, 234 NW 503. The claim of a daughter for services ren-dered her father was barred after six years.

dered her father was barred after six years. His indorsement thereafter of two certifi-cates of deposit was not a payment on account for such services so as to constitute the claim a mutual running account. In re Tey-nor's Estate, 203 W 369, 234 NW 344. The six-year statute of limitations com-

menced to run on a cause of action for breach of a contract to build a silo in a workmanlike manner from the date the silo was completed, even though plaintiff did not know of the breach. But an action on a warwas not barred. Kut an action on a war-ranty to repair defects in the silo for ten years, brought within the ten-year period, was not barred. Krueger v. V. P. Christian-son S. Co., 206 W 460, 240 NW 145.

A statute of limitation is applicable to actions both at law and in equity, and it is the imperative duty of courts to apply the stat-

on the ground of traud from the time when by the use of reasonable diligence the fraud could have been discovered. The statute bars assertion of rights against the trustee of an express trust by the cestui que trust where more than six years elapse after repudiation of the trust is brought home to him. Gott-schalk v. Ziegler, 208 W 55, 241 NW 713. Institution of an action against one per-son on a cause of action existing against an-other does not arrest the running of the

other does not arrest the running of the statute of limitations, with respect to an ac-tion against such other. Baker v. Tormey, 209 W 627, 245 NW 652.

An action commenced October 24, 1932, for deceit is barred by the six-year statute of limitations where the complaint on its face shows that the misrepresentations relied upon were made on January 20, 1923; and upon were made on January 20, 1923; and subsequent misrepresentations amounting merely to a fraudulent concealment of a cause of action would not toll the statute. [Blake v. Miller, 178 W 228, 189 NW 472, and Seideman v. Sheboygan L. & T. Co., 198 W 97, 228 NW 430, approved.] Larson v. Ela, 212 W 525, 250 NW 379.

A clause in a note executed by two joint makers, waiving demand, notice and protest, and agreeing to "all extensions and partial payments" before and after maturity, with-out prejudice to the holder, is construed to include extensions by operation of law due to include extensions by operation of law due to payment as well as those made by contract. Such clause was not a waiver of the statute of imitations, but only an agreement which operated to extend the time when the statute began to run. Kline v. Fritsch, 213 W 51, 250 NW 837.

An action against a nonresident labor union and its members for personal injuries sustained in an automobile collision. brought more than six years after the collision, was barred by the plaintiff's failure to serve a no-tice of injury within two years as required by (5). Bode v. Flynn, 213 W 509, 252 NW 284, For estoppel to plead limitation see note to 330.47, citing Bowe v. La Buy, 215 W 1, 253 NW 791.

As respects the liability of legatees for claims against their testator, the statute of limitations does not begin to run until a cause of action accrues against the legatees; and a cause of action against legatees of a surety upon the bond of a discharged admin-iterative did net accrue until a indem wat most survey upon the bond of a discharged admin-istratrix did not accrue until a judgment was rendered setting aside, for fraud, a decree al-lowing the final account of the administra-trix. Clark v. Sloan, 215 W 423, 254 NW 653. Where a decedent had orally promised to device real estate as consideration for conv

devise real estate as consideration for serv-ices rendered to the decedent and the board ices rendered to the decedent and the board and room furnished by the decedent did not constitute an open and mutual "account" so as to take a claim for the services ren-dered out of the statute of limitations where there were no cash transactions and, in view of the character of the agreement, no occasion for an accounting. The decedent's sojourn in a hospital in another state for two years prior to her death did not toll the statute of limitations as to the claim for statute of limitations as to the claim for services. The claimant was entitled to re-cover from the estate only for services ren-dered within six years of the decedent's death. Murphy v. Burns, 216 W 248, 257 NW 136.

136. The requirement of (5) that the injured party shall give notice of injury within two years after the accident, is a condition precedent to the right to maintain such an action, and is not tolled by failure to appoint an administrator for a tort-feasor within the two-year limit, nor affected by 330.34, providing that an action may be begun within one year after the appointment of an administrator. Manas v. Hammond, 216 W 285, 257 NW 139. A claimant for the reasonable value of services rendered to a decedent under a void oral agreement to convey real estate to the claimant could be allowed nothing, in the absence of evidence of the rendering of any services of value within the six-year period preceding the death of the decedent.

period preceding the death of the decedent, since the six-year statute of limitations be-gan running immediately after the render-ing of the services. Estate of Goyk, 216 W 462, 257 NW 448.

Ing of the services, Estate of Goyk, 216 w 462, 257 NW 448. Where M was trustee for J of a fund remaining at the death of M, originally rep-resented by a certificate of deposit, but M had had a certificate made payable to her-self and son C or survivor, a trust company receiving the fund by virtue of the latter certificate after the death of M was a trus-tee, as to J, of a constructive trust created by operation of law, which constructive trust was subject to the statute of Imita-tions (sec. 330.19) and the statute began to run against J's claim at the death of M, at which time J's right to the fund accrued. Glebke v. Wisconsin Valley T. Co., 216 W 530, 257 NW 620. Where injury occurred on August 12, 1925, rendering work impossible, but work-man made no claim for compensation until May 12, 1932, claim was barred by limitation. Nelson v. Industrial Commission, 217 W 452, 259 NW 253.

259 NW 253.

259 NW 253. In action by legatee to enforce payment of legacy charged upon devised land, com-plaint, alleging that payments upon legacy had been made by devisees within six years of commencement of action, held not to show on its face that limitations had run against pation as represent wight to approach the second on its face that limitations had run against action, as respects right to enforce lien against devised land, which was in posses-sion of purchaser at foreclosure sale, since lien was enforceable against a purchaser so long as personal obligation of any devisee to pay legacy was kept alive by payment thereon, Trickle v. Snyder, 217 W 447, 259 NW 264 thereon, NW 264,

NW 204. Where question was whether debtor had tolled statute of limitations by delivering tolled statute of limitations by delivering lime to creditor as payment on note, issue of fact for jury was not whether lime had been delivered as payment on note, but whether creditor became indebted to debtor for lime. Earl v. Napp, 218 W 433, 261 NW

400. The service of a summons, affidavit for. and notice of examination of the adverse

party within two years after the happening of an event alleged to have caused personal injury is not a substantial compliance with the provisions of (5). Voss v. Tittel, 219 W 175, 262 NW 579.

Where a brewing company owned saloon fixtures in the possession of F as bailee in a saloon operated by him, but K purchased the saloon operated by him, but K purchased the premises and continued in open and notorious possession for nearly nine years before any demand for possession was made or action commenced against him, a buyer of the fix-tures through the brewing company was barred from recovering them from K by the six-year statute of limitations. Ketler v. Klingbeil, 219 W 213, 262 NW 612. The city's causes of action against the deceased city treasurer's administratrix, and a broker, for profits made through the illegal

deceased city treasurer's administratrix, and a broker, for profits made through the illegal use of city funds, were subject to the six-year statute of limitations, since the action was one upon implied contract; and even if the action was one in equity, it was not one that was ever solely cognizable by a court of chancery, but one in which a court of equity exercised a merely concurrent juris-diction, so that the ten-year statute of limitations, was not applicable thereto. Milwaukee v. Drew, 220 W 511, 265 NW 683. Actions for wroneful death and an action Actions for wrongful death and an action

for personal injuries were barred, where no proper service of summons nor written no-

proper service of summons nor written no-tice of injury was served on the defendant within two years after the date of the ac-cident, although there was a defective serv-ice of summons on the defendant's father within the two-year period. Caskey v. Peter-son, 220 W 690, 263 NW 658. With respect to the question of whether a claim filed against the estate of a decedent was barred by limitations, the evidence war-ranted the conclusion of the county court that the decedent, who had acted as the claimant's agent for the investment of her funds, did not convert the claimant's funds or note when, using funds of his own and a relative in addition to funds of the claimant, he acquired a mortgage in his own name, but took three bearer notes in the exact amounts contributed by each. Es-

claimant, he acquired a mortgage in his own name, but took three bearer notes in the exact amounts contributed by each. Es-tate of Pratt, 221 W 114, 266 NW 230. A timely application for compensation tolls the running of the six-year statute of limitations as to all compensation to which the applicant may ultimately be entitled, so that, where an original application for com-pensation was timely, the applicant was not barred by such statute from recovering ex-penses of sanitarium treatment rendered more than six years before application for such additional compensation. A. D. Thom-son Co. v. Industrial Commission, 222 W 445, 268 NW 113, 269 NW 253. A mortgagor and his vendee who had promised to pay the mortgage debt are not joint debtors or jointly liable, and a pay-ment by the vendee does not toll the stat-ute of limitations on the mortgage debt as to the mortgagor. Bank of Verona v. Stewart, 223 W 577, 270 NW 534. If grantor had right of action in 1917 to recover damages for fraud then perpetrated on him by grantees' agents, then all rights of action, whether in equity or at law, based on that fraud became barred upon expira-tion of six years, and statutory amendment (in 1929) providing that cause of action for fraud should not be barred until six years after discovery of fraud din ot apply. Gol-lon v. Jackson Milling Co., 224 W 618, 273 NW 59. Creditor was entitled to recover on ac-

NW 59.

Creditor was entitled to recover on ac-Creditor was entitled to recover on ac-count of note executed more than eighteen years prior to institution of action where the item was carried on open account and in-cluded in subsequent accounts stated, and payments on open account served to keep item encourable theorem thin which is a

payments on open account served to keep item enforceable through time which elapsed. Meyer v. Selover, 225 W 389, 273 NW 544. Where the decedent had contracted to contribute to the claimant's expense for the care of their incompetent brother by monthly payments, all promised payments which had accrued under the contract prior to six years before the death of the decedent were barred by this section, but not those pay-ments which accrued within six years of his

death. Will of Bate, 225 W 564, 275 NW 450. Where husband and wife executed a joint note in 1923, the husband made payments of interest in 1926 and 1927 in the wife's presence and with her approval; the husband died in 1931, the payments were indorsed on the note by authorization of the wife, the holder made demand on the wife immediately after her husband's death, the wife admitted the obligation and promised pay-ment, but at her request the claim was presented against the husband's estate, and the holder commenced an action against the wife one month after preserving on insuff wife one month after receiving an insuffi-cient dividend from the husband's estate, the action was not barred by the six-year statute of limitations. Schneider v. Anderson, 227 W 212, 278 NW 460.

The personal liability for payment of a legacy is barred by the six-year statute of limitations. Mitchell v. Mitchell, 230 W 461, 283 NW 448.

233 NW 448. Under 220.08, Stats. 1933, the running of the statute of limitations, so far as the commission is concerned, is stayed as to obligations of the bank on the date when the commission takes charge to liquidate, so that after such date the statute of limita-tions is not applicable to bar a claim filed during the pendency of the liquidation pro-ceedings. In re Bank of Viroqua, 232 W 644, 288 NW 266. A cause of action for criminal conver-sation is barred by the six-year period of limitation under (5), and hence, although the complaint also stated a cause of action for alienation of affections, it was not sub-

for alienation of affections, it was not sub-ject to demurrer on the ground that the action was not commenced within one year. Woodman v. Goodrich, 234 W 565, 291 NW

Woodman v. Goodrich, 234 W b6b, 291 NW 768. In an action for a partnership account-ing brought by the surviving partner against the administrator of the deceased managing partner a few months after the death, where the trial court properly found that the managing partner was guilty of fraud and that the plaintiff did not discover such fraud until after the death, neither the statute of limitations nor laches applied to bar extension of the accounting back to to bar extension of the accounting back to the creation of the partnership. Caveney v. Caveney, 234 W 637, 291 NW 818. With respect to what constitutes discov-

With respect to what constitutes discov-ery of the facts constituting the fraud, within the statute of limitations, when in-formation brought home to a defrauded party is such as to indicate where the facts constituting the fraud can be discovered on diligent inquiry, it is the duty of such party to make the inquiry, and if he fails to do so he is, nevertheless, charged with notice of all facts to which such inquiry might have led. Inlenfeld v. Seyler, 236 W 255, 295 NW 26.

The evidence in an action on a promis-sory note sustained findings that the plaintiff payee did not agree to look for pay-ment to a corporation, which the defendant makers had formed, and that therefore there makers had formed, and that therefore there was no novation releasing the makers from personal obligation on the note. Where the defendants gave their joint and several promissory note to the plaintiff for prop-erty, purchased by them as partners, and then formed a corporation to which all of the partnership assets were transferred, and the defendants, owning all of the corporate stock and serving as directors and officers, made arrangements with their corporation made arrangements with their corporation to pay their indebtedness to the plaintiff, to pay their indebtedness to the plaintiff, and participated in this arrangement and acquiesced in the payments, the situation was the same as if each defendant obligor had contributed to each payment so made, and the payments so made tolled the statute of limitations as to the obligation of each on the note. Goerlinger v. Juetten, 237 W 543, 297 NW 361.

543, 297 NW 361. Where a tenant removed certain parti-tions in a garage building during 1928 and 1929, and the landlord knew of such re-moval before the expiration of the original lease in 1931, but did not commence an action for damages therefor until 1939, the landlord's cause of action was barred by the six-year statute of limitations, although there was a holding over of the premises to

within less than six years of the com-mencement of the action. Voelz v. Spengler, 237 W 621, 296 NW 593. Where the makers of a note given for a loan subsequently executed a chattel mort-gage reciting that it secured an amount of interest in default on the loan, and also exe-cuted a note in the amount of the defaulted interest, the new note and mortgage did not constitute an unconditional payment of the interest, the new note and mortgage did not constitute an unconditional payment of the interest on the original note in the absence of evidence of any understanding between the parties to this effect, but payments on the new note were payments of interest on the original note and had the effect of tolling the running of the statute of limitations thereon. Penterman v. Penterman, 239 W 17, 300 NW 765.

17, 300 NW 765. This section applies against a village, so as to bar an action by a village to recover from a utility company a sum of money paid to the company under an allegedly void con-tract relating to an electric distribution sys-

tract relating to an electric distribution sys-tem. Gliman v. Northern States Power Co., 242 W 130, 7 NW (2d) 606. Where an ex-husband had promised to pay at death for services rendered by his ex-wife in caring for him at her home and assisting him at his farm, the ex-wife was entitled to recover from the estate of the ex-husband for the reasonable value of the services ren-dered for the 6 years prior to his death, but the claim as to services rendered more than the claim as to services rendered more than 6 years prior thereto was barred by the stat-ute of limitations. Estate of Anderson, 242 W 272, 7 NW (2d) 823. When a physician, in the course of his professional treatment continued to disre-

gard the presence of surgical needles in the patient's abdomen as a factor in her condi-tion in the face of his own knowledge that they were there, he was guilty of malprac-tice; but when informed by the patient that she proposed to seek other medical advice, he, for the purpose of forestalling this course of action and not in connection with any medical treatment, repeated his misrepresentations, thereby causing the patient to abandon her announced purpose, he committed a new breach of the patient's rights constitut-ing fraud and redressable by an action for deceit, governed as to limitations by 330.19 (7). Krestich v. Stefanez, 243 W 1, 9 NW (2d) 130.

(2d) 130. County is prevented by statute of limita-tions from enforcing claim against town for excess delinquent tax roll payments which it made to town in cash in years 1918 to 1926. 29 Atty, Gen. 210. Where a claim against an incompetent, based on a debt, was not filed in the guard-ianship proceedings until after the 6-year statute of limitations, 330.19 (3), had run thereon, but the order made pursuant to thereon, but the order made pursuant to 319.41 and fixing the time within which claims might be filed was entered before the 6-year statute had run, and the claim was filed within the time limited by the order, the claim was not barred and was properly al-lowed. Guardianship of Thornton, 243 W 397, 10 NW (2d) 193. For the purpose of the statute of limita-

tions in fraud cases there is no such thing as "constructive discovery." The recording acts are not intended as a protection to those who make fraudulent representations. Schoe-del v. State Bank of Newburg, 245 W 74, 13 NW (2d) 534.

Where a transaction contemplated a con-veyance of land to the city and a covenant in that conveyance binding the city to re-route certain creeks, acceptance of the grantor's offer by resolution of the common council did not close the contract and dis-able the city officers from signing and seal-ing the deed, but was a sufficient authoriza-tion to the city officers, to do so, and the city's obligation, under the deed so signed and sealed, fell in the category of covenants or sealed obligations rather than that of simple contract, so that a cuse of action against the city for breach was not governed by the six-year statute of limitations. Mitch-ell Properties, Inc., v. Milwaukee, 245 W 96, 13 NW (2d) 508. Where a transaction contemplated a con-

In view of sec. 85.93, making the insurer directly liable to the persons entitled to re-cover for death or injury caused by the

negligent operation of the motor vehicle de-scribed in the policy, where the injured per-son, entitled to sue the insured tort-feasor and the insurer or either, has a cause of ac-tion against the tort-feasor at the time of tion against the tort-feasor at the time of commencing an action against the insurer alone within 2 years after the accident, the insurer's liability is not extinguished and the action pending against it is not dismiss-ible at the expiration of the 2-year period because the injured person has not com-menced an action against or served notice of injury on the tort-feasor within such period, as provided by 330.19 (5), relating to actions for personal injuries. Kujawa v. American Indemnity Co., 245 W 361, 14 NW (2d) 31. A surety's cause of action to recover from the defendant amounts paid by the surety to persons protected by a bond covering the de-fendant as agent for the sale of steamship tickets, if one for conversion or malicious injury to property, was barred by the 6-year statute of limitations at the time the de-fendant went into bankruptey although the

statute of limitations at the time the de-fendant went into bankruptcy although the defendant's indemnity contract with the sure-ty was under seal; but such cause of action, under the pleadings, was one on the indem-nity contract, and the defendant's liability thereon was contractual, so that the liabil-ity was discharged by the defendant's dis-charge in bankruptcy. Maryland Casualty Co. v. Beleznay, 245 W 390, 14 NW (2d) 177. Where the dity, pursuant to 20.02 (2) hed

Where the city, pursuant to 30.02 (8), had a dock wall reconstructed and assessed benefits prior to the reconstruction, the property owner's complaint against the city for redress on the ground that the dock wall had failed and was useless because the city had negligently permitted the contractor to use improper and defective materials, failed

use improper and defective materials, failed to require performance in a workmanlike manner, failed to inspect the work, and ac-cepted it in a defective condition, stated a cause of action based on fraud, hence was not subject to the contract 6-year statute of limitations. Marine Exchange Bank v. Mil-waukee, 246 W 1, 16 NW (2d) 381. Under (7), as amended by ch. 24, laws of 1929, causes of action at law, as well as in equity, for relief on the ground of fraud, in-cluding causes of action formerly cognizable solely in courts of equity, are not deemed to have accrued until the discovery of the facts constituting the fraud. Marine Ex-change Bank v. Milwaukee, 246 W 1, 16 NW (2d) 381. As between her and her surety on the one

(2d) 381. As between her and her surety on the one hand and third parties on the other, the of-ficial bond of an assistant city treasurer was a contract of indemnity against liability or a contract to pay, and not an agreement to save harmless or on the part of the surety to pay if the principal did not, so that the city treasurer's cause of action on the bond for special damages resulting from the as-sistant treasurer breached the contract in failing to report shortages arose when the assistant treasurer breached the contract assistant treasurer breached the contract and not later when the city treasurer made good the shortages to the city; hence, the

330.20 Within three years. Within three years:

(1) An action against a sheriff, coroner, town clerk, or constable upon a liability incurred by the doing of an act in his official capacity and in virtue of his office or by the omission of an official duty, including the nonpayment of money collected upon execution; but this subsection shall not apply to an action for an escape.

(2) An action by the state or any of its departments or agencies or by any county, town, village, city, school district or other municipal unit to recover any sum of money by reason of the breach of an official bond or the breach of a bond of any nature whatsoever, whether required by law or not, given by a public officer or any agent or employe of a governmental unit; such period to commence running when such governmental unit receives knowledge of the fact that a default has occurred in some of the conditions of such bond and that it was damaged because thereof.

(3) An action or proceeding to test the validity of a change of any county seat, within three years after the date of the publication of the governor's proclamation of such change: and every defense founded upon the invalidity of any such change must be interposed within three years after the date of the aforesaid publication, and the time of commencement of the action or proceeding to which any such defense is made shall be deemed the time when such defense is interposed. [1943 c. 351]

bond not being under seal, and the action not having been commenced within six years after the cause of action arose, the action was barred by 330.19 (3). Maxwell v. Stack, 246 W 487, 17 NW (2d) 603. The general rule is that a cause of action for demorse for breach of a contract arises

for damages for breach of a contract arises when the breach occurs, and that the statute when the breach occurs, and that the statute of limitations begins to run from that time even though a party may remain in ignor-ance of the facts which gave rise to his cause of action, the running of the statute on such a cause of action not being postponed by reason of 330.19 (7), relating to the dis-covery of a fraud. Maxwell v. Stack, 246 W 487, 17 NW (2d) 603. Where a note not under seal, subject to the 6-year statute of limitations, 330.19 (3), and a mortgage under seal, subject to the 20-year statute, 330.16 (2), were dated Feb-ruary 12, 1925, an action to foreclose the mortgage begun on August 10, 1944, was not barred by reason of the 6-year statute hav-ing run against the note. First Nat, Bank of Madison v. Kolbeck, 247 W 462, 19 NW (2d) 908.

A complaint against a city for damages for the desecration of tombs and removal of bodies from crypts in a mausoleum, in a public cemetery operated by the city, set forth no more than a claim for damages for injury to property, barred by the 6-year statute of limitations, 330.19 (5), and the bare allegation that the city's conduct amounted to fraud did not make the action one based on fraud so as to postpone the running of the statute, by virtue of 330.19 (7). Speth v. Madison, 248 W 492, 22 NW (2d) 501.
A letter to a physician by the plaintiff's

(1). Spein V. Madison, 248 W 492, 22 NW (2d) 501. A letter to a physician by the plaintiff's attorney, stating that the attorney was re-tained relative to the institution of a mal-practice action as the result of the physi-cian's treatment of the plaintiff's fractured toe, and the service of an affidavit and notice for an adverse examination, and the holding of such examination, were not sufficient to constitute compliance with the positive re-quirements in 330.19 (5), as to the matters to be stated in the prescribed notice of in-jury, and that the notice must be given in the manner required for the service of a summons. Beyer v. Seymer, 249 W 257, 24 NW (2d) 616. The statute of limitations did not begin to run against a cause of action for damages

The statute of limitations did not begin to run against a cause of action for damages for removal of lateral support to the plain-tiff's land until the plaintiff suffered an in-jury. School Dist. v. Kunz, 249 W 272, 24 NW (2d) 598. (5) is complied with when the plaintiff, in lieu of serving notice of injury, serves a summons and complaint on the tort-feasor within the 2 years, so that, when this is done, the tort-feasor's liability inverse is not op

the tort-feasor's liability insurer is not en-titled to judgment dismissing the complaint as to it merely because no complaint was served on it until after the 2 years. Doucha v. Mayer, 249 W 453, 25 NW (2d) 80.

Cross Reference: For statute of limitation of lien on lands of a decedent for pay-ment of his debts see 316.01 (2). Note: The limitation of three years after discovery of defalcation is the only limita-tion applicable to actions upon official bonds. Milwaukee v. Drew, 220 W 511, 265 NW 683.

The time within which a surety on an of-ficial bond may be held liable in an action by the banking commission against it growing out of acts or omissions of an examiner covered thereby, occurring during the time said bond is in force, is determined by (2). 34 Atty. Gen. 135.

330.21 Within two years. Within two years:

(1) An action by a private party upon a statute penalty or forfeiture when the action is given to the party prosecuting therefor and the state, except when the statute imposing it provides a different limitation.

(2) An action to recover damages for libel, slander, assault, battery or false imprisonment

(3) An action brought by the personal representatives of a deceased person to recover damages, when the death of such person was caused by the wrongful act, neglect or default of another.

(4) An action to recover a forfeiture or penalty imposed by any by-law, ordinance or regulation of any town, county, city or village or of any corporation organized under the laws of this state, when no other limitation is prescribed by law.

(5) Any action to recover unpaid salary, wages or other compensation for personal services, except fees for professional services; provided, that any such action founded on a cause of action which accrued between July 1, 1941, and January 1, 1946 may be commenced at any time prior to February 1, 1948. [1931 c. 79 s. 37; 1945 c. 574; 1947 c. 583, 614]

Note: Section 330.50, limiting extension of time for commencing action, if there is no person in existence at accrual of action who is authorized to sue, to not more than double period otherwise prescribed, held not to avoid bar of limitation against action for wrongful death which was not commenced two years after death. Terbush v. Boyle, 217 W 636, 259 NW 859. The two-year limitation for wrongful

two years after death. Terbush V. Boyle, 217 W 636, 259 NW 859. The two-year limitation for wrongful death is applicable whether the action is brought by the personal representative and notwithstanding inability to bring the ac-tion within the two-year period. London Guarantee & Acc. Co. v. Wisconsin Pub. Serv. Corp., 223 W 441, 279 NW 76. An action by the personal representative of a deceased person to recover damages, when the death of such person was caused by the wrongful act of another, must be brought within 2 years after the cause of ac-tion has accrued. Evans v. Michelson, 241 W 423, 6 NW (2d) 237. After the running of the statute of limi-tations in an action for wrongful death com-menced by a widow who had no right to

•

plaintiff without a warrant and causing him to be imprisoned for 3 days before a com-plaint was filed or a warrant issued, was barred by the 2-year statute of limitations. Oosterwyk v. Bucholtz, 250 W 521, 27 NW

(2d) 361. The legislature has power to enact legislation limiting time within which actions may be commenced to assert rights created may be commenced to assert rights created by federal statute or by orders, rules and regulations promulgated by authority there-of in absence of a federal statute of limita-tion applicable thereto, provided a reason-able time is given to assert existing rights and provided further the federal cause of action is not discriminated against. 34 Atty. Gen. 139.

330.22 Within one year. Within one year:

(1) An action against a sheriff or other officer for the escape of a prisoner arrested or imprisoned on civil process.

(2) All actions for damages for seduction or alienation of affections.

(3) Any action to recover possession of, or to avoid the title to, any property real or personal acquired by the defendant or his predecessors in title, from a foreign corporation because such property was acquired by such corporation before complying with the terms of section 226.02.

(4) Any action to recover the possession of, or avoid the title to, any property real or personal because such property was acquired by a corporation before complying with the terms of section 226.02, brought against any foreign corporation which shall before the commencement of the action have complied with the terms of section 226.02, such year to be computed from the date of compliance with said section.

(5) Any action brought against any foreign corporation which has heretofore complied with the terms of section 226.02 to recover the possession of, or to avoid the title to, any property real or personal because such property was acquired by such corporation be-fore complying with the terms of section 226.02 shall be brought on or before March 1, 1920, and not thereafter. [1931 c. 223 s. 2]

Note: A cause of action for alienation of affections accrues when the alienation is finally accomplished, and it is accomplished when a judgment of divorce is entered, if not before. In action by a husband for alienation of the affections of his wife, is barred by the one-year limitation of 330.22

330.23 Within thirty days. Within thirty days: An action to contest the validity of any state or municipal bond which has been certified by the attorney-general, as pro-

vided in subsection (5a) of section 14.53, for other than constitutional reasons, must be commenced within thirty days after such certification in the case of a state bond, and within thirty days after the recording of such certificate as provided by subsection (3) of section 67.02, in the case of a municipal bond.

330.24 Within nine months. Every action or proceeding to avoid any special assessment pursuant to section 62.16, or taxes levied pursuant to the same, or to restrain the levy of such taxes or the sale of lands for the nonpayment of such taxes, shall be brought within nine months from the end of the period of thirty days limited by the city improvement notice provided for by section 62.21, and not thereafter. This limitation shall cure all defects in the proceedings, and defects of power on the part of the officers making the assessment, except in cases where the lands are not liable to the assessment, or the city has no power to make any such assessment, or the amount of the assessment has been paid or a redemption made.

330.25 Actions upon accounts. In actions brought to recover the balance due upon a mutual and open account current the cause of action shall be deemed to have accrued at the time of the last item proved in such account.

Note: Unless an account is of a "mutual" as they accrue, and not from the date of the character within this section, the statute of limitations runs against the several items Reinke, 249 W 19, 23 NW (2d) 470.

330.26 Other personal actions. All personal actions on any contract not limited by this chapter or any other law of this state shall be brought within ten years after the accruing of the cause of action.

330.27 Defenses barred. A cause of action upon which an action cannot be maintained, as prescribed in this chapter, cannot be effectually interposed as a defense, counter-^{*}claim or set-off.

Note: See note to 330.19, citing Peterson v. Feyereisen, 203 W 294, 234 NW 496. Where a legacy which had become abso-lute, and the executor claimed the right to deduct a note due the estate from the lega-tee, the rights of the parties must be de-termined as of the time the legacy became

330.28 [Repealed by 1931 c. 79 s. 38]

330.29 Bank bills not affected. None of the provisions of this chapter shall apply to any action brought upon any bills, notes or other evidences of debt issued by any bank or issued or put into circulation as money.

330.30 Limitation when person out of state. If when the cause of action shall accrue against any person he shall be out of this state such action may be commenced within the terms herein respectively limited after such person shall return or remove to this state. But the foregoing provision shall not apply to any case where, at the time the cause of action shall accrue, neither the party against or in favor of whom the same shall accrue is a resident of this state; and if, after a cause of action shall have accrued against any person, he shall depart from and reside out of this state the time of his absence shall not be deemed or taken as any part of the time limited for the commencement of such action; provided, that no foreign corporation which owns or operates within this state a manufacturing plant and which shall have filed with the secretary of state, duly executed by its president and secretary and to which its corporate seal is attached, an instrument appointing a resident of this state its attorney for it and on its behalf to accept service of process in all actions commenced against it upon causes of action arising in this state, shall be deemed a person out of this state within the meaning of this section.

Note: This section is not, as applied to nonresident defendants, in violation of the "privileges and immunities" clause of the federal constitution. An action against a nonresident labor union and its members for property damages arising from an automo-bile collision, brought more than six years after the collision, was not barred. Bode v. Flynn, 213 W 509. 252 NW 284. Where the defendant continued to have his legal domicile in Wisconsin, the time spent by him in Florida, after the causes of action had accrued, was not deductible, with-in 330.30, in computing the statutory bar.

330.31 Application to alien enemy. When a person shall be an alien subject or citizen of a country at war with the United States the time of the continuance of the war shall not be a part of the time limited for the commencement of the action.

330.32 Effect of military exemption from civil process. The time during which any resident of this state has been exempt from the service of civil process on account of being in the military service of the United States or of this state, shall not be taken as any part of the time limited by law for the commencement of any civil action in favor of or against such person.

330.33 Persons under disability. (1) If a person entitled to bring an action mentioned in this chapter, except actions for the recovery of a penalty or forfeiture or against a sheriff or other officer for an escape, or for the recovery of real property or the possession thereof be, at the time the cause of action accrued, either

(a) Within the age of twenty-one years; or

(b) Insane; or

(c) Imprisoned on a criminal charge or in execution under sentence of a criminal court for a term less than his natural life.

(2) The time of such disability is not a part of the time limited for the commencement of the action, except that the period within which the action must be brought cannot be extended more than five years by any such disability, except infancy; nor can it be so extended in any case longer than one year after the disability ceases.

Note: 330.33, suspending the operation eral jurisdiction, as distinguished from proof the statutes of limitation in cases of persons under disability to sue, including minors, applies only to actions in courts of genof Bocher, 249 W 9, 23 NW (2d) 615.

330.34 Limitation in case of death. If a person entitled to bring an action die before the expiration of the time limited for the commencement thereof and the cause of action survive an action may be commenced by his representatives after the expiration of that time and within one year from his death. If a person against whom an action may be brought die before the expiration of the time limited for the commencement thereof and the cause of action survive an action may be commenced after the expiration of that time and within one year after the issuing, within this state, of letters testamentary or of administration.

Note: See note to 330.19, citing Manas v. Hammond, 216 W 285, 257 NW 139.

330.35 Appeals; if judgment for defendant reversed, new action for plaintiff. If an action shall be commenced within the time prescribed therefor and a judgment therein for the plaintiff, or the defendant, be reversed on appeal, the plaintiff, or if he die and the cause of action survive, his heirs or representatives may commence a new action within one year after the reversal.

Note: A new action, commenced by an amended complaint, setting up causes of action for procuring, directing and conspiring to recover damages for an assault, was not to commit an assault on the plaintiff, and commenced within one year after the re-

330.36 When action stayed. When the commencement of an action shall be stayed by injunction or statutory prohibition the time of the continuance of the injunction or prohibition shall not be part of the time limited for the commencement of the action.

330.37 Disability. No person shall avail himself of a disability unless it existed when his right of action accrued.

330.38 More than one disability. When two or more disabilities shall coexist at the time the right of action accrued the limitation shall not attach until they all be removed.

330.39 Action, when commenced. An action shall be deemed commenced, within the meaning of any provision of law which limits the time for the commencement of an action, as to each defendant, when the summons is served on him or on a codefendant who is a joint contractor or otherwise united in interest with him.

330.40 Attempt to commence action. An attempt to commence an action shall be deemed equivalent to the commencement thereof, within the meaning of any provision of law which limits the time for the commencement of an action, when the summons is delivered, with the intent that it shall be actually served, to the sheriff or other proper officer of the county in which the defendants or one of them usually or last resided; or if a corporation organized under the laws of this state be defendant to the sheriff or the proper officer of the county in which it was established by law, or where its general business is transacted, or where it keeps an office for the transaction of business, or wherein any officer, attorney, agent or other person upon whom the summons may by law be served resides or has his office; or if such corporation has no such place of business or any officer or other person upon whom the summons may by law be served resides of he a nonresident, or a nonresident corporation, to the sheriff or other proper officer of the county in which plaintiff shall bring his action. But such an attempt must

be followed by the first publication of the summons or the service thereof within sixty days. If the action be in a court not of record the service thereof must be made with due diligence.

Note: This section applies to actions in which service of summons may not be made by publication as well as to actions in which service may be made in that manner. [Con-served, is thereafter properly.served within trary statements in Mariner v. Waterloo, 75 W 438, Levy v. Wilcox, 96 W 127, and Moulton v. Williams, 101 W 236, repudiated.] Rhode v. Quinn Construction Co., 219 W 452, 863 NW 200. **Note:** This section applies to actions in hich service of summons may not be made of publication as well as to actions in which rvice may be made in that manner. [Con-ary statements in Mariner v. Waterloo, W48, Levy v. Wilcox, 96 W 127, and the return of the summons to the 60-day period provided by this section, the 60-day period provided by this section, the action will be deemed commenced from oulton v. Williams, 101 W 236, repudiated.] the date the summons was originally given hode v. Quinn Construction Co., 219 W 452, a summons is not a writ issuing from a (2d) 309. 263 NW 200.

330.41 Presenting claims. The presentation of any claim, in cases where by law such presentment is required, to the county court shall be deemed the commencement of an action within the meaning of any law limiting the time for the commencement of an action thereon.

330.42 Acknowledgment or new promise. No acknowledgment or promise shall be sufficient evidence of a new or continuing contract, whereby to take the cause out of the operation of this chapter, unless the same be contained in some writing signed by the party to be charged thereby.

Note: The statute of limitations upon the indebtedness and by indorsements on the note note was tolled by a letter written with the properly crediting the maker with dividends. knowledge of the maker acknowledging the Marshall v. Wittig, 213 W 374, 251 NW 439.

330.43 Acknowledgment, who not bound by. If there are two or more joint contractors or joint administrators of any contractor no such joint contractor, executor or administrator shall lose the benefit of the provisions of this chapter so as to be chargeable by reason only of any acknowledgment or promise made by any other or others of them.

330.44 Actions against parties jointly liable. In actions commenced against two or more joint contractors or joint executors or administrators of any contractors, if it shall appear, on the trial or otherwise, that the plaintiff is barred by the provisions of this chapter as to one or more of the defendants, but is entitled to recover against any other or others of them, by virtue of a new acknowledgment or promise, or otherwise, judgment shall be given for the plaintiff as to any of the defendants against whom he is entitled to recover and for the other defendant or defendants against the plaintiff.

330.45 Parties need not be joined, when. If in any action on contract the defendant shall answer that any other person ought to have been jointly sued and shall verify such answer by his oath or affirmation, and issue shall be joined thereon, and it shall appear on the trial that the action is barred against the person so named in such answer by reason of the provisions of this chapter, the issue shall be found for the plaintiff.

330.46 Payment, effect of, not altered. Nothing contained in sections 330.42 to 330.45 shall alter, take away or lessen the effect of a payment of any principal or interest made by any person, but no indorsement or memorandum of any such payment, written or made upon any promissory note, bill of exchange or other writing, by or on behalf of the party to whom such payment shall be made or purport to be made, shall be deemed sufficient proof of the payment so as to take the case out of the operation of the provisions of this chapter.

330.47 Payment by one not to affect others. If there are two or more joint contractors or joint executors or administrators of any contractor no one of them shall lose the benefit of the provisions of this chapter, so as to be chargeable, by reason only of any payment made by any other or others of them.

Note: Statute of limitations commenced to run in favor of guarantor on note at ma-turity thereof, though guarantor promised to pay at maturity or thereafter. Interest pay-ment by maker of note did not toll statute of limitation applicable to guarantor. Bishop v. Genz, 212 W 30, 248 NW 771. In the absence of statute, payments made by one co-maker or joint debtor toll the stat-ute of limitations as to both. The purpose of this section was to prevent keeping an obli-

this section was to prevent keeping an obli-gation alive as against joint contractors by payments made without their consent, acquiescence or authority. Kline v. Fritsch, 213 W 51, 250 NW 837.

Statute of limitations is no defense where Statute of limitations is no defense where the lapse of time occurred because of acts in which the debtor intentionally participated for the purpose of inducing credit, and which continued the debt as a recognized obliga-tion; and such rule is not affected by this sec-tion. Bowe v. La Buy, 215 W 1, 253 NW 791. Note authorizing renewal without notice to gleners or induces held not to authorize

to signers or indorsers held not to authorize

payment of interest after maturity so as to toll limitation statute as to accommodation maker in absence of either renewal or defi-nite time extension; word "renewal" usu-ally meaning execution of new note. Estate of Schmidt, 218 W 444, 261 NW 240.

Under a demand note providing that sure-ties or indorsers consent that time of payment may be extended without notice thereof, the payee's mere retention of the note did not constitute an extension, and where ac-commodation makers did not furnish any money paid as interest on the note, the payee never requested either accommodation maker to make any payment on the interest accrued, to make any payment on the interest accrued, and neither accommodation maker ever au-thorized the principal maker to make any payment on their behalf, the statute of limitations was not tolled as to such accom-modation makers. Accola v. Giese, 223 W

431, 271 NW 19. The signer of an undertaking that "for value received, we hereby guarantee the payment of the within note", was a guaran-

tor and not an indorser, notwithstanding additional words "walving demand of pay-ment, protest and notice of protest." The liability of such a guarantor is several and his liability is unaffected by payment made by the maker of the note, on the question of the statute of limitation. Zuehlke v. Engel, 229 W 386, 282 NW 579. The guarantor's liability for payment of the note was on his own separate undertak-ing and was a several, not a joint liability, so that he would be entitled to the benefit of the statute of limitations notwithstand-ing payments made by the maker after ma-

330.48 Computation of time, basis for. The periods of limitation, unless otherwise specially prescribed by law, must be computed from the time of the accruing of the right to relief by action, special proceedings, defense or otherwise, as the case requires, to the time when the claim to that relief is actually interposed by the party as a plaintiff or defendant in the particular action or special proceeding, except that as to a defense, set-off or counterclaim the time of the commencement of the p'aintiff's action shall be deemed the time when the claim for relief as to such defense, set-off or counterclaim is interposed.

330.49 Dismissal of suit after answer. When a defendant in an action has interposed an answer as a defense, set-off or counterclaim upon which he would be entitled to rely in such action the remedy upon which, at the time of the commencement of such action, was not barred by law, and such complaint is dismissed or the action is discontinued the time which intervened between the commencement and the termination of such action shall not be deemed a part of the time limited for the commencement of an action by the defendant to recover for the cause of action so interposed as a defense, set-off or counterclaim.

330.50 Extension of time if no person to sue. There being no person in existence who is authorized to bring an action thereon at the time a cause of action accrues shall not extend the time within which, according to the provisions of this chapter, an action can be commenced upon such cause of action to more than double the period otherwise prescribed by law.

330.51 What actions not affected. This chapter shall not affect actions against directors or stockholders of a moneyed corporation or banking association to recover a forfeiture imposed or to enforce a liability created by law; but such actions must be brought within six years after the discovery by the aggrieved party of the facts upon which the forfeiture attached or the liability was created.

Note: The phrase "moneyed corporation of institutions, and not to every sort of cor-or banking association" is used in apposi-tion, or at least as referring to like kinds of Verona v. Stewart, 223 W 577, 270 NW 584.